

Station Name: Wyvis Hydro

Connection Site/GSP: Grudie Bridge

Company Name: SHEPD

MITS Substations: Beaully

Derogation Report Ref: 12-DR-261-B1-Rev1

Part 1: Technical Description of Non Compliance *[To be completed by the relevant Transmission Owner.]*

Relevant Paragraph(s) of NETS Security and Quality of Supply Standard	Cause	Part of System Affected	Initial Conditions		Interim Operational Solution	Long Term Solution, to include brief description of access requirements.	Derogation Expiry Date
			System Intact	Circuit Outage			
NETS SQSS Section 4 Clauses 4.4 – 4.10	Trip of Beaully Denny line	Overload of Beaully – Nairn – Keith 132kV circuits	System intact at ACS peak demand System conditions expected to arise in the course of a year	None Typical planned outage pattern	NETSO operational measures in operational timescales in accordance with Section 5 of the NETS SQSS	SHETL to develop and construct the following transmission reinforcements: i) SHETL-RI-007c: Blackhillock redevelopment ii) SHETL-RI-026: Blackhillock 275kV QBs iii) SHETL-RI-031a: Spittal substation and 600MW HVDC link to Moray Offshore Hub iv) SHETL-RI-031b: Moray Offshore Hub to Blackhillock 600MW HVDC link v) SHETL-RI-031c: Moray Offshore Hub plus incremental 600MW HVDC works to Blackhillock <i>Completion dates subject to consents and regulatory approval</i>	Derogation is sought until completion of listed long-term reinforcement solutions. Derogation triggered by advancing generator connections via connect and manage arrangements

**See 2011 Seven Year Statement Figure C.1.4 –
“SHETL Forecast Power Flows at Winter Peak,
2014/15” for network configuration**

Station Name: Wyvis Hydro

Connection Site/GSP: Grudie Bridge

Company Name: SHEPD

MITs Substations: Beaully

Derogation Report Ref: 12-DR-261-B1-Rev1

Part 2: Expected Consequence of Non -Compliance. *[To be completed by the System Operator, with reference to appropriate Transmission Owner.]*

Value of Carbon Benefit (£k), (including time period over which cost benefit is calculated).	
Summary of proposed System Operator actions to manage non- compliance. <i>To include: pricing assumptions. Description of diversity within the group (not to include reference to particular projects) User agreements for services such as energy management or intertrips. Contribution of project to wider non compliance at boundary level.</i>	
Estimated range of costs to manage non compliance (£k). To include time period over which costs are assessed.	
Description of risk due to network non compliance. e.g. constraint increase due to project delay	