

Public

## Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

### CMP434: Implementing Connections Reform

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Arjan Geveke	
<b>Company name:</b>	Energy Intensive Users Group	
<b>Email address:</b>	director@eiug.co.uk	
<b>Phone number:</b>	07951387408	
<b>Which best describes your organisation?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Generator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

#### I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

**Non-Confidential** (*this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration*)

**Confidential** (*this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration*)

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solutions against the Applicable Objectives?	Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solutions better facilitate:
		Original <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d

Public

		WACM4	<input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM5	<input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM6	<input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d
		WACM7	<input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d
		Re WACM5, the EIUG believes that designation of certain project will be necessary and argues to retain project designation. Moreover, the criteria to designate certain projects should also include 'greenhouse gas reduction' to align the methodology to the Government's Net Zero target and carbon budgets.	
2	Do you have a preferred proposed solution?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM3 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM4 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM5 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM7 <input type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference	
		Click or tap here to enter text.	
3	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
		Click or tap here to enter text.	
4	Do you have any other comments?	Click or tap here to enter text.	

## Public

5	Do you agree with the Workgroup's assessment that the modification does not impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <hr/> <p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
---	---	---