Station Name: Moray Offshore Windfarm

Company Name: Moray Offshore Renewables Ltd

Derogation Report Ref: 10-DR-059-B2-Rev1

Connection Site/GSP: Peterhead

MITS Substations: Peterhead

Part 1: Technical Description of Non Compliance [To be completed by the relevant Transmission Owner.]

Relevant Paragraph(s) of NETS Security and Quality of Supply Standard	Cause	Part of System Affected	Initial Co System Intact	nditions Circuit Outage	Interim Operational Solution	Long Term Solution, to include brief description of access requirements.	Derogation Expiry Date
NETS SQSS Section 4 Clauses 4.4 – 4.10	Trip of Kintore —Alyth double circuit line. Trip of Beauly-Denny double circuit line	Overload of Kintore – Tealing double circuit line for trip of Kintore –Alyth double circuit line. Overload of Errochty 132kV network for trip of Beauly-Denny double circuit line	System intact at ACS peak demand System conditions expected to arise in the course of a year	None Typical planned outage pattern	NETSO operational measures in operational timescales in accordance with Section 5 of the NETS SQSS	SHETL to develop and construct the following transmission reinforcements: (i) SHETL-RI-025b: Peterhead- Hawthorn Pit (East Coast) 2GW HVDC link (ii) SHETL-RI-025c: Peterhead 400kV busbar (iii) SHETL-RI-033: 2 nd 2GW East Coast HVDC link from Peterhead to England Completion dates subject to consents and regulatory approval	Derogation is sought until completion of listed long-term reinforcement solutions. Derogation triggered by advancing generator connections via connect and manage arrangements

See 2010 Seven Year Statement Figure C.1.6 – "SHETL Forecast Power Flows at Winter Peak, 2015/16" for network configuration

Station Name: Moray Offshore Windfarm

Company Name: Moray Offshore Renewables Ltd

Derogation Report Ref: 10-DR-059-B2-Rev1

Connection Site/GSP: Peterhead

MITS Substations: Peterhead

Part 2: Expected Consequence of Non -Compliance. [To be completed by the System Operator, with reference to appropriate Transmission Owner.]

Value of Carbon Benefit (£k),	
(including time period over which	
cost benefit is calculated).	
Summary of proposed System	
Operator actions to manage non-	
compliance.	
To include:	
pricing assumptions.	
Description of diversity within the	
group (not to include reference to	
particular projects)	
User agreements for services such	
as energy management or intertrips.	
Contribution of project to wider non	
compliance at boundary level.	
compliance at boundary level.	
Estimated range of costs to manage	
non compliance (£k). To include time	
period over which costs are	
assessed.	
assesseu.	
Description of risk due to network	
non compliance.	
e.g. constraint increase due to	
project delay	