**ANNEX 1**

**Summary of Housekeeping changes Post GC0136 falling within the scope of Grid Code Modification GC0169**

| **Source** | **Section** | **Query** | **Notes** | **Status** | **Scope / Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tony Johnson | BC2.13 | LIAISON WITH INTERCONNECTOR OWNERS  (a) Calculate the Interconnector Scheduled Transfer  i) **Interconnector Owners** shall use best endeavours to deliver an updated **Interconnector Scheduled Transfer** to NGET by 10 minutes after each  **Intraday Cross-Zonal Gate Closure Time**.  ii) The updated **Interconnector Scheduled Transfer** shall fully reflect the results of the Single Intraday Coupling.  iii) **Interconnector Owners** must ensure that the updated **Interconnector Scheduled Transfer** is received in its entirety and logged into NGET’s computer systems by the time of 10 minutes after each **Intraday Cross-Zonal Gate Closure Time**. | **Intraday Cross-Zonal Gate Closure Time** is bold but not a defined term – should it be unbolded or added as a defined term?  **Interconnector Scheduled Transfer** is bold in some cases but not a defined term – should it be unbolded or added as a defined term? | Some of the GC0099 legal text is in the current baseline, but some is not, so there is an inconsistency in the baseline. The new defined terms don’t appear in the Glossary & Definitions as per the GC0099 legal text, but the new section BC2.13 includes the terms in bold.  No change to be made as fixing this would be a material change.  We propose picking this up separately, potentially once TERRE and MARI have been implemented | Within scope of GC0169  Text and definitions updated but requires ENCC SME Assessment.  Guidance received from SME’s – Advice is to unbold the non defined Grid Code terms. (if they were bolded the all the other protocols and legal documents would need to be updated which has little value.  Latest Grid Code already removes undefined bold terms. |
| Tony Johnson | GC.5.2 | Unless otherwise specified in the **Grid Code**, all instructions given by **The Company** and communications (other than relating to the submission of data and notices) between **The Company** and **Generators** and/or **DC Converter Station** owners and/or **Suppliers**, shall take place between the **The Company Control Engineer** based at the **Transmission Control Centre** notified by **The Company** to each **Generator** or **DC Converter Station** owner prior to connection, or to each **Supplier** prior to submission of **BM Unit Data**, and either the relevant **Generator's** or **DC Converter Station** owner’s or **Supplier’s Trading Point** (if it has established one) notified to **The Company** or the **Control Point** of the **Supplier** or the **Generator's Power Station** or **DC Converter Station**, as specified in each relevant section of the **Grid Code**. In the absence of notification to the contrary, the **Control Point** of a **Generator’s Power Station** will be deemed to be the **Power Station** at which the **Generating Units** or **Power Park Modules** are situated. | Suggest rewording this section for clarity / readability – unclear on meaning of the passage | No change made – out of scope for GC0136 | Within scope of GC0169  Text has been substantially revised and requires Workgroup Assessment and Legal Review  Legal Advice is that the wording needs to be revised to separate out the different classes of User – AJ to progress  Text has been substantially revised and requires Workgroup Assessment and Legal Review |
| Tony Johnson | GC.5.4 | If the **Transmission Control Centre** notified by **The Company** to each **User** prior to connection, or the **User Control Centre**, notified in the case of a **Network Operator** to **The Company** prior to connection, is moved to another location, whether due to an emergency or for any other reason, **The Company** shall notify the relevant **User** or the **User** shall notify **The Company**, as the case may be, of the new location and any changes to the **Control Telephony** or **System Telephony** necessitated by such move, as soon as practicable following the move. | Suggest rewording this section for clarity / readability | No change made – out of scope for GC0136 | Within scope of GC0169  Text has been substantially revised and requires Workgroup Assessment and Legal Review  Legal review received and happy with proposed changes. |
| Mike Kay | G&D | I notice in the G&D the definition of **Caution Notice** – only because it has moved. But it’s not a good definition. It’s a moot point why a safety rules term needs defining in the Grid Code – but wouldn’t it be more appropriate to be more specific and refer to its use to demark a point of isolation? |  | Potentially material so out of scope | Within scope of GC0169  Text updated in line with comment - requires further assessment by Workgroup and SME's to ensure this change is appropriate and does not have any unintended consequences.  Two comments received – one from NGED and one from NGET – Proposed suggestion is ***Caution Notice*** *“a notice in an approved form that indicates ~~a~~* ***safety precautions*** *~~point of Isolation~~ and prohibits unauthorised interference”* still requires confirmation. |
