

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status	Workgroup Closed
1	WG1	AT/SB	Revise Terms of Reference based on Workgroup feedback	To submit to May Panels following discussion and changes made in WG3	WG3 (23/05/24)	Closed	WG4
2	WG1	AT	Document that charging and user commitments will be out of scope for CMP435		N/A	Closed	WG11
3	WG1	AT, SB	Proposers for CMP435 and CM096 to confirm the consequential plans in case the Connections Network Design Methodology is not in place/parties have not complied in time		Ongoing	Closed	WG3
4	WG1	EB	CMP435 - Workgroup discussions to cover whether NESO will designate some projects as Gate 2 (PM to confirm – TOR j can be reviewed for this if needed)		Ongoing	Closed	WG3
5	WG1	AT	Clarification of types of projects that will be in/out of scope for CMP435	Scope to be discussed in WG4 to help clarify the situation for different project types	WG4	Closed	WG5
6	WG1	RP	Workgroup to discuss the consequences of the SO:DNO/IDNO contract changes on DNO contracts with other parties	Not for the CMP435 solution but WG Report. WG time to be allocated to discuss this specifically	Ongoing	Closed	WG8
7	WG1	Code Admin	Collaboration space – access queries to be explored with IT		Ongoing	Closed	WG8
8	WG1	Code Admin	Workgroup & Action Review invites – invitees updated & shared		10-Apr	Closed	WG2
9	WG1	Proposers & SMEs	Process for addressing query log agreed		10-Apr	Closed	WG2
10	WG1	Code Admin	Workgroup membership to be updated and shared with Panel		10-Apr	Closed	WG2
11	WG2	AT/RW	Discuss capital contributions.		WG5	Closed	WG5
12	WG2 (amended WG4)	LH/AC	Discuss possibility of further impact assessment (RFI data). Discuss impact assessments of solution options in terms of effects on the current and future queue.	ESO have confirmed that they will not pursue the use of consultants at this time	Ongoing	Closed	WG11
13	WG2	AT/RW/GG	Develop scope diagram		WG3	Closed	WG3
14	WG2	AT/PM	Update WG topics	Completed	Ongoing	Closed	WG11
15	WG2	AT/RW	Clarify process (WG2 slide 2 particularly the yellow box)	Superseded by Process slide that PM presented to 29 May 2024 a	WG3	Closed	WG6

16	WG2	LH	Look into securities for offers	Yes, new Transitional Offers will not have securities. To be referenced in WG6 - update TBC Close and replace with updated Action 83	Jun-24	Closed	Close
17	WG2	Chair	Include updated process (annex 2 CMP434) In the slide pack for WG meetings		WG3	Closed	WG3
18	WG2	Code Admin	Add column to query log for WG members to show support for a particular query		WG3	Closed	WG3
19	WG3	PM, MO	Clarification on mod apps where CMP435/CM096 are applicable	To be referenced in WG6	WG4	Closed	WG8
20	WG3	RW, AT	TOs and ESO meeting needed to discuss data available to review capital contributions for 2024	Position on capacital contribution was shared and then set out in WG Consultation. (Further discussion may be required in future.)	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
21	WG3	AC / FP	When considering transitional arrangements, include guidance for staged projects	To be covered in more detail under Phase 2	Ongoing	Closed	
22	WG3	EB, LC	Confirmation sought from the Authority on the DESNZ position on government designation exemption for projects	This option will be out of scope for CMP435/96 - confirmation received 23.05.24 that DESNZ will not pursue a government designation exemption for projects	WG4	Closed	WG4
23	WG3	MO	ESO to check the process to avoid both DNO and ESO assessing evidence for Gate progression	There will be no duplication of effort between ESO and I/DNO in relation to checking of evidence in relation to Gate 2 - subsequently action 41 raised re: process	WG4	Closed	WG6
24	WG4	EB	Additional Workgroup meeting arranged pre-WG consultation		WG5	Closed	WG5
25	WG4	Proposers, SME, Code Gov	Topics slide – add dates to WG, consider best placement for discussion of impacts on DNO/IDNO, the WG consultation review & timings for DCUSA changes/guidance	Check with KS for DCUSA discussion (agreed with KS to be post WG consultation)	WG5	Closed	WG6
26	WG4	LC	Authority to consider licence obligations and possible penalties for DNOs/IDNOs performing checks on projects	Addressed by LC in WG5 and query 62 on query log	WG5	Closed	WG6
27	WG4	MO	Updates to the WG4 slides on Scope	For the avoidance of doubt...line, reference to Pt 1 & Pt 2, synch comps in embedded generation, wording around New Grid Supply Point/substation, reference to interconnectors - in the slide pack for WG6 for reference	WG5	Closed	WG6
28	WG4	PM	Work through different scenarios for progressing/not progressing through the Gates (accept, reject, refer) considering conditions such as restrictions on availability	1st part re: contract scenarios will be covered more at WG10 and how restrictions on availability are managed is a question for the CNDM	Ongoing	Closed	WG11
29	WG4	RP & KS	Map out the timings for implementation plan (ESO to liaise with ENA)		Ongoing	Closed	WG6
30	WG4	PM	Review process slides – ongoing compliance pulled out to apply to all scenarios on example slide, consider simplification to manage queue position based on clock start date	Queue position line added to process slide in WG6 slides; ongoing compliance was already added to process slide presented at WG5	WG5	Closed	WG6
31	WG4	RP	Call to be arranged between RP and JD about the consequences of customers not progressing if part of multi-customer applications (to then progress understanding of this via the ENA SCG groups)	Meeting Thursday 06/06. Keep open for outcomes to be shared with WG - This action is to close with a new action that captures inputs from SCG	Ongoing	Closed	WG8
32	WG4	MO	ESO to confirm rationale for 3 month waiting period for refunds	Update shared in WG5 by MO that rationale was to allow security in place to lapse vs actively cancel/return it before natural expiration	WG5	Closed	WG6
33	WG4	RE	ESO to consider the analysis available/possible to support the proposal for the Gate 1 Capacity Holding Security	CMP434/CM095 to discuss first	Ongoing	Closed	WG6
34	WG5	Code Gov, Proposers, SME	Assess the agenda for 16 July (considering time needed to review consultation responses)	N/A	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
35	WG5	RP	Updates shared to the 435/96 WG from the SCG group exploring implementation		Ongoing	Closed	WG8
36	WG5	Angie	Statement from ESO as to the CAP150 powers and how they are applied /can be applied re: ongoing compliance (include link to CAP150 info on ESO website)	This is part of the CMP434 legal text	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
37	WG5	Angie	Consequences for a false declaration on a self-certification letter outlined for CMP435/CM096 (i.e. any other than termination of agreement)		WG7	Closed	WG8
38	WG5	PM	Amend to the Planning: ongoing compliance slide to remove Gate 2, amend to Process slide to adjust in relation to reordering	Added to process slide in WG6 pack	WG6	Closed	WG6
39	WG5	PM	Date for the Gate 2 qualification dispute process could start	Disputes related to Gate 2 could be raised as and when they arise, but this is likely to be no earlier than 1st February i.e. after the deadline for the provision of the Gate 2 evidence.		Closed	WG8
40	WG5	RM/LH	RFI recipient to be confirmed for Drax	RFI sent it out to customers via a distribution list of customers from Salesforce and also published it on the website	WG6	Closed	WG6
41	WG6	PM, AP	The process & evidence requirements confirmed for DNO/IDNO evidence checking & if there will be a specific template for the self-certificate process for DNOs/IDNOs.	ESO confirmed it would be same process for Distribution and Transmission and would have a consistent template for Distribution and Transmission	WG7	Closed	WG8
42	WG6	AC/FP	Check with legal as to the clock start dates for new applications considering the point of implementation after an Authority decision (is 15th of November date is legally acceptable as the Gate 1 process only comes to existence 10 Working days after Authority decision?)	Clarity on this should be provided by the legal text	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
43	WG6	RM	Clarify the recourse available to industry if they disagree with the a specific NESO designation or NESO designation as a process and the basis of (link to query 50 from GG – on what legal basis the ESO can designate projects to not meet CMP435 criteria)	Process to challenge is TBC and would need to be set out in future within licence and/or methodology.		Closed	WG8
44	WG6	RM	Confirmation about whether NESO designation applications, decisions and decision rationales would be published.	Obligations to publish are TBC and would need to be set out in future within licence and/or methodology.		Closed	WG15

45	WG6	RM	Confirm when NESO designation guidance is likely to be finalised (NESO Designation Methodology, CND Methodology and Gate 2 Criteria Methodologies)	Superseded by Action 59?		Closed	WG11
46	WG6	MO	Check if the three competition routes for reserving bays will be codified and stipulate the specific routes applicable.	Verbal update from MO confirming intention would be to codify within STC/STCP the circumstances in which connection point and capacity reservation could be applied.		Closed	WG8
47	WG6	RM	ESO to reflect on the NESO designation vs Ofgem derogation question and respond to the Workgroup with a confirmed position.	Propose to continue with methodology approach rather than derogation approach as suggested in WG.		Closed	WG8
