

Public

## FRCR Consultation Response Proforma

### FRCR Consultation:

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

You can send responses to [box.FRCR@nationalenergyso.com](mailto:box.FRCR@nationalenergyso.com) or complete the [Online Response Form](#) by **5pm on Monday 31<sup>st</sup> March 2025**.

Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact [box.FRCR@nationalenergyso.com](mailto:box.FRCR@nationalenergyso.com)

Section One – Respondent details				
1	<b>Respondent name:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.		
2	<b>Company name:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.		
3	<b>Email address:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.		
4	<b>Phone number:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.		
5	<b>Which best describes your organisation?</b>	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body  <input type="checkbox"/> Demand  <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network  <input type="checkbox"/> Operator  <input type="checkbox"/> Generator  <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body  <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector                 </td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Storage  <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier  <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator  <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner  <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party  <input type="checkbox"/> Other                 </td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network <input type="checkbox"/> Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network <input type="checkbox"/> Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other			

#### 6. I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Non-Confidential</b> (your responses <u>will be shared</u> with industry, the SQSS Panel and the Authority for further consideration)
	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Confidential</b> (your responses will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, <u>will not be shared</u> with the industry or the SQSS Panel for further consideration)

## Public

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Section Two – Overall Feedback		
7	Do you agree that the FRCR 2025 has been prepared appropriately? Please elaborate.	Click or tap here to enter text.
8	Do you believe there has been sufficient industry engagement in preparing FRCR 2025? Please specify further suggestions.	Click or tap here to enter text.
9	Overall, do you agree that the FRCR 2025 represents the appropriate level of development in determining the way that the NESO will balance cost and risk in maintaining frequency security while operating the system at a reduced inertia down to 102 GVA.s?	Please use the boxes below for the bullet points

## Public

### Section Three – Feedback on the specific recommendations in FRCR 2025

10	Do you agree with the recommendation to: <b>Reduce minimum inertia requirement down to 102 GVA.s</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
11	Do you agree with the recommendation to: <b>Secure all BMU-only events (including consequential RoCoF)</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
12	Do you agree with the recommendation to: <b>Procure additional DC-Low service provision by 200 MW</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
13	Do you have any other comments to the recommendations?	Click or tap here to enter text.

### Section Four – Feedback on FRCR future work

*NB: Please refer to 8.1 FRCR Forward Looking for context*

14	In your view, what should the future FRCR focus on?	Click or tap here to enter text.
----	---	----------------------------------

Public

**Section Five – Feedback on FRCR future governance**

*NB: Please refer to 8.2 FRCR Future Governance for context*

15	Do you foresee any issues that may arise from moving the obligation to produce the FRCR to a NESO Licence Condition rather than an Annex to the NETS SQSS?	Click or tap here to enter text.
16	If the obligation to produce the FRCR and the governance rules surrounding that process are moved to NESO’s Licence, do you believe that the NETS SQSS Panel should continue to provide oversight?	Click or tap here to enter text.
17	If your answer to Question 16 is “Yes”, to what extent should this oversight be? For example, should it include technically assessing the recommendations and approving/rejecting it, or should it be limited to confirming that the governance process and methodology has been followed correctly?	Click or tap here to enter text.