

Public

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

CM095: Implementing Connections Reform

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to stcteam@nationalenergyso.com by **5pm GMT on 26 November 2024**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address will not be accepted.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact stcteam@nationalenergyso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
Respondent name:	Graham Pannell	
Company name:	BayWa r.e. UK	
Email address:	graham.pannell@baywa-re.co.uk	
Phone number:	07823432508	
Which best describes your organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

☒ **Non-Confidential** (*this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration*)

☐ **Confidential** (*this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration*)

Public

For reference the Applicable STC Objectives are:

- a) *efficient discharge of the obligations imposed upon transmission licensees by transmission licences and the Act*
- b) *development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, economical and coordinated system of electricity transmission*
- c) *facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the distribution of electricity*
- d) *protection of the security and quality of supply and safe operation of the national electricity transmission system insofar as it relates to interactions between transmission licensees*
- e) *promotion of good industry practice and efficiency in the implementation and administration of the arrangements described in the STC.*
- f) *facilitation of access to the national electricity transmission system for generation not yet connected to the national electricity transmission system or distribution system;*
- g) *compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency.*

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions																		
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solutions against the Applicable Objectives?	<p>Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solutions better facilitate:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Original</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>a</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>b</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>c</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>d</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>e</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>f</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/>g</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ASM1</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>a</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>b</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>c</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>d</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>e</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>f</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/>g</td> </tr> </table> <p>Agree with proposal and CMP434 (with CMP434 WACM2, WACM6 WACM7)</p>	Original	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> f	<input type="checkbox"/> g	ASM1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> f	<input type="checkbox"/> g
Original	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> f	<input type="checkbox"/> g											
ASM1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> f	<input type="checkbox"/> g											
2	Do you have a preferred proposed solution?	<p><input type="checkbox"/>Original</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>ASM1</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Baseline</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>No preference</p> <p>As per CMP434 response, in support of WACM6 (as well as WACM2, WACM7):</p> <p>ASM1 as CMP434 WACM6 is an obligation to hold a review – this must happen as good practice regardless of this ASM/WACM! We believe such a review to be essential, and the only question being one of timing. For avoidance of doubt we therefore support this ASM as an improvement to the Original.</p>																

Public

3	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		Click or tap here to enter text.
4	Do you have any other comments?	Click or tap here to enter text.