

Public

CMP434 & CM095

Workgroup 32 Meeting

Summary

CM434 & CM095 Workgroup Meeting 32

Date: 23/10/2024 **Location:** Teams
Start: 10:30 AM **End:** 2:50 PM

Participants

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Claire Goult	CG	Code Administrator, NESO	Chair
Lizzie Timmins	LT	Code Administrator, NESO	Chair
Andrew Hemus	AH	Code Administrator, NESO	Tech Sec
Stuart McLarnon	SM	Code Administrator, NESO	Tech Sec
Graham Lear	GL	NESO	Proposer
Ruby Pelling	RP	NESO	Proposer
Alison Price	AP	NESO	SME
Angela Quinn	AQ	NESO	SME
Dovydas Dyson	DD	NESO	SME
Paul Mullen	PM	NESO	SME
Mike Oxenham	MO	NESO	SME
William Kirk-Wilson	WK	NESO	SME
Rory Fulton	RF	Ofgem	Authority
Alex Ikonic	AI	Orsted	Workgroup Member
Adanna Ugo-okoye	AU	Statkraft	Workgroup Member
Allan Love	AL	Scottish Power Transmission	Workgroup Member
Anthony Cotton	AC	Green Generation Energy Networks Cymru Ltd	Workgroup Member
Barney Cowin	BC	Nadara	Workgroup Member

Public

Brian Hoy	BH	Electricity North West Limited (ENWL)	Workgroup Member
Charles Yates	CY	Fred Olsen Seawind	Workgroup Member
Ciaran Fitzgerald	CF	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member
Claire Hynes	CH	RWE Renewables	Workgroup Member
Claire Witty	CW	Scottish Power Energy Networks	Workgroup Member
Ed Birkett	EB	Low Carbon	Workgroup Member
Garth Graham	GG	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
Grant Rogers	GR	Qualitas Energy	Workgroup Member
Greg Stevenson	GS	SSEN Transmisson (SHET)	Workgroup Member
Helen Stack	HS	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Jack Purchase	JP	NGED	Workgroup Member
Joe Colebrook	JC	Innova Renewables	Workgroup Member
Kyran Hanks	KH	CUSC Panel member	Workgroup Member
Mark Field	MF	Sembcorp Energy (UK) Limited	Workgroup Member
Muhammad Madni	MN	NGV	Workgroup Member
Paul Jones	PJ	Uniper	Workgroup Member
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax	Workgroup Member
Phillip Addison	PA	EDF Renewables	Workgroup Member
Ravinder Shan	RS	FRV TH Powertek Limited	Workgroup Member
Richard Woodward (only for CM095 alternate vote)	RW	NET	Workgroup Member
Ross O'Hare	RO	SSEN	Workgroup Member
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZN	UK Power Networks	Workgroup Member
Zygimantas Rimkus	ZR	Buchan Offshore Wind	Workgroup Member

Key Objectives for this Meeting

The key objectives for this meeting were to look at the Legal Text, WACM Legal Text, and the Terms of Reference.

Public

Discussion and details

1. Timeline Update

The Chair updated the Workgroup on changes that had happened to the timeline. Workgroup members asked what the arrangement would look like for voting; the Chair confirmed that voting must be done live however they would circulate a form offline prior to the meeting. Action 84 was closed.

2. CMP434 Terms and Reference

C was made green. A Workgroup member raised that element 2 of ToR M has not been met as they have tried to discuss the Legal text. This Workgroup member stated they were willing to accept the Workgroup had considered element 2. A Workgroup member noted that element 1 of ToR m should be part of the Workgroup. Workgroup members wanted to know if there was a contingency plan if any of the TMO4+ modifications fell through.

3. CMP434 Legal Text Review

A Legal SME went through the Legal text, noting changes that have been made for clarification purposes.

There were further discussions had by the Workgroup on Embedded Generation, with many Workgroup members expressing confusion on the process. One Workgroup member highlighted a possible gap in the legal text, noting that the TIA process is held outside the CUSC. Workgroup members requested a diagram on the Embedded Generation process for clarity, as there was concern that stakeholders not involved in the Workgroup process would not understand it. The Proposer agreed to consider including a diagram when putting together the external guidance to help Users understand the process.

Many Workgroup members expressed concerns about how the duplication checks are handled in the legal text. When reviewing 17.10.1.2, one Workgroup member highlighted a concern that the legal text may not be consistent with the NESO solution, however upon review this concern was resolved.

