

Public

CMP434 and CM095 Action Log

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status	Workgroup Closed
1	WG1	PM	To share further data is shared in relation to the transmission queue	Link to ENA webpage	TBC	Closed	WG7
2	WG1	JH/PM	To clarify if it is the modification is intending to cover a demand application at the distribution level which causes a transmission reinforcement.		WG2	Closed	WG4
3	WG1	JH	Tighten up the language RE: User Commitment Methodology/ Final Sums	Will be answered within the consultation	TBC	N/A	
4	WG1	JH/RW	Revise Terms of Reference based on Workgroup feedback	Covered in WG4	TBC	Closed	WG4
5	WG1	JH	Changing the wording from 'change the Network Charging arrangements' to 'Network use of system Charging arrangements' are out of scope		TBC	Closed	WG4
6	WG2	JH	Clarification slide on what is BAU regarding the GSP process	Covered in WG4	WG4	Closed	WG4
7	WG2	JH	Explain the interaction of CMP434 with GC0117, consider the potential impact if GC0117 approved such as a need for an additional code modification (Chair to put in consultation)	Will be answered within the consultation	TBC	N/A	
8	WG2	AP	Consider the definition of Relevant Embedded Small/Medium Power Station and whether the codified definition needs to be changed or if the ESO is to provide guidance to DNO's outside of the energy codes on what is considered as relevant to the transmission network	Closed due to DNO obligations being outside of the scope of CMP434 or CM095	TBC	Closed	WG7

Public

9	WG2	AP	Slide on Large Embedded for clarification	Closed due to DNO obligations being outside of the scope of CMP434 or CM095	WG4	Closed	WG7
10	WG2	DD	Tabulate Minor and Major Changes at Gate 1 and 2 for a clearer distinction	Covered in WG4	WG4	Closed	WG4
11	WG2	All	Add agenda time to respond to papers provided by Workgroup members	Ongoing	WG4	Closed	WG25
12	WG2	JH	ESO to speak to the policy team and consider how the 'Allowable Changes' policy being drafted would interact with CMP434, would all of the policy need to be codified or does the concept of the policy need to be codified?	Proposer clarified the intention for this not to be codified.	WG4	Closed	WG7
13	WG2	ALL	Workgroup to propose what they think could change in their application between Gate 1 and Gate 2	Moved on form this	TBC	Closed	WG13
14	WG4	JH	Clarification of new GSPs for iDNOs and DNOs	Will pick up DFTC part	TBC	Closed	
15	WG4	JH	Consider alignment of crown estate invitation to tender and auction timing	Out of Scope	TBC	Closed	WG13
16	WG5	RW/GL	Look into where STC changes for CNDM should be located within main body of STC and STCPs	Legal Text slides presented at WG13	TBC	Closed	WG13
17	WG5	FP	Are the duplication checks at Gate 2 against projects who are within the gate 2 applicants pool of that period, gate 2 applicants that are yet to accept their offer, or/and applicants who have accepted their Gate 2 offer	Presented at WG11 duplicate check	TBC	Closed	WG13

Public

18	WG6	RE/MO	Share table and/or visual outlining the difference between the ESO/TO costs covered by an application fee and the TO costs covered by the proposed capacity holding security.		TBC	Closed	WG7
19	WG6	RE/MO	Share a worked example of how the capacity holding security would (in theory) be apportioned between directly connected and relevant small and medium embedded generation projects, using a hypothetical £1/MW value.		TBC	Closed	WG7
20	WG6	JN/AQ	Consider legal perspective on NESO designation		TBC	Closed	WG25
21	WG6	MO	Update/develop slides presented based on Workgroup feedback	Update/develop slides presented based on Workgroup feedback	TBC	Closed	WG13
22	WG6	JH	Consider if an impact assessment by the ESO on the proposed solution is achievable within the current timescales	The necessary analysis will be provided to Ofgem as and when they require it but this will be outside of the code process	TBC	Closed	WG23
23	WG7	LH	Clarify the ESO Position as to why the capacity reallocation process is out of scope for CMP434	Add narrative into Workgroup Report	TBC	Closed	
24	WG7	MO	Consult ESO legal team to consider using existing legal definitions for clarification (substantial modification) and reconsider terminology being used (material/significant/allowable)		TBC	Closed	WG25
25	WG7	LH/SG	Update on the Technology Change Policy Paper and consider request to share prior to consultation	Draft paper has been circulated.	TBC	Closed	WG13