48	WG6	PM, MO, AD	Call arranged to discuss interconnectors and OHA in relation to CMP435 impacts	Call being scheduled between ESO and I/C WG member w/c 17 June		Closed	WG8
49	WG7	RP	Feedback gathered from Friday 21 June meeting with DNOs on distribution mirroring the low level dispute process proposed in CMP435/CM096	This item was deprioritised at the call on the 21st June. Expectation is to discuss on the 28th June at Baringa workshop Verbal update to be provided by RP	Completed	Closed	WG12
50	WG7	RP	Check with ENA/INA regarding involvement of IDNOs in a SCG working group	Kyle from ENA to provide an update at WG7	WG7	Closed	WG8
51	WG7	LH	To update on guidance on transitional arrangements for staged projects	To be covered in WG10	WG10	Closed	WG11
52	WG7	KP/LH	Share any experience shared of minimum sample checking (e.g. CMP376) and revisions of sample %	The ESO do not do a % of checks for CMP376, we check all of the evidence that is submitted for this process		Closed	WG8
53	WG7	Code Gov	Update slide 57 from WG7 for wording relating to alternatives and the need for a defect		Ongoing	Closed	WG9
54	WG8	PM	5th option to manage risk of early planning submissions to be added to the list (rectification period)	Done and will be within WG consultation		Closed	WG9
55	WG8	PM	Forward looking milestones illustrative examples for staged offers (same and different technologies)	Worked example annexed to CMP434 Workgroup Consultation		Closed	WG15
56	WG8	MO	Clarification with legal regarding guidance and introduction of any new obligations	We have provided an update on the view of guidance in regard to the legal text. Initial legal text has been presented to WG with an opportunity to comment	Ongoing	Closed	WG21
57	WG8	MO	ESO set out the processes and timing for determining liability and security for April 2025 and October 2025	April 2025 and October 2025 processes are currently expected to continue as normal in respect of existing agreements but this will be kept under review.	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
58	WG8	HM	ESO set out how the new fast track process fits within the existing disputes/escalation processes of the CUSC and Transmission Licence	To be covered off within this WG	WG9	Closed	WG9
59	WG8	MO	Provide WG with the list of documents outside the mod, the principles for guidance docs and timelines for the development of methodology documents.	Methodologies session held on the 16th. Further session to be scheduled Mid-October. Methodology consultation to take place Nov-Dec. (Exact dates for both TBC.) Guidance to be used is already known, as this was set out in WG consultation.	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
60	WG8	RP	(Replacement for Action 35) Provide relevant updates from SCG.	Suggest that this is closed as an action and instead we have a standing agenda item moving forward	Ongoing	Closed	
61	WG8	PM	(Amendments to action 52) ESO to confirm intention for % evidence checks vs 100% checks for CMP376.	Introducing right to do 100% checks. Close and WG to raise in CMP434	WG10	Closed	WG15
62	WG8	PM	ESO to enquire with Ofgem about them setting a % evidence check level.	Introducing right to do 100% checks. WG to raise in CMP434	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
63	WG9	HM	Consideration of 'dispute' process being renamed to avoid confusion with the formal CUSC process (e.g. disagreement process)	Now calling it "fast track resolution process" and that will go into the Workgroup Consultation - Workgroup Members can propose alternative wording if they wish to as part of the Workgroup review of the draft Workgroup Consultation	Ongoing	closed	WG11
64	WG9	FS	Reference term for 'land rights' document to avoid confusion with LOA	Noted and will ensure Workgroup Consultation makes this distinction (if not already)	Ongoing	Closed	WG11
65	WG9	FS	ESO to look into the data checks between D + T by ESO (data transfer) for criteria/duplication	100% duplication checks; format on how D tell T to be confirmed but not part of 435 Mod	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
66	WG9	PM	Self cert letter to ask for explicit declaration if applying for Gate 2 via Distribution and Transmission routes (re duplication checks)	Provided an updated list of what is intended to be included in the self-certification letter	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
67	WG9	SA	SA to email FS and PM with scenarios for multiple technologies used on an area of land	Emailed and a consideration for the question as to the likelihood of overlapping red line boundaries. Worth adding a paragraph to the Workgroup Consultation	Completed	Closed	WG11
68	WG9	FS, PM	Clarification of what ESO mean by Red Line Boundary in the solution	WG10 - "Red Line Boundary for Project Site"	Completed	Closed	WG11
