

Public

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

CMP435: Application of Gate 2 Criteria to existing contracted background

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalenergyso.com by **5pm GMT on 26 November 2024**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address will not be accepted.

Please be aware that late responses will not be accepted.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact elana.byrne@nationalenergyso.com and catia.gomes@nationalenergyso.com or cusc.team@nationalenergyso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
Respondent name:	Sam Railton	
Company name:	Centrica	
Email address:	samuel.railton@centrica.com	
Phone number:	07557610745	
Which best describes your organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Storage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

Non-Confidential (*this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration*)

Confidential (*this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration*)

Public

For reference, the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:

- a) *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
- b) *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- c) *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*
- d) *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

**The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.*

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solution(s) against the Applicable Objectives?	Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solution(s) better facilitates:
		Original <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		Centrica believes that both the Original Proposal and WACM1 better facilitate the CUSC objectives a), b), and d) in comparison to the Baseline. The connections process within the current Baseline is in need of significant reform, with action needed to address the size of the existing queue, and ensure that viable,

Public

		<p>ready and essential projects receive timely, and where feasible, accelerated connections to the grid, thus allowing NESO to more efficiently fulfill its obligations and facilitate competition within the generation and supply of electricity. Intervention is also necessary to support progress against Clean Power 2030 targets. The Baseline does not sufficiently address these issues.</p>
2	<p>Do you have a preferred proposed solution?</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Original <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM1 <input type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference </p> <p>Centrica believes that WACM1 is better than the Original Proposal, as it allows for Gate 2 qualified applicants to consider the viability of their projects with new information, including the possible impact of spatial requirements that may emerge through the Clean Power Plan for 2030. This will allow developers with 'Gate 2 qualified projects' to make better informed decisions as to whether or not to request advancement (or to revert to Gate 1).</p>
3	<p>Do you support the proposed implementation approach?</p>	<p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </p> <p>Centrica is generally supportive of the implementation approach set out by NESO in the consultation document. It is important, however, to reiterate the need for NESO to set out clear calendar dates for these</p>

Public

		reforms, including implementation date and cutover date. This should be addressed as a priority.
4	Do you have any other comments?	No
5	Do you agree with the Workgroup's assessment that the modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		Centrica is in agreement with the Workgroup's assessment.