Public

26	WG7	SMEs	Provide a list of policy documents envisaged for TMO4+ and for which details are not within scope of CMP434 (e.g.CNDM). Also provide a list of their contents/principles the documents are using if not available for the WG consultation	WG consultation includes those relevant – replaced with action 49	TBC	Closed	WG18
27	WG9	AP/KS	Take Workgroup feedback to ENA regarding the name of the DFTC methodology document – consider renaming to provide clarification	Draft ENA document shared, and title changed to guidance after WG feedback.	TBC	Closed	WG13
28	WG9	AP/KS	DFTC document – Provide answers to the following questions – Who approves the document, who can change it, who follows it and who can challenge it (the route to challenge specifically)	ENA members, DNO's, TO's, ESO (iDNO's can join ENA)	TBC	Closed	WG13
29	WG9	MO/A Q	In terms of the 3 year long stop cancellation of sites/capacity provide detail to what element of the CUSC is being referenced and how this is envisaged to work?	No longer being proposed	TBC	Closed	WG18
30	WG9	AQ	To explain how the dispute process will fit into the statutory approach (legal route)	De-scoped	TBC	Closed	WG18
31	WG9	MO	More detail requested by Workgroup to make a judgement on Connection Point and Capacity Reservation (including offshore)		TBC	Closed	WG25
32	WG10	MO	Clarify TO/ESO in terms of CNDM and what would get into the Gate 1 offer	Within WG consultation	TBC	Closed	WG18
33	WG10	KS	To clarify, if the ESO decides not to have forward-looking milestones after M1, would DNO's change there's or will they continue to be forward looking for all the others	If CMP434 is approved. EVA will look to make changes to their queue milestones.	TBC	Closed	WG13
34	WG10	PM	Review the four slides to address points from GG (clarity and colouring of text suggestions) and TC to review the dates are correct		TBC	Closed	

Public

35	WG10	AC/AQ	ESO to confirm whether additional uncertainty clauses (which have been appearing in offers recently) will remain	Ongoing drafting legal text	TBC	Closed	WG34
36	WG10	AC/AQ	ESO to consider doing duplication checks on LoAs given info received today on G1 offers, to avoid buying LoAs off each other.	Not proposing to do LoA duplication checks	TBC	Closed	WG18
37	WG10	AC/AQ	To confirm Gate 1 contracts are formal binding contracts and clarify terminology accordingly	Yes in relation to content	TBC	Closed	WG18
38	WG11	MO	Updated Action: To expand on licence change conditions/obligations, including any suggested changes to the Licensed offer timescales	ESO decision to not draft licence text suggestions	TBC	Closed	WG25
39	WG11	MO	To share ESO suggested Licensed offer timescales changes from 3 months with the Workgroup	Combined with action 38	TBC	Closed	WG18
40	WG11	RF	To share licence changes programme timescales with Workgroup	No longer part of CMP434	TBC	Closed	WG25
41	WG12	PM	To share analysis/feedback which informs the Gate 2 period offer acceptance to submission of application for Planning Consent	SME view – DNV analysis did not add anything further, no public data available. Credible data sources were timings provided by WG and consultation respondents	TBC	Closed	WG23
42	WG12	JH	To provide an update of the action log at Workgroup 13		WG13	Closed	WG13
43	WG16	DH/GL	Investigate whether changes are required to STCP 18-7 based on the CMP434 solution	Changes not considered to be required to STCP 18-7	ASAP	Closed	WG23

