
GSR030

Workgroup 12, 11 March 2025

Online Meeting via Teams







Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and
Conduct to be
consistent with the
values of equality and
diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Email communications to/cc'ing the .box email

Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives






| Topics to be discussed | Lead |
|---|-------|
| Introductions | Chair |
| Objectives and Timeline Action Log Timeline review | Chair |
| Review Terms of Reference | Chair |
| Modification Update/Review Workgroup Consultation Report | All |
| Any Other Business | Chair |
| Next Steps | Chair |



Objectives and Timeline



Timeline for GSR030

To be confirmed in Workgroup



Action Log

| Action number | Workgroup Raised | Owner | Action | Comment | Due by | Status |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|---|---|----------|--------|
| 20 | 4 | BA, FW | Compile text to cover ToR 3 - Consider retrospective impact on existing cables. | Application of the solution to projects in advanced states of design to be discussed further with the Workgroup and called out in the Workgroup Report. 22/03/2024 - Still in progress 05/12/2024 - Ongoing | 05.10.24 | Open |
| 22 | 4 | NN, BA, LC | To discuss offline - risk and associated costs (investment in reinforcing the network and build/maintenance). BA to send a written narrative to help Orsted understand this ahead of a discussion | 23.02 - Need cost implications for lower risk, (higher cost). Review again in WG8. 22/03/2024 - Still not had cost data from Orsted and co. This is now a blocker 05/12/2024 - Ongoing | 05.10 | Open |
| 23 | 4 | All | Consider details of the above once shared and provide a proposal for discussion at the next WG | 05/12/2024 - Ongoing | 05.10 | Open |
| 29 | 6 | NN, LC | Slides from WG 5 were to be reviewed and updated before sharing with the Workgroup for publication. | 05/12/2024 - TP to chase | WG 7 | Open |
| 32 | 6 | All | Share any environmental and/or economic benefits of this solution to the Chair/Proposer | This will be added as a question in the consultation | WG 7 | Open |
| 37 | 7 | BA, Workgroup | Decision needed about a reasonable number of links to include in the event frequency analysis and diagrams to be checked by BA | 22/03/2024 - BA needs support on this from Richard Proctor? 05/12/2024 - Ongoing | WG8/9 | Open |
| 39 | 7 | BA, SQSS Panel | Offshore Transmission Owners to be contacted about the likelihood of mechanical failures (which can be handled confidentially) for the Proposer to assess. | BA to draft an email to be shared via Panel 22/03/2024 - BA to send to TP 05/12/2024 - Ongoing | WG8/9 | Open |
| 40 | 7 | BA, FW | Proposer to look into why HND costs for landing points haven't been available and to look for missing text for this section. | 22/03/2024 - FW had orig and then got new ones. Are these ok? BA - Can use if pub available? FW to send to BA to check 05/12/2024 - Text updated but need numbers - Ongoing | WG8 | Open |
| 41 | 10 | All | Mitigating risk - impact of clause 7.8.4 retrospectivity to exisiting projects and projects in late development execution | 05/12/2024 - Action missing as highlighted from NN | | Open |
| 42 | 10 | ВА,ТР | Discuss scope with Panel and confirm | BA - Update in Workgroup | WG11 | Open |
| 43 | 10 | All | Provide feedback on details discussed in WG10 by email | No update provided - propose to close | WG11 | Open |



Review Terms of Reference




Terms of Reference

| Workgroup Term of Reference | Location in Workgroup Report (to be completed at Workgroup Report stage) |
|---|--|
| If there is no reliability data available, consider alternative ways of assessing the risks and the benefits for the increase of the loss of infeed risk. | |
| Consider risk-based approach for the specification of any restriction on the loss of infeed risk associated with multiple cables sharing the same route. | |
| Consider retrospective impact on existing cables. | |




Modification Update/Review Workgroup Consultation Report

Proposer



Next Steps



Any Other Business