69	WG9	AT	Clarification as to what CNDM is in the query log re: action 89	Updated Query Log action 89	completed	Closed	WG11
70	WG9	PM	Clarification on what dates will determine queue position	As we said on call, this is being confirmed through the CNDM development	Completed	Closed	WG11
71	WG9	DD	ESO to consider identifying projects intended to benefit from Offshore Capacity Reservation in the context of CMP435	(take DD response on query log when posted)	Completed	Closed	WG11
72	WG9	RM /JH	Workgroup request appendix/annex re: transmission connection queue – how many projects impacts re diff tech and dates + information on the RFI for the consultation (majority/minority party)	The RFI information has been shared with the WG and we are not planning on providing any further information	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
73	WG10	PM/RS	To have a conversation about Gate 2 Criteria specifically what we do for people connecting sooner than 3 years from now	Paul 17 July - we propose that a site that has already submitted their application for planning consent (i.e. has met Milestone M1) or is beyond that Milestone won't need a minimum option and I need to make that clear at Workgroup and in our solution. Based on that, not sure there is a credible scenario where a User with a completion date less than 3 years away wouldnt have at least met M1 - adding commentary to WG Consultation.	Completed	Closed	WG11

74	WG10	PM/GG/RW	To consider wider context of projects for Gate 2 criteria and implementation aspects to map project types and considerations for 'minimum options' suggestions/proposal	GG contacted for whether this is being pursued. The ESO are not proposing to do anything further on this. We have confirmed our intention that land options ahead of authority decision date do not need to meet the minimum 3 year period. Awaiting on confirmation from GG on whether to close.	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
75	WG10	AQ	Articulate which parties will be sharing and approving letters and derogations - Ofgem/the Authority re: process and charging	Update from AQ: As explained in the slides/discussion on the proposed transitional process, there is engagement between Ofgem and the transmission licensees on the need for and content of any "derogations" required from current licence/code processes and requirements to enable the transitional process described and decisions on this will be made at the correct level of authority within GEMA (the authority).	WG11	Closed	WG11
76	WG10	AC/TC	To discuss timings for letters to the Authority re: transitional arrangements and raising alternatives	Had meeting with Tony last Friday and resolved any issues he had as a Transitional offer will have an indicative completion date included	Ongoing	Closed	WG11
77	WG10	MO	Consider how to treat requests to reduce capacity for existing contract projects	Position updated in WG15.	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
78	WG10	AC	Explore difference between treatment of mod app fees vs expression of interest from 5 point plan	The TWR / CPA was a one off project as part of the 5 point plan. This is an on going process and as such when a customer makes a request for a change to their agreement such as a change of date then a mod app fee is applied due to the studies required to see if the requested change can be facilitated.	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
79	WG10	MO	Develop a diagram for consultation for alignment of methodologies' timings vs the modifications	No longer proposing to complete this action due to the recent Ofgem Open Letter and approach in respect of methodology discussion	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
80	WG10	MO	Provide further clarity on the nature of the projects designated in 2025, and separately those projects would have reserved capacity	ESO are not providing any further information on this as part of the code process as until we have run the process we will not know which projects are subject to Capacity Reservation.	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
81	WG10	LC	Ofgem to consider whether connection point and capacity reservation for interconnectors/OHAs would be sufficient to meet requirements for the Cap and Floor process	LC has been emailed regarding this	Ongoing	Closed	WG11
82	WG11	MO	To update whether/when/what information from RFI will be published	The RFI slide pack has been sent to the WG with an opportunity to ask clarification questions in a WG	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