Public

44	WG16	DH/GL	Consider whether an update is required to the STC Panel on timings of STCP modifications and approval route	An update will be provided to the STC Panel Representatives via email circulation.	ASAP	Closed	WG18
45	WG16	RW	Provide narrative within Workgroup Consultation on Connection Point and Capacity Reservation	Within Workgroup Consultation for review	4549 2	Closed	WG16
46	WG16	AL	Provide narrative within Workgroup Consultation relating to CATOs		4549 2	Closed	WG16
47	WG16	MO	Amend 'Why Change' and 'Interactions' sections of Workgroup Consultation document		4549 2	Closed	WG16
48	WG16	LT	Amend Proposer's solution section within Executive Summary	Within Workgroup Consultation for review	4549 2	Closed	WG16
49	WG17	MO	Updated action: SMEs to share a short summary of the methodologies and the underlying principles of this modification. This should include a plan for development of methodologies, including timescales and engagement with stakeholders.	Methodologies session held 16 September, and there will be a webinar held on 7 October for those who missed the in-person event. Further session on methodologies and CP30 scheduled for 16 October and Methodology consultation to take place Nov-Dec. (Exact date TBC.)	TBC	Closed	WG29
50	WG18	AQ	Provide the ESO view on the legal position associated with Element 1 of the Proposal in the context of the Ofgem decision-making process on code change	Draft Legal Text provided	TBC	Closed	WG23

Public

51	WG18	HM	Provide further explanation/evidence on the perceived flexibility / timing differences between changing the content of a methodology and changing the content of a code.	Methodologies content not included as part of the Proposal except the extent of inclusion in the draft legal text	TBC	Closed	WG25
52	WG18	MO	Review consultation responses from directly connected Demand Users, and provide an update on intentions for Element 3	Reviewed – whilst concerns noted plan to keep Directly Connected Demand in scope. (Can be closed.)	TBC	Closed	
53	WG18	DD/SG	Clarify whether developer requested changes within a Significant Modification Application could potentially be so significant that they result in an application having to be restarted or having the contract terminated, etc	Some requests could be so significant that, if accepted, the project would not retain their contracted 'queue' position	TBC	Closed	WG23
54	WG18	RPa/AP	Clarify whether the ESO will still be providing indicative dates to DNOs Pre-Gate 2	As part of the update ESO solution, DFTC has been descoped from CMP434. As Gate 1 is only optional, under this modification, we aren't proposing a solution to provide indicative dates pre-Gate 2 under CMP434. ESO view is that we would like to have indicative dates but we will need to work with DNO, iDNOs and TO's	TBC	Closed	

Public

to decide a way forward. As mentioned before, we are currently exploring forecast information for small/medium REPs forming part of Week 24 data under Grid Code. We need to understand where this lands and likely implementation date of any Grid Code change and are suggesting that we have a decision point at the end of Q1 2025, to understand if we need to progress work in this area prior to the implementation of a Grid Code change. Assumption is that if this is required, it will be outside CMP434.

55	WG18	DD	Re-review consultation feedback specific to the ESO position on any Non-GB Projects (as consulted on within the WG Consultation) and either confirm that the position still remains unchanged or confirm new position to the Workgroup.	SME – amended position is within the redline text	TBC	Closed	WG23
----	------	----	---	---	-----	--------	------

Public

56	WG18	MO	Confirmation of when the financial instruments modification will be raised.	ESO are currently performing an options assessment, and outcome of that (i.e. the specific option we proceed with) will dictate the timelines that we will need to follow. Aim to have rolling update on TMO4+ programme, which can capture both actions 49/56 going forwards.	TBC	Closed	WG29
57	WG18	AQ	Consider Innova response and confirm whether ESO feels that Element 9 is consistent with Electricity Regulations in terms of discrimination.	Draft Legal Text provided	TBC	Closed	WG23
58	WG18	PM	Clarify whether anything in Proposal could allow the Gate 2 criteria to be amended and applied retrospectively i.e. with a Gate 2 project then no longer being a Gate 2 project, even where it is complying with its ongoing compliance obligations.	Legal Text Circulated does not allow the Gate 2 criteria to be amended and applied retrospectively	TBC	Closed	WG25

Public

59	WG19	PM	Element 11 – Produce examples to provide clarification to the Workgroup (slide 25) on how using installed capacity could work in practice	Illustrative examples in Workgroup Report and will add further specifics to the Gate 2 Criteria Methodology (re: requirement to provide an Original Red Line Boundary) and QM guidance (re: ongoing land compliance requirement). Installed Capacity definition to be shared as part of legal text updates – possibly look to close WG30 following CMP434 legal text discussion. Propose to close the when the installed capacity definition is agreed.	TBC	Closed	WG34
----	------	----	---	---	-----	--------	------

