

## Date of Submission

Apr 2021

# Network Innovation Allowance Progress Report

Notes on Completion: Please refer to the appropriate NIA Governance Document to assist in the completion of this form.

Network Licensees must publish the required Project Progress information on the Smarter Networks Portal by 31st July 2014 and each year thereafter. The Network Licensee(s) must publish Project Progress information for each NIA Project that has developed new learning in the preceding relevant year.

## Project Progress

### Project Title

Study of Advanced Modelling for Network Planning Under Uncertainty

### Project Reference

NIA\_NGSO0028

### Funding Licensee(s)

National Grid Electricity System Operator

### Project Start Date

October 2019

### Project Duration

0 years and 10 months

### Nominated Project Contact(s)

Thomas Petty

## Scope

The overall aim of this project is to identify potential alternatives and opportunities for new planning methodologies that evaluate both technical and economic aspects in a more integrated manner and introduce flexibility and risk awareness in dealing with large-scale planning uncertainty. Differently from other projects that may look at testing specific solutions, this project will investigate more fundamental and wider aspects of how the current planning process could be improved to deal with an evolving energy system, new technologies and potential operational solutions, and addressing long-term uncertainties in a more systematic way. Clear recommendations will be produced for how new techniques could be adopted to enhance the overall planning process in light of all the relevant emerging issues and opportunities.

## Objectives(s)

The project will undertake the following objectives:

- Review/identify issues with the current deterministic planning processes and standards
- Review the state-of-the-art methodologies for energy system planning under uncertainty
- Review/identify issues with the current (i.e., one snapshot-based) technical modelling used in planning
- Outline a general decision-making framework for planning under uncertainty, e.g., to inform/extend the current NOA process
- Define the key and most desirable elements and methodological options for such a framework, for example based on stochastic optimization, decision theory techniques, and risk analysis.

## Success Criteria

The project will be considered successful if at the end we will be able to:

- Clearly evaluate the pros and cons of using a LWR approach, whilst identifying and proposing alternative decision making approaches that could improve the recommendations output in the NOA
- Better understand potential issues in using a deterministic approach to planning while there are increasing uncertainties in the longer time scales
- Better understand potential issues in using a single-snapshot approach or oversimplified assumptions for the technical modelling while the system becomes increasingly more complex
- Identify the most desirable features for a new framework that can consider more integrated technical and economic modelling as well as better incorporate uncertainty and risk in planning
- Outline a roadmap and the required steps for actual implementation of the identified framework.

## Performance Compared to the Original Project Aims, Objectives and Success Criteria

*"National Grid Electricity System Operator ("NGESO") has endeavoured to prepare the published report ("Report") in respect of Study of Advanced Modelling for Network Planning Under Uncertainty, NIA\_NGSO0028 ("Project") in a manner which is, as far as possible, objective, using information collected and compiled by NGESO and its Project partners ("Publishers"). Any intellectual property rights developed in the course of the Project and used in the Report shall be owned by the Publishers (as agreed between NGESO and the Project partners).*

*The Report provided is for information only and viewers of the Report should not place any reliance on any of the contents of this Report including (without limitation) any data, recommendations or conclusions and should take all appropriate steps to verify this information before acting upon it and rely on their own information. None of the Publishers nor its affiliated companies make any representations nor give any warranties or undertakings in relation to the content of the Report in relation to the quality, accuracy, completeness or fitness for purpose of such content. To the fullest extent permitted by law, the Publishers shall not be liable howsoever arising (including negligence) in respect of or in relation to any reliance on information contained in the Report"*

Copyright © National Grid Electricity System Operator 2020

## Project Activities

National Grid ESO (NGESO) is responsible for the secure operation of the UK's electricity system today and in the future.

One of our key roles is performing the Network Options Analysis (NOA). This is our yearly analysis of proposed options by the transmission owners and our recommendations for which options provide the most benefit to the consumer. This is a vital step which helps to save billions of pounds of consumer value over the next 20 years whilst aiming to reduce risk as much as possible in an uncertain environment. The NOA process is a year-long annual process with a tight schedule. Each year projects to improve the process are identified and implemented if they are relatively straightforward. However, if the suggestion has a potentially larger impact then it poses a high risk to the NOA decisions and could affect the network reinforcement recommendations, which involve billions of pounds of investment decisions. For such large changes further insight is needed, calling for proper scientific research and feasibility studies before we can consult with the stakeholders on any big decisions.

Each publication of our NOA methodology is sent to Ofgem for approval, this is an opportunity for Ofgem to highlight certain areas that could be improved in future years. In their approval letter of the NOA 19/20 methodology they had several recommendations related to reviewing our approach for planning under uncertainty. These recommendations touch upon a core aspect of the NOA process, and so following this feedback, this NIA project was launched and a team of academics from the University of Melbourne (UoM) were tasked with performing a thorough review of our decision-making approach within the NOA process, and to identify areas for improvement. We tasked UoM with performing a literature review of the different methods which system operators around the world use in planning their transmission expansions, then to compare the

ESO's processes alongside these. The UoM then investigated our decision-making technique 'Least Worst Regret' (LWR) to compare it with the possible new techniques available.

In the second part of the project UoM were also tasked with reviewing the boundary analysis techniques used as inputs in the NOA process, specifically the ongoing work in the ESO of considering more network uncertainties, adopting risk indices and moving towards probabilistic thinking. They were tasked with process mapping the probabilistic analysis, suggest improvements and better data visualization techniques using state-of-the-art methodologies.

Several academics from the UoM spent several weeks with NGESO in order to study and analyse our techniques, they also investigated the approaches of several other countries around the world. A detailed report of their findings on the economic side of things has been completed with a second report on the technical side under preparation. These reports are not yet public, these will be published when the project is completed.

#### **Required Modifications to the Planned Approach During the Course of the Project**

There has been no modification to the project, either scope, cost or time.

#### **Lessons Learnt for Future Projects**

The report provided by the UoM is a review by an independent party of our NOA methodology. Their detailed report shows us an outside perspective of our approach and compares it to the approaches of other countries. The report is split into two parts, one part on the economic aspects, and the other on the technical side. The details of the report are not yet public, however, the report is very useful and provides some new tools and ideas to go forward with, to perform our NOA CBA and technical probabilistic analysis with roadmaps to implement them. Furthermore, the report provides a very detailed description of our approaches and will be a great resource for interested readers to better understand the work the NGESO undertakes.

#### **The Outcomes of the Project**

This project has created a very detailed and thorough report prepared by the UoM. When both parts of the report are finished, we will look to make the main outcomes public with the University of Melbourne.

#### **Data Access**

*Details on how network or consumption data arising in the course of a NIC or NIA funded project can be requested by interested parties, and the terms on which such data will be made available by National Grid can be found in our publicly available "Data sharing policy related to NIC/NIA projects" and [www.nationalgrideso.com/innovation](http://www.nationalgrideso.com/innovation).*

*National Grid Electricity System Operator already publishes much of the data arising from our NIC/NIA projects at [www.smarternetworks.org](http://www.smarternetworks.org). You may wish to check this website before making an application under this policy, in case the data which you are seeking has already been published.*

#### **Foreground IPR**

The following reports are expected to be released on to the Smarter Networks Portal at completion:

- A report by Uni of Melbourne (UoM) reviewing the economic side of the NOA methodology
- A report by UoM on the technical side of the NOA boundary analysis
- A summary combining the findings from both reports in a concise way, describing the identified NOA improvements, the expected benefits and a roadmap for implementation.

*The Project outcomes and results contain confidential information and intellectual property rights that cannot be disclosed in this Report due to their proprietary nature. Should the viewer of this Report ("Viewer") require further details this may be provided on a case by case basis following consultation of all Publishers. In the event such further information is provided each and any Publisher that owns such confidential information or intellectual property rights shall be entitled to request the Viewer enter into terms that govern the sharing of such confidential information and/ or intellectual property rights including where appropriate formal licence terms or confidentiality provisions. Dependent upon the nature of such request the Publishers may be entitled to request a fee from the Viewer in respect of such confidential information or intellectual property rights.*