



Public

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 2:CM097 Electromagnetic Transient (EMT) and Root Mean Square (RMS) Model Submission for Transmission Owners (TOs)

Date: 22 January 2025

Contact Details

Chair: Deborah Spencer, deborah.spencer@nationalenergyso.com Proposer: Frank Kasibante, frank.kasibante@nationalenergyso.com

Key areas of discussion

The aim of Workgroup 2 was to discuss the outstanding action items and review the legal text.

Action Updates

The Proposer suggested going through the list of open actions as the legal text was presented and reviewed.

Legal Text Review

The Workgroup members reviewed and confirmed a change to section D, 2.1.2.8 where the wording was changed to make the sentence more concise.

The Proposer presented section 2.2.6.3, where references to STCP 12-2 had now been added. A Workgroup member raised a concern about the wording, and after a brief discussion, it was agreed that the wording was appropriate.

Workgroup members discussed cost recovery mechanisms for transmission owners and offshore transmission owners (OFTOS). The Proposer identified the need to consult with the legal team and Ofgem and review existing arrangements to address potential issues, particularly for OFTO's who do not have a formal price control mechanism.

A Workgroup member confirmed that incumbent transmission owners (eg NGET, SPT and SHETL) do have price control mechanisms in place for cost recovery.

Workgroup members reviewed the STCP 12-2 draft document, focusing on the consistent use of the term 'transmission owner' and addressing comments provided. The Proposer agreed to update the document and share it with the Workgroup for a final review.

A Workgroup member raised questions around the clarity of the model validation and documentation requirements, querying the expectations for validation reports and what they should include.

• • • • • • • • •





Public

The team discussed the need for user manuals, DPS reports, and validation reports.

The Proposer agreed to take the concerns offline and review the model validation and documentation requirements in detail, discussion with the modelling team will take place and clearer guidance will be provided in the updated document.

Timeline Review

The Chair advised that the next Workgroup would meet on 18 February and emphasised the importance of sending alternates if Workgroup members are unable to attend.

Next Steps

The Chair outlined the next steps, noting that the updated legal text and the Draft Workgroup report would be issued, along with the Workgroup Consultation document for GC0168.

Actions

For the full action log, click here.

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
11	2	FK/AJ	Discussion offline with Ofgem and the NESO Legal team regarding cost recovery mechanisms for offshore transmission owners	WG3	Open
12	2	FK	Speak with the modelling team to clarify the expectations for the validation report in STCP 12-2 and update the document accordingly.	WG3	Open
13	2	FK	Share the updated legal text with the Workgroup members	WG3	Open
14	2	DS/SW	Share the Draft Workgroup Consultation document and the GC0168 Workgroup consultation	WG3	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Deborah Spencer	DS	NESO Code Governance	Chair
Sarah Williams	SW	NESO Code Governance	Technical
			Secretary

• • • • • • • • • •



Public

Frank Kasibante	FK	NESO	Proposer
Antony Johnson	AJ	NESO	Observer
Darshak Shah	DS	Offshore Transmission	Workgroup
		Licencee	member
Dewu Shu	DS	GE Vernova	Workgroup
			member
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Energy Networks	Workgroup
			member
1114	15.4	Diama and Transporting Com-	NA/ - ula sur - cus
Joel Matthews	JM	Diamond Transmission Corp	Workgroup
			member
Maria Ebue	ME	Voltalia UK Ltd	Observer
Srinivas Edla	SE	SSEN	Workgroup
			member
Tomasz Sulawa	TS	M3 Wind	
Wuxing Liang	WL	The Crown Estate	Observer
Yuan Chen	YC	NESO	Workgroup
			member

• • • • • • • • • •