Public

60	WG19	PM	Element 11 – Consider Workgroup Member request to provide analysis to show which projects could benefit from the Proposals (slide 26) to have a milestone adjustment ability for ESO e.g. where a developer asks for an earlier date and gets a later date, or asks for and gets a later date (but this is due to a normal programme timescales e.g. mega projects) to avoid unintended outcomes.	Any Developer can evidence why they can't meet the forward looking M1 (if earlier than the backwards looking M1) this and propose a different M1 (noting this cannot be later than the backwards looking M1) and then NESO consider; therefore, difficult to pinpoint which projects could benefit. The plan is to add some commentary on what could be an acceptable exception in the updated QM Guidance.	TBC	Closed	WG29
61	WG19	RPa/M O	Element 17 - To confirm BEGA application information i.e. in relation to what happens where a relevant small or medium EG project gets a different GSP to what they expected (as a result of the Gate 2 process and via the DNO) (Garths question)	GG content with RPa email response?	TBC	Closed	WG23
62	WG19	RPa	Element 17 – To provide a pictorial representation of BEGA/BELLA process as proposed	Update provided in WG22	TBC	Closed	WG23
63	WG19	Rpa	Element 17 – Create an additional swimlane/s for chevron diagram for BEGA/BELA	Update provided in WG22	TBC	Closed	WG23

Public

64	WG20	Rpa	Element 17 - To produce prescribed timelines/timescales (Garths request as per slide 13) for both small and large	Update provided in WG22	TBC	Closed	WG23
65	WG19	PM	To update forward looking milestone examples (provided in Annex 1)	Completed	TBC	Closed	Post WG 19 need to be sent to WG
66	WG19	MO	More information on timeline on CP30 plans/impacts to be shared once they are available (to compare to the code change programme, including voting timetable).	Connection reform event slides shared with members	TBC	Closed	WG25
67	WG20	PA/JI	Offline discussion regarding Alternative Request 3 proposal	Considered but declined – request info from members land/planning experts or raise Alternative to suggest different time sales	TBC	Closed	WG23
68	WG20	MO	Consider workshops to allow discussion time for forward looking milestones and expectations for planning	Considered but declined – request info from members land/planning experts or raise Alternative to suggest different time sales	TBC	Closed	WG23
69	WG20	CG	To reach out to ESO to consider Alternative Request 7 raised and what further information would be required from the Proposer to respond to questions raised in WG20 with regards to novel technologies.	Reached out to ESO	TBC	Closed	WG21
70	WG20	CG	A request from a Workgroup member to share CPAG minutes from 22 July 2024	Sent in WG21 Papers	TBC	Closed	WG21

Public

71	WG21	AP	ESO to confirm whether in practice new GSPs (related to DNOs or Transmission connected iDNOs) will ever not have relevant EG associated with them in future	Update from AP WG22	TBC	Closed	WG23
72	WG21	TE/CH	Amend Alternative Request Proposal 22 and feedback to Workgroup		TBC	Closed	WG25
73	WG21	LH	Provide analysis/evidence of the impact of Alternative Request 23 (NGED) and consider alternative ways of solving the issue e.g. more windows (PY comment)		TBC	Closed	WG25
74	WG21	LH/HS	Proposers of Alternative Requests 8 and 23 to liaise and consult on whether proposals may be merged	Agreed to retain two separate requests but amended to be more aligned	TBC	Closed	WG23
75	WG21	AQ/LH	RE – Alternative Request 23 – To consult legal teams as to whether a 10- or 20-day obligation is most appropriate within the CUCS or in the licence		TBC	Closed	WG25
76	WG21	MO	Provide Workgroup feedback to the ESO Policy and Change team on the absence of wider industry consultation on the Technology Change Guidance Paper	Feedback has been provided to the team who are considering it	TBC	Closed	WG23