83	WG11	CD/RP	To update WG on securities for offers (re: small/med embedded generators)	Action generated in relation to disapplication of securities for IDNO scenario. Follow up meetings held. The scenario described is a current issue and remains the same under CMP435. A separate Code Mod CMP417 is working on the issue which is connected to Final Sums. Propose to close this action. AC - issues with specific GSP and flow of securities can be picked up with the CAM	Ongoing	Closed	WG18
84	WG11	PM/HS	To discuss how to make Offshore projects holding offers in scope of the modification	If the agreement to vary is not in place prior to the CMP435 Implementation Date, projects with signed 'holding contracts' in place would still become existing agreements and could apply/declare to become a Gate 2 project within the Gate 2 process, if they had met the Gate 2 criteria.	Ongoing	Closed	WG26
85	WG11	AC/DD	Comeback to WG with Justification on proposals on exempting mod apps from implementation date	This is related to the Phase 1 transitional arrangement scope which has now been set and is in place	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
86	WG11	MO	Check on use of specific provisional dates for the indicative timeline and add additional text for clarity on what action is needed from users and consequences	Completed for inclusion in the Workgroup Consultation	Complete	Closed	WG15
87	WG11	MO	Explore how offers referred to Ofgem are dealt with	Ofgem offer referrals process unchanged by Proposal - CNDM will need to account for any referred offers in relation to combined Gate 1 and Gate 2 process e.g. by assuming it is to be signed until the referral process outcome is known.	Ongoing	Closed	WG15
88	WG14	EB	Email to be shared with Workgroup from CMP434/CM096 compiling emails received about timelines.	Comments received were used for the discussions inputting into timeline discussions and revisions	Ongoing	Closed	WG18
89	WG14	MO	STC solution to expand on intended process and contract changes (particular importance for TOs)	CM096 was withdrawn on 28 October 2024 - WG were advised on WG26 29 October 2024	Ongoing	Closed	WG21
90	WG14	EB	Summary slides for the Workgroup Consultation responses are to be updated	Updated slides have been sent out to the WG	WG18	Closed	WG18
91	WG14	EB	Timings for sharing Alternatives with the Workgroup to be clarified	ESO has been discussing certain submissions with potential Proposers which has impacted whether some progress. Latest submissions to be shared 21.08	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
92	WG14	EB	Code Governance to check the codified requirements for Workgroup attendance of voting Workgroup members	50%+ attendance does feature in the ToR for Workgroup Vote	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
93	WG14	ESO Connections Team	Update on the pathway of modifications in relation to the wider Reform package	Propose that this becomes a standing agenda item instead to provide general updates on other aspects of TMO4+	Ongoing	Closed	WG20
94	WG15	ESO Connections Team	Clarification sought on whether the change to assess whether projects are needed introduces any risk to projects before the new arrangements go live (in context of an investment hiatus).	ESO session arranged for 16th September "Potential to apply a technology lens to Connections Reform event"	Ongoing	Closed	WG20

95	WG15	RP	Will demand connection dates be reviewed as part of queue re-organization	T connected Demand - in scope of TM04+ so working assumption will be reviewed as part of CNDM D connected demand - currently not expecting to actively review as out of scope of TM04+ so not part of CNDM and will continue to be captured in existing planning process in place. However, potentially could be a consequential impact. After checking with CNDM team not intending to actively look at at embedded demand connections as part of the queue reorganisation	Ongoing	Closed	WG18
96	WG15	PM	CNDM team to be asked how existing projects not meeting Gate 2 will be factored into the CNDM (in case of any consequential issues for removing the Gate 1 longstop)	Question shared with CNDM team for consideration in relation to methodology drafting process.	Ongoing	Closed	WG26
97	WG15	PM	Ask CNDM team if it would help them to know what stage projects are at from the self-declaration letter	Already added as part of self-declaration letter that CMP435 users will complete when providing evidence they meet Gate 2. Will be marked up in the red-line changes.	Ongoing	Closed	WG17
98	WG15	PM	To check if TEC reduction will still mean projects are open to liabilities	This is in 435 legal text confirming that would be liable for Cancellation Charge	Ongoing	Closed	WG26
99	WG15	PM	ESO to consider the new proposed reforms to National Planning Framework for nationally significant solar projects and any impacts for the Planning Regime timescales for Town & Country Planning (TCP)		Ongoing	Closed	WG20
100	WG15	RM	Will timescales for submitting offers change with changes in programme timelines	Propose to close as related to transitional arrangements. Updates on transitional arrangements will be provided in the general update as and when available.	Ongoing	Closed	WG26
101	WG15	RM	Workgroup require timings for the further updates on Element 19	The Proposal is being amended to remove specific timescales in respect of Element 19 and Implementation Approach (other than Implementation Date and Minimums).	Ongoing	Closed	WG26
102	WG15	MO	Swim lane document to be produced for CMP434 and 435	The Proposal is being amended to remove specific timescales in respect of Element 19 and Implementation Approach (other than Implementation Date and Minimums).	Ongoing	Closed	WG21
103	WG16	AT/AQ	List of CUSC Sections expected to be changed for CMP435 legal text to be shared to the Workgroup (for background reading if needed)	The initial legal understanding is that CMP435 changes will be via a new CUSC section.	WG17	Closed	WG17
104	WG16	PM	Slides presented by James Norman to the ENA SCG on 29 Aug to be shared with the Workgroup	These were sent to the WG on 30.07.24.	30-Aug	Closed	WG17
105	WG16	AT/SB	Request for ESO to provide comment on how options will be created for Govt decisions on capacity mix (and the legal basis for decisions)	ESO session arranged for 16th September "Potential to apply a technology lens to Connections Reform event"	TBC	Closed	WG20
106	WG15	TBC	Will there be Code changes to allow for onshore connection site changes, can ESO be confident giving assurances on connection points (if whether it is 'needed' means it's not guaranteed).	The ESO is not in a position to respond to this question at this stage, ESO are looking to hold a session in the next couple of weeks where workgroup and CPAG members will be invited		Closed	WG17
107	WG17	AC	Clarify the process for transitional accepted offers in relation to 434 and/or 435 processes	Transitional offers will be managed by 435, as per Element 19 , the fourth group, talks about how transitional accepted offers will be managed.	TBC	Closed	WG26
108	WG17	AQ	Come back with a clarificatory position on application routes where GSPs are involved	Addressed in Section 18 of the legal text to be clear for EG.	TBC	Closed	WG26
109	WG17	RM	Updates to the RFI analysis slides to be made and re-shared with the Workgroup		TBC	Closed	WG20
110	WG17	AQ	Provide the document outlining the CMP435 legal text approach for sharing with the Workgroup	Provided ahead of WG20	TBC	Closed	WG26
111	WG18	MO	ESO and Ofgem to discuss expectations re: TOR i) and feedback to Workgroup.	NESO have confirmed their view that Annex B relates to TMO4+ and the wider connection reform program and have outlined the intention of impact assessments and RFI in WG22.	TBC	Closed	WG26
112	WG18	RM	Underlying RFI data to be supplied in Excel format as per WG17	The further analysis that was requested has been shared as part of WG22	TBC	Closed	WG26
113	WG19	AT	ESO to consider the technical impacts of the proposed Alternative Requests for CMP435	As per Proposer's update in WG20	TBC	Closed	WG20
114	WG19	MO	ESO to provide an update on the Swim lane diagram - ref dates and Ofgem letter	The proposal is being amended to remove specific timescales in respect of Element 19 and Implementation Approach (other than Implementation Date and Minimums).	TBC	Closed	WG26
115	WG20	RM/AC	ESO to provide an update on Phase 2 & Cutover Arrangements	Updates on transitional arrangements will be provided in the general update as and when available	TBC	Closed	WG26
116	WG21	MO/AQ	Diagram (e.g. flow chart) of the timeline for the earliest date an offer would be made if a mod app is submitted that falls into transitional arrangement, or a user wishes to mod app as part of CMP435 (and go through two separate windows)	Mod Apps (outwith the scope of those within CMP435) will need to be submitted before any transitional arrangement restrictions are in place in relation to them (if and when in place), or else they will need to wait until the first CMP434 application window. We are therefore not intending on providing a diagram on this.	TBC	Closed	WG26
117	WG21	MO	in the solution of the WG Report clearly outline the mod app process, the accepted criteria for requested changes for a mod app submitted for CMP435 Gate 2 and instances where fees are applicable (if not on the suggested timeline diagram)	This forms part of Element 19 and intention is to have made this clear when looking at the WG Report.	TBC	Closed	WG26

118	WG21	MO/PM/AQ	1) Define installed capacity; 2) Will it be possible to reduce installed capacity as part of 435 Gate 2; 3) what is the relationship to developer capacity and TEC; 4) it is user-defined and needs to match with value in EA?	1) Installed capacity will be defined in CMP434 legal text and will refer to this definition in 435. 2) There is no concept of reducing installed capacity as they just need to provide an installed capacity appropriate for their TEC/Developer Capacity when they self-declare they have met Gate 2. 3) There is no relationship between Installed Capacity and TEC/Developer other than if installed capacity becomes a number lower than TEC/Developer Capacity then TEC/Developer Capacity reduces too. It is user defined as it is provided by as part of self-declaration. Whatever they state is their installed capacity defines the land acreage they need for each technology (calculation per technology is Installed Capacity in MW x Minimum acre per MW registered. Calculation is in 427 Guidance as we referred to on Friday. https://www.neso.energy/document/308911/download	TBC	Closed	WG26
119	WG21	MO/AQ	Confirm the consequences for not accepting an accelerated Gate 2 offer if date/GSP is not as requested (with a rationale for any changes on this position since the WG Consultation). CG to review WG consultation and post-consultation proposal slides.	Explanation provided in WG22.	TBC	Closed	WG26
120	WG21	PM	Confirm where the need to meet minimum acreage requirements for each technology to reach Gate 2 was outlined in the solution for the WG consultation.	In our proposal section (Section 11.1, page 17 and note that the 427 guidance itself sets out the calculation where there is more than 1 technology. Going forward these details will be housed in Gate 2 Criteria Methodology.	TBC	Closed	WG26
121	WG21	RP/MO	NESO to update the Workgroup on project timescales for the submission of data	The Proposal is being amended to remove specific timescales in respect of Element 19 and Implementation Approach (other than Implementation Date and Minimums).	TBC	Closed	WG26
122	WG21	RM/AC	ESO to provide an update on Phase 2 & Cutover Arrangements	This is a duplication - see action 115	TBC	Closed	WG26
123	WG21	SB	NESO to confirm the course of action for CM096/STCP progression ASAP to the Workgroup and whether a Special STC Panel meeting would be required.	As per updates presented in WG24	TBC	Closed	WG26
124	WG21	SB	NESO to confirm the course of action for CM096/STCP progression ASAP to the Workgroup and whether a Special STC Panel meeting would be required.	Duplication of action 123	TBC	Closed	WG26
125	WG22	MO/AQ	Consider the process timeline with 'no longer than' minimum periods after key milestones (and length of windows to allow WG to assess feasibility) – add to legal text where necessary.	Considered and now within updated WG Report Proposal.	TBC	Closed	WG26
126	WG22	MO/AQ	To provide confirmation that the securities and liabilities will be held at the same level as to when the Gate 2 application is submitted	Nothing added to proposal in this regard – ways to avoid it being an issue in practice without amends to the Proposal.	TBC	Closed	WG26
127	WG22	MO/AQ	NESO to check that 18.12.2 (continuation of works) applies to Gate 1 projects only, not Gate 2 projects (adding clarity to the clause if needed)	Checked and waiver only refers to Gate 1 Projects.	TBC	Closed	WG26
128	WG22	MO	Check with the CNDM team for the process of reassigning connection points if necessary (pre-engagement with developer for suitable relocation) and dealing with acceleration in areas where technology caps may be reached.	Question shared with CNDM team for consideration in relation to methodology drafting process.	TBC	Closed	WG26
129	WG22	AQ	To confirm the period for securities to be paid back	NESO will work to as soon as reasonable but the long stop is 6 weeks	TBC	Closed	WG26
130	WG22	ENWL	ENWL to check if an equivalent Alternative is required to their CMP434's Alternative.	Action completed and Alternative Request withdrawn 04.11.24	TBC	Closed	WG27
131	WG23	MO	List of documents/event slides to be added to the WG Report for suggestions to industry for what to review along with the CMP435 CAC (methodologies, TMO4+ overview, CP30 updates)	Added to the WG Report	TBC	Closed	WG26
132	WG23	RP	Share clarity on how an embedded connection with a BEGA/BELLA is put forward to Gate 1 or Gate 2, i.e. via the Distribution or Transmission routes.	Included in SME update and within WG24 slide pack	TBC	Closed	WG26
133	WG23	MO	Revisit wording in CMP434 and CMP435 proposal sections to be clear that the solution would provide the mechanism to update a connecting party's contract as a result of the CNDM.	This was already in there but it has been made clearer	TBC	Closed	WG26
134	WG25	RP	To provide a process flow in relation to 18.8.4	Provided as part of WG 25	Ongoing	Closed	WG26