



CMP434 & CM095 Workgroup 30 Meeting Summary

CM434 & CM095 Workgroup Meeting 30

Date: 15/10/2024 **Location:** Teams

Start: 10:30 AM **End:** 2:50 PM

Participants

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Claire Goult	CG	Code Administrator, NESO	Chair
Lizzie Timmins	LT	Code Administrator, NESO	Chair
Andrew Hemus	АН	Code Administrator, NESO	Tech Sec
Stuart McLarnon	SM	Code Administrator, NESO	Tech Sec
Graham Lear	GL	NESO	Proposer
Ruby Pelling	RP	NESO	Proposer
Alison Price	AP	NESO	SME
Angela Quinn	AQ	NESO	SME
Dovydas Dyson	DD	NESO	SME
Mike Oxenham	МО	NESO	SME
Rory Fulton	RF	Ofgem	Authority
Alex Ikonic	ΑI	Orsted	Workgroup Member
Alexander Rohit	AR	Statkraft	Workgroup Member
Allan Love	AL	Scottish Power Transmission	Workgroup Member
Amy-Isabella Wells	Al	NGET	Workgroup Member
Andy Dekany	AD	NGV	Workgroup Member
Anthony Cotton	AC	Green Generation Energy Networks Cymru Ltd	Workgroup Member
Brian Hoy	ВН	Electricity North West Limited (ENWL)	Workgroup Member

Publicly Available



Ciaran Fitzgerald	CF	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member
Claire Hynes	СН	RWE Renewables	Workgroup Member
Claire Witty	CW	Scottish Power Energy Networks	Workgroup Member
Garth Graham	GG	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
Grant Rogers	GR	Qualitas Energy	Workgroup Member
Greg Stevenson	GS	SSEN Transmisson (SHET)	Workgroup Member
Helen Stack	HS	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Joe Colebrook	JC	Innova Renewables	Workgroup Member
Laura Henry	LH	NGED	Workgroup Member
Mark Field	MF	Sembcorp Energy (UK) Limited	Workgroup Member
Mohammad Bilal	MB	UK Power Networks	Workgroup Member
Mpumelelo Hlophe	МН	Fred Olsen Seawind	Workgroup Member
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax	Workgroup Member
Phillip Addison	PA	EDF Renewables	Workgroup Member
Ravinder Shan	RS	FRV TH Powertek Limited	Workgroup Member
Rob Smith	ROS	Enso Energy	Workgroup Member
Sean Gauton	SG	Uniper	Workgroup Member
Simon Lord	SL	ENGIE	Workgroup Member

Key Objectives for this Meeting

The key objectives for this meeting were to look at the Draft Legal text and the Terms of Reference.





Discussion and details

1. CM095 Legal Text Review

Section J was reviewed and altered. Definitions were altered to make them clearer for the layperson. Workgroup members requested that Engineering Charges be better explained by NESO. A Workgroup member asked to create a definition for Gate 2 Applicant and Transmission Investment. Workgroup members also wanted to check the definitions for Project Progression and Project Progression Planning Assumption.

Schedule 13 was discussed. The Chair went through some areas highlighted by the legal SME, leaving comments on areas that would be reworked outside of the Workgroup. A Workgroup member asked if NESO could provide more information on how Embedded Customers will be able to go through Reservation. Workgroup members wanted clarification on how NESO designation would interact with schedule 13 and associated costs.

2. CMP434 Terms of Reference

OFGEM agreed to come back with more information surrounding ToR D, which has been altered to amber. The Chair shared 8 elements of Annex B of the OFGEM letter, Workgroup members asked where NESO would be sharing their response to these elements, noting that they may not all be relevant. Workgroup members stated that some of these elements should be discharged to other parties outside of the Workgroup. Workgroup members stated that they could not fully consider elements 7 and 8 as they have not seen the solution fully laid out.

The table below shows information on each of these elements with regards to the Workgroup.

ToR m) Element	Relevant?	Considered? (RAG Status)
1	Yes	Yes
2	Yes	Yes
3	Yes	N/A (NESO to complete)
4	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes (OFGEM to complete)
6	1 st part Yes, 2 nd part No	Yes
7	No	N/A
8	No	N/A

• • • • • • • • • • •





3. CM095 Terms of Reference

D was made amber to mirror CMP434. Workgroup members debated if G should be made green, but it was decided to stay on amber. Workgroup members discussed how H would be dealt with, noting that pre-NESO this element would have been handled with the ENA. J and K were deemed to rely too much on CP30 to be fully dealt with by the Workgroup. J was made green, and K was kept amber.

4. CMP434 Legal Text Update

A Workgroup member asked when the finished legal text would be completed by. The Legal SME stated they should be able to share Section 17, Definitions, Section 6, the Conditional Clause, the Exhibits, and Section 9 by the end of Friday 18th October.

A timeline was discussed as to when comments should be made for legal SMEs to review. Workgroup members discussed removing a Workgroup meeting to allow the relevant documents to be reviewed. The Chair agreed to reserve the time for Monday the 21st of October's meeting for Workgroup members to review the legal text. Many Workgroup members stated they would like more time to review the Legal text.

Section 17 was shared, and Workgroup members expressed their thoughts on the Legal SMEs comments. The Workgroup debated on the meanings of the words "competent", "compliant", and "effective" in the various codes, and stated that these terms need to be clearly defined so that a layperson could understand them. Workgroup members debated how embedded generation applications would be treated by Gate 1 and 2.

Workgroup members debated how projects would be treated if NESO rejected them, but then they appealed the rejection. A Workgroup member asked for NESO to hire more staff temporarily to allow applications to be processed faster. Workgroup members asked how detailed and often duplication checks would be. Workgroup members noted that a project being appealed would affect all projects behind it in the queue.

The Definitions were shared with the Workgroup. "Installed Capacity" was introduced as a concept, a Workgroup member believed that this term is too similar to CEC and should be reserved for generating units. Workgroup members discussed if the definition should be more similar to TEC and CEC.

A Workgroup member produced a layperson breakdown of these terms:

"TEC - The right to use the network.

CEC - The maximum the connection assets (e.g. cable, transformer, and generating units) built could export onto the transmission system.

Installed Capacity - The aggregated name plate rating of the generating units behind the connection or equivalent for Demand users and Interconnector users"





Action Log

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
35	WG10	AC/AQ	ESO to confirm whether additional uncertainty clauses (which have been appearing in offers recently) will remain		TBC	Open
59	WG19	PM	Element 11 – Produce examples to provide clarification to the Workgroup (slide 25) on how using installed capacity could work in practice	To be added to the QM guidance (as relates to ongoing land compliance requirement) – follow up required to decide if 'installed capacity' is the correct term	TBC	Open
78	WG26	МО	Provide update on process and timescales to amend charging statements (re: application fees) and an indication on what scale of change could be seen to application fees.	Note: Enquiries made on process timescales for updating Statement of Use of System Charges.	TBC	Open
81	WG29	МО	Provide timelines for milestones within the TOCO process	-	TBC	Open
82	WG29	МО	Explain and then be clear in WG report how the non-project specific reserved capacity would be allocated by the NESO, as		TBC	Open





-	n	IIC.

Public						
			well as how who pays what and when both prior to it being allocated, and from the point at which it is allocated.			
83	WG29	МО	Clarity whether NESO will amend Proposal to publish i) which projects have Reservation contracted and/or ii) where NESO has reserved something non-project specific.		TBC	Open
84	WG30	АР/ВН	WACM1 - Brian H and Alison P to liaise and discuss the lower limit TIA and what the CUSC stated		TBC	New
85	WG30	GR	WACM2 – Grant Rogers has data from previous WACM where this may be useful and will speak to Helen about this re wording.		TBC	New
86	WG30	MO	Confirm NESO position on publication of queue	NESO would be comfortable to publish queue position in an appropriate manner in future, of which would need to be determined. However, current thinking is that the connections 360 tool (which is due	TBC	New





to be released imminently) may be the best place to do so. However, there is no intent to include any obligations within the CMP434 (or CMP435) original proposal to publish queue information. TBC New

directly on the legal text drafting for WACM1. Consider CUSC 6.5/Appendix G Schedule 2 and other locations where the criteria may be different

Brian and Angie to liaise

BH/AQ

Next Steps

87

WG30

The report will be circulated again after today to allow people to view the changes that will be made following the discussions that happened in today's meeting. There is also wording to be added to the Workgroup Report about the discussion that was had around the ToR.