Public

77	WG26	MO	Clarify what is proposed in terms of allowable changes with/without Modification Applications (e.g. acceleration)	A Modification Application to a Gate 2 Agreement (or a Gate 1 Agreement including Reservation) will be Gated Modification Application where it is determined to be one by The Company in accordance with the Gated Modification Guidance, as per the proposed legal text. Therefore, the answer to the example depends on what is included within the final Gated Modification Guidance, but it is currently expected that a developer request to advance their confirmed connection date will in future ordinarily require a Modification Application. The date for this to be shared with industry remains TBC. As is the case today,	TBC	Closed	WG29
----	------	----	---	---	-----	--------	------

Public

				alternative forms of contract update are possible e.g. notices, Agreements to Vary, etc.			
78	WG26	MO	Provide update on process and timescales to amend charging statements (re: application fees) and an indication on what scale of change could be seen to application fees.	TOs provide NESO with indicative information End Oct and we get the final information End Jan. We then finalise/update End Feb and publish 1st April, so developers will find out sometime in Q1 2025 what the applications fees look like re: TMO4+ / FY25/26.	TBC	Closed	WG31

Public

79	WG26	MO	Clarify when applicants will have visibility that there is to be Reservation associated with their project and separately when wider industry will be informed about where Reservation has occurred, whether in relation to a specific project or otherwise.	Applicants are intended to have the visibility of (and ultimately the choice to decline) the NESO proposed reservation as part of the detailed application window process. It remains TBC on what will be published and when more broadly, but there is currently nothing in the Proposal or legal text in relation to publication of	TBC	Closed	WG29
				Replaced with actions 82 & 83.			

Public

80	WG26	MO	Provide information on where the power and criteria for reservation will be set out and what the Governance process is around this.	Power/process is / will be via STC changes. Governance process will be developed internally in future (once methodologies are available) in relation to how and when to propose to utilise. Original solution is not proposing to codify any additional obligations for NESO to publish any more data or information than present	TBC	Closed	WG29
81	WG26	MO	Provide timelines for milestones within the TOCO process	These are to be / have been added with WG Report and Legal Text (CM095)	TBC	Closed	WG31
82	WG26	MO	Explain and then be clear in WG report how the non-project specific reserved capacity would be allocated by the NESO, as well as how who pays what and when both prior to it being allocated, and from the point at which it is allocated.	Text added to WG Report to explain. – Update as to where this is located in the Workgroup Report	TBC	Closed	WG31

Public

83	WG26	MO	Clarity whether NESO will amend Proposal to publish i) which projects have Reservation contracted and/or ii) where NESO has reserved something non-project specific.	Proposal has not been amended and this will instead be considered more broadly in relation to connections data transparency at a later date, separate to the code modifications e.g. Reservation, queue position, QM milestones, applications and offers (as well as acceptances), etc. Workgroup member stated in WG31 they did not support the non publication of data	TBC	Closed	WG31
84	WG30	AP/BH	WACM1 – Brian H and Alison P to liaise and discuss the lower limit TIA and what the CUSC stated		TBC	Closed	WG34
85	WG30	GR	WACM2 – Grant Rogers has data from previous WACM where this may be useful and will speak to Helen about this re wording.		TBC	Closed	WG34
86	WG30	MO	Confirm NESO position on publication of queue	NESO position covered in action 83		Closed	WG31
87	WG30	BH/AQ	Brian and Angie to liaise directly on the legal text drafting for WACM1. Consider CUSC 6.5/Appendix G Schedule 2 and other locations where the criteria may be different		TBC	Closed	WG34

Public

88	WG31	CG/Ch air	To place the methodologies when published on the collaboration space for members but also to circulate to the wider distribution list for CMP434/CM095 with an agreement not to share beyond the workgroup membership	Methodologies shared to WG members 28/10/24 and are on the collaborative space	TBC	Closed	WG33
89	WG31	MO/GL	Review impact of CMP434 WACMs and whether any WASTMs are required	All CMP434 WACMs have been reviewed; STC Alternative 1 has been raised to mirror CMP434 WACM6, which was voted in as WASTM1. Other WACMs were deemed to not have a direct STC impact, however WACM5 and WACM7 may require a consequential STCP modification.	TBC	Closed	WG33
90	WG32	WKW/ AP	Consider providing an embedded generation process diagram to reflect legal text	Note in the workgroup report – it would have helped	TBC	Closed	WG34