

Public

## Meeting Summary – Workgroup 9

**Meeting name:** CMP432 Improve “Locational Onshore Security Factor” for TNUoS Wider Tariffs.

---

**Date:** 26/03/2025

---

### Contact Details

**Chair:** Sarah Williams, sarah.williams@nationalenergyso.com

**Proposer:** John Tindal, john.tindal@sse.com

---

### Key areas of discussion

The Chair presented the meeting agenda, which included a review of the action log, the legal text, a discussion on the Terms of Reference, followed by any other business and subsequent steps.

#### Action Log Review

The Chair reviewed the action log, discussing the status of various tasks including the collation of Workgroup Members questions for NESO, reviewing the applicable objectives, updates on the five-year TNT impact and the collection of questions raised during the Teach-in session, with the NESO Representatives providing updates on the progress of the actions.

#### License Agreement Discussion

The Proposer inquired about the process for signing the license agreement to access the SECULF model. The NESO Representative explained that the plan is to handle it on a bilateral basis, allowing individual Workgroup Members to sign the agreement and gain access to the model. Noting that they will discuss the best process with legal to expedite this and ensure timely access for those who need it.

The Proposer suggested that if only some Workgroup Members have seen the SECULF model, they may need a subgroup to discuss it within the terms of the license agreement.

A Workgroup Member asked if an alternate, more technically inclined person could have access to the model. The NESO Representative agreed to discuss with legal whether the license agreement can be signed by the organization rather than individuals, and whether an alternate, more technically inclined person can have access to the model.

The NESO Representative confirmed that the SME would provide more information on the model and answer questions at the next workgroup meeting. Noting the importance of having the right people to support the discussion and provide accurate information, for Workgroup Members to determine the applicability of the Security Factor.

## Public

### **Legal Text Review**

The NESO Representative noted that they have not received any feedback from the Workgroup Members on the Legal Text shared previously. They emphasised the importance of receiving input to ensure that the Legal Text reflects the Workgroup's views and considerations.

The Proposer raised the question of whether the worked examples in the CUSC should be updated to reflect changes in the methodology. Noting that the current examples would be incorrect if the security factor is removed or amended.

The Authority Representative suggested that instead of updating the worked examples, they could be removed from the CUSC and placed in a separate guidance note as this would prevent the need for frequent updates to the CUSC whenever the methodology changes.

The Authority Representative recommended that the NESO consider a broader conversation about the value of having worked examples in the CUSC. They emphasised the importance of efficient administration and suggested that a single modification could address the removal of all worked examples from the CUSC.

The NESO Representative confirmed that they are working on amending the calculations within the CUSC, which were previously included as pictures. They advised that manually reworking these calculations would ensure accuracy and they plan to share the updated Legal Text with the Workgroup Members before the next meeting.

### **Terms of Reference Discussion**

The Chair and the Proposer reviewed the Terms of Reference, confirming that the methodology for calculating the Security Factor had been adequately considered. They discussed the Legal Text and the principles of charging, concluding that these points had been covered in previous workgroup discussions.

They also confirmed that the impact of reinforcement, including upgrading existing circuits to larger capacities and increasing fault conditions, had been discussed. The Proposer provided examples and slides from previous meetings to illustrate these points.

The Chair noted that further discussion might be needed on other points, such as whether some types of technology require additional MITS redundancy. The Proposer mentioned a previous slide that used a large nuclear station as an example, suggesting that this point had been addressed to some extent.

### **Next Steps**

Share the revised legal text with the Workgroup Members before the next meeting.

---

## Public Actions.

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
16	Collate the Workgroup members list of questions for NESO to provide detailed responses to each question listed.	Chair	14/02/2025	Ongoing	Open
22	Review the 'applicable objectives' section on the Workgroup Consultation where the responses were No or N/A and feedback Workgroup members.	Chair	13/03/2025	WG8	Open
24	Highlight the comment from the Battery company on impact on different technologies in the Workgroup Consultation.	Chair	13/03/2025	WG8	Open
28	Collect and send the questions raised during the Teach-In session to the Revenue Team for clarification	Chair	20/03/2025		Open
29	Circulate the spreadsheet with the answers to the questions once the Revenue Team has reviewed them.	Tech Sec	20/03/2025		Open
30	Inform Workgroup Members about the next steps for accessing the SECULF model, including signing the license agreement.	ND	20/03/2025		Open
31	10 years projection analysis requested by the Workgroup to align with CMP444. Revenue agreed	ND	20/03/2025		Open
32	Confirm with legal whether the license agreement for accessing the SECULF model can be	ND	26/03/2025		Open


## Public

signed by organisations rather than individuals.

33	Review illustrative sections in the Legal Text and whether to update or remove them.	Proposer	26/03/2025	Open
34	Send a revised version of the Legal Text to the Workgroup.	Chair	26/03/2025	Open

## Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Sarah Williams	SW	NESO Code Governance	Chair
Prisca Evans	PE	NESO Code Governance	Tech Sec
John Tindal	JT	SSE	Proposer
Neil Dewar	ND	NESO	NESO Representative
Als Scrope	AS	Northland Power	Workgroup Member
Andrew Rimmer	AR	First Hydro	Workgroup Member
Paul Jones	PJ	Uniper	Workgroup Member
Barnaby Cowin	BC	Blue Float	Workgroup Member
Chiamaka Nwajagu	CN	ORSTED	Workgroup Member
Giulia Licocci	GL	Ocean Winds	Workgroup Member
Hector Perez	HP	SP Renewables	Workgroup Member Alternate
Marc Smeed	MS	Corio Generation	Workgroup Member Alternate
Paul Jones	PJ	Uniper	Workgroup Member
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax	Workgroup Member
Andrew Urquhart	AU	SSE	Observer
Harriet Harmon	HH	OFGEM	Authority Representative
Tom Steward	TS	RWE	Workgroup Member
Zahira Rafiq	ZR	NESO	Observer



Public