

Public

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

**Meeting name: GC0139 Workgroup Meeting 20**

**Date: 04 October 2024**

## Contact Details

**Chair:** Teri Puddefoot [terri.puddefoot@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:terri.puddefoot@nationalgrideso.com)

**Proposer:** Ian Povey [Ian.Povey@enwl.co.uk](mailto:Ian.Povey@enwl.co.uk)

## Key areas of discussion

### Workgroup Consultation Report

The Workgroup discussed the consultation document which needs to be reviewed by the members, and additional comments/changes should be added to address any gaps or areas that need clarification. The document needs to be finalised and agreed upon by all members before it can be sent out for consultation.

It was discussed that there is a need to bulk out the document with more details, and consultation questions need to be developed and included in the document.

It was noted that the P2/9 proposed draft revisions are currently underway and are being aligned and this should be mentioned in the consultation document.

## Legal Text

The Workgroup discussed sections of the draft Glossary & Definitions and emphasised the importance of reviewing the legal text to identify potential issues or ‘showstoppers’ that could hinder progress. The group aims to ensure that all members are comfortable with the legal text before proceeding with the consultation process.

The conversation addressed the definitions and terms ‘Group Demand’ and ‘Gross Demand’ in the context of compliance and planning, highlighting the need for clarity and alignment with regulatory requirements. The discussion also touched on the implications of these definitions for data exchange and network development. Group Demand is defined as the base for establishing compliance, but it may not reflect the actual maximum demand. It was questioned whether the current definitions provide sufficient compliance and network development information, and if the schedules provide demand components, allowing users to build a comprehensive picture. The approach involves using a single model for peak demand and supplementing it with schedules to provide detailed forecasts and ensure accurate compliance assessments.

A Workgroup member brought up the timing of data submissions and assessments, particularly about the BM7 outage assessment, which currently uses data from the previous winter for summer assessments. The discussion suggested reconsidering the timing to ensure more current data is used for these assessments.

A Workgroup member noted the term ‘latent demand’ has been a source of confusion due to differing interpretations, and was assured that there is a proposal to revise its definition in the new P2/9 document. The revised definition aims to clarify that latent demand should reflect the variability in end demand rather than being obscured by generation export.

## Public

There is a need to ensure that all stakeholders, including TOs, have the necessary information for assessments. The discussion noted the importance of aligning definitions with SQSS and P2 requirements.

There was a discussion about the planning data exchange process, which is being aligned with connection reform efforts to facilitate long-term planning by network operators.

## Next Steps

- Workgroup members were asked to review the legal text documents and provide feedback via comments and tracked changes, particularly on any significant issues that need to be addressed.
- Workgroup members were asked to review the Workgroup Consultation document and provide more detail via tracked changes, particularly in the Considerations sections.
- Consultation questions need to be developed and included in the document.
- Workgroup members were encouraged to comment directly on the live versions to avoid multiple document versions.
- Workgroup members were asked to communicate any concