

Station Name: Moy Wind

Connection Site/GSP: Inverness

Company Name: Carbon Free Moy Ltd

MITS Substations: Knocknagael

Derogation Report Ref: 12-DR-326-B2-Rev1

Part 1: Technical Description of Non Compliance [To be completed by the relevant Transmission Owner.]

Relevant Paragraph(s) of NETS Security and Quality of Supply Standard	Cause	Part of System Affected	Initial Conditions		Interim Operational Solution	Long Term Solution, to include brief description of access requirements.	Derogation Expiry Date
			System Intact	Circuit Outage			
NETS SQSS Section 4 Clauses 4.4 – 4.10	<p>Trip of Beaully-Denny supergrid double circuit line</p> <p>Post the East Coast 400kV reinforcement Trip of Kintore – Alyth 400kV double circuit line.</p>	<p>Overload of Errochty 132kV network</p> <p>Overload of Kintore – Tealing 275kV double circuit line</p>	<p>System intact at ACS peak demand</p> <p>System conditions expected to arise in the course of a year</p>	<p>None</p> <p>Typical planned outage pattern</p>	NETSO operational measures in operational timescales in accordance with Section 5 of the NETS SQSS	<p>SHETL to develop and construct the following transmission reinforcements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) SHETL-RI-038: Errochty 132kV reconfiguration ii) SHETL-RI-025a: Rothienorman – Peterhead 400kV upgrade iii) SHETL-RI-025b: Peterhead – Hawthorn Pit (West Coast) 2GW HVDC link iv) SHETL-RI-025c: Peterhead 400kV substation v) SHETL-RI-009 – East Coast 400kV Upgrade vi) SHETL-RI-033: Second East Coast HVDC Link from Peterhead to England <p><i>Completion dates subject to consents and regulatory approval</i></p>	<p>Derogation is sought until completion of listed long-term reinforcement solutions.</p> <p>Derogation triggered by advancing generator connections via connect and manage arrangements</p>

See 2011 Seven Year Statement Figure C.1.4 – “SHETL Forecast Power Flows at Winter Peak, 2014/15” for network configuration

Station Name: Moy Wind

Connection Site/GSP: Inverness

Company Name: Carbon Free Moy Ltd

MITS Substations: Knocknagael

Derogation Report Ref: 12-DR-326-B2-Rev1

Part 2: Expected Consequence of Non -Compliance. *[To be completed by the System Operator, with reference to appropriate Transmission Owner.]*

Value of Carbon Benefit (£k), (including time period over which cost benefit is calculated).	
Summary of proposed System Operator actions to manage non- compliance. <i>To include:</i> <i>pricing assumptions.</i> <i>Description of diversity within the</i> <i>group (not to include reference to</i> <i>particular projects)</i> <i>User agreements for services such</i> <i>as energy management or intertrips.</i> <i>Contribution of project to wider non</i> <i>compliance at boundary level.</i>	
Estimated range of costs to manage non compliance (£k). To include time period over which costs are assessed.	
Description of risk due to network non compliance. e.g. constraint increase due to project delay	