

Public

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

CMP434: Implementing Connections Reform

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalenergyso.com by **5pm GMT on 26 November 2024**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address will not be accepted.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact cusc.team@nationalenergyso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
Respondent name:	Blesson Thomas	
Company name:	Clearstone Energy	
Email address:	Blesson.thomas@clearstoneenergy.com	
Phone number:	07585568711	
Which best describes your organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

Non-Confidential (*this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration*)

Confidential (*this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration*)

Public

For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:

- a) *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
- b) *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- c) *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and*
- d) *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

**The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.*

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solutions against the Applicable Objectives?	Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solutions better facilitate:
		Original <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM1 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM2 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM3 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM4 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM5 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM6 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM7 <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		Click or tap here to enter text.
2	Do you have a preferred proposed solution?	<input type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> WACM1 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM2

Public

		<input type="checkbox"/> WACM3 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM4 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM5 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM6 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference
<p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>		
3	<p>Do you support the proposed implementation approach?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <p>Our concerns align with those raised by CMP435. The tight deadlines do not take the current consultation timelines into account, and this issue has not been adequately addressed. Specifically, this proposal jeopardises users who have already made significant progress in connecting first. The introduction of these additional processes hampers serious participants and undermines investor confidence. This approach does not fulfil the aspirations outlined for the CP30</p> <p>The Queue Management and User Commitment methodology should be adequate for monitoring the progress of parties involved and should allow for termination if progress is not made. These proposals should only apply to new connections made after the 2030 connection date, rather than those made before the 2030s, as it would not be economical or efficient to progress with the latter significantly.</p> <p>TMO4—Queue Management should provide confidence for any sufficiently advanced existing project delaying any postponement to comply with Gate 2 requirements. The threshold for this exemption applies to projects planned up to 2029 that have obtained planning consent and can demonstrate a route to market. The financial routes could be</p>

Public

		CFD or CM markets, in this case, or even parties that are hedging against an energy arbitrage market.
4	Do you have any other comments?	Queue management and User commitment milestones should aid in projects in pre-2030 to accelerate and exclude speculative users who have no aspiration to connect. Not related, Queue management milestones need to be aligned with the user-committed programme from suppliers rather than the standard runways proposed.
5	Do you agree with the Workgroup's assessment that the modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
		This will delay progressive users to get on the system to manage the balancing of the system with clean energy.