| Mike Kay | ? | I can’t immediately spot where the newly defined inertia terms are used – but there should be no confusion - it is not permissible to make SI units into plurals… the use of “s” is specifically deprecated because of this: SI units are both singular and plural. I do note, however, that you seem to be deviating from SI practice in using MVAr instead of MVar. So although what I think you’re doing for MVAr is actually wrong (according to SI who clearly can’t get the staff these days) – I like it! | Its in G&D as ‘Active Power Seconds or MWs | This issue is material and requires a separate mod. Change removed from legal text. | Within scope of GC0169  For Workgroup discussion – The initial view is that this should be MWs – The text has been changed, however Workgroup and SME input is required to ensure correct consistency across the codes and related documents. Once updated other sections of the code will need to be updated. Are there any other terms / SI units that require review.  Resolved in discussion between MK and AJ in meeting held on 11/10/2024 – AJ to update and recirculate |
| Alan Creighton | GC.11.2 | This isn't clear to me.  Can NGET change an electrical standard?  I think that a User or NGET can change an annex a document a User or The Company can change an annex b document a User or SP Transmission can change an annex c document a User or SHE Transmission can change an annex d document |  | Out of Scope, no change made | Within scope of GC0169  Re-Worded but suggest a substantive change is made to make it clearer- To be discussed with Workgroup / Legal  AC to review – AJ has spoken to Legal and it is complex as it is unclear if User’s include The Company – To be discussed in Workgroup  Comments received from AC and MK. Check with Legal |
| Alan Creighton | GC15.1 | Can this be removed |  | No change made – out of scope | Within scope of GC0169  Propose to delete but need to check with Legal  AJ discussed with Legal and agreed this can be deleted. |
| Isaac Gutierrez | G99 11.2.4.3 and 12.2.4.3 and 13.2.4.3  ECC 6.3.7.1.4  & BC3.7.3a | For PPM this will not be required if the wind has dropped to a level where the PPM power output is below the Minimum Stable Operating Level. Need wording indicating so |  | No change made – out of scope | Within scope of GC0169  Grid Code – ECC.6.3.7.1.4 and BC3.7.3(a) updated. G99 has not been updated. Workgroup to agree drafting.  There is not believed to be any need to change the CC’s as this requirement is covered in BC3.7.3(a) – the only close reference is CC.6.3.7(c)(i) but no change is believed to be necessary for this clause.  Issue resolved – G99 now reflects Grid Code and Isaac has confirmed this.  Comments from Alan and Mike including correction of typos. Propose to delete suggested text in BC3.7.3a as I am not sure it is necessary based on Mike’s text. The same comment applies in ECC.6.3.7.1.4. Comments from AC split between GC0169 and GC0173. |
| Isaac Gutierrez | C.9.3.3 | Comments re min stable operating level |  | Out of scope for this mod, may be possible to include in GC0138 compliance mod, but will require discussion with the proposer | Within scope of GC0169  G99 issue  As above – Latest version of G99 is now consistent with Grid Code – issue resolved |
| Graeme Vincent | G&D | I’ve also noticed from the list of Electricity Licensees that Ofgem publish from time to time that Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission is also a plc – so whether you wish to make this amendment at the same time or wait till they notify you as it probably also means changing all references to SHETL as the L is no longer applicable. There are quite a few SHETL references in use throughout the Grid Code, so not just as easy as making a couple of changes within the definitions. |  | Change made for SP Transmission plc vs current SP Transmission Limited)  SHET plc has not been updated as this is quite a substantial change due to the number of times it appears | Within scope of GC0169  Text updated in addition to checking SPT, NGET and the ESO – See [List of all electricity licensees including suppliers (ofgem.gov.uk)](https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2024-06/electricity_licencees.pdf)  Requires Workgroup and Legal Text assessment before making a global change.  AJ has also checked with Legal and happy for this to be changed to plc. |
| Sigrid Bolik | CPA3.2.1 | CP.A.3.2.1 is changed from currently open loop to closed loop response. This may be difficult looking at the Synchronous generators Excitation system and a significant shift in analysis looking at the voltage control excitation of the synchronous generator to the voltage control at the terminals of the generator, it is additional work and may increase costs. |  | No change made. Out of scope for GC0136. This comment refers to CPA3.2.1(iii) – agree | **Specialist issue - outside scope of GC0169**  Resolved separately through Action 460 of Grid Code Review Panel  Resolved |
| Sigrid Bolik | ~~CPA3.2.1~~  OC9.6.4 | OC9.6.4 – needs more work in formulation and important that there is a clear action. |  | No change made – out of scope agreed with group | Within scope of GC0169 – Reference to CPA.3.2.1 is believed to be in error  A minor change has been made to the text but believe the workgroup need to agree this and the ESO can then confirm back to Sigrid.  AJ has contacted Sigrid and agreed to close action – Propose to leave text as is. |