The Workgroup discussed the new definition for Installed Capacity. A NESO representative noted that they thought Generating Units should not be limited by CEC, TEC or Developer Capacity, and that it should be Active Power or Active Energy instead. One Workgroup member highlighted the risk that if not clearly defined within the legal text or Workgroup Report, that different stakeholders could have different views on the type of capacity being referred to. One Workgroup member also highlighted a risk of gaming based on reduction in Installed Capacity if over 50% of the site was built outside the Original Red Line Boundary. A NESO representative advised that this needed to be addressed in the next iteration of the legal text to avoid the gaming risk.

4. CM095 Discussion of CMP434 WACMs

The Workgroup discussed the WACMs raised under CMP434: Implementing Connections Reform to identify whether any would have an STC impact. It was agreed with the Workgroup that WACMs 2, 3 and 4 would not have any STC impact. One Workgroup

Public

member queried whether WACMs 1, 5, 6 and 7 would have an STC impact, which NESO agreed to investigate.

- CMP434 WACM1: Clarification of Embedded Definition
 - One Workgroup member was concerned that this WACM may have an STC impact, however it was confirmed by NESO that the NESO/TO processes would not be impacted by the change in definition.
- CMP434 WACM2: DNO Submission Requirement
 - The Workgroup did not believe this WACM would have an STC impact.
- CMP434 WACM3: Capacity Reallocation Codification
 - The Workgroup did not believe this WACM would have an STC impact.
- CMP434 WACM4: Codifying restrictions on changes to project site location – “Red Line Boundary” (RLB) – post-Gate 2
 - The Workgroup did not believe this WACM would have an STC impact.
- CMP434 WACM5: Remove Project Designation
 - One Workgroup member was concerned that this WACM may have an STC impact, however it was confirmed by NESO that TOs will be required to produce a normal Gate 2 Offer for designated projects, and that any other differences would be captured within the CNDM and a possible consequential STCP change.
- CMP434 WACM6: Obligation to Codify the Methodologies and Guidance Documents under Connection Reform
 - An STC impact was identified as a result of this WACM, so NESO raised an Alternative which was voted through as ASM1.
- CMP434 WACM7: Introduction of a pause for market self-regulation before the NESO/TO undertake the network assessment
 - One Workgroup member was concerned that this WACM may have an STC impact, however NESO confirmed that the process timescales are not in the STC. NESO advised that a consequential STCP change would be required if WACM7 is approved.

5. CM095 Consequential Alternative Request

One Workgroup member raised that CMP434 WACM6 may need an equivalent ASM to allow the initial WACM to achieve its potential. This Alternative has been developed and labelled ASM 1. The ASM’s proposer stated they will alter the description of this ASM if WACM 6’s legal text is changed. Some Workgroup members initially stated they felt they could not vote on this WACM as they have not had time to fully review it. All STC members voted in favour of ASM 1.

Public

Action Log

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
35	WG10	AC/AQ	ESO to confirm whether additional uncertainty clauses (which have been appearing in offers recently) will remain		TBC	Open
59	WG19	PM	Element 11 – Produce examples to provide clarification to the Workgroup (slide 25) on how using installed capacity could work in practice	To be added to the QM guidance (as relates to ongoing land compliance requirement) – follow up required to decide if ‘installed capacity’ is the correct term	TBC	Open
84	WG30	AP/BH	WACM1 – Brian H and Alison P to liaise and discuss the lower limit TIA and what the CUSC stated		TBC	Open
85	WG30	GR	WACM2 – Grant Rogers has data from previous WACM where this may be useful and will speak to Helen about this re wording.		TBC	Open
87	WG30	BH/AQ	Brian and Angie to liaise directly on the legal text drafting for WACM1. Consider CUSC 6.5/Appendix G Schedule 2 and other		TBC	Open

Public

locations where the criteria may be different

88	WG31	CG/Chair	To place the methodologies when published on the collaboration space for members but also to circulate to the wider distribution list for CMP434/CM095 with an agreement not to share beyond the workgroup membership	Methodologies proposed to be available by Friday 25 October	TBC	Open
89	WG31	MO/GL	Review impact of CMP434 WACMs and whether any ASMs are required		TBC	Open

Next Steps

The report will be circulated again after today to allow people to view the changes that will be made following the discussions that happened in today's meeting. There is also wording to be added to the Workgroup Report about the discussion that was had around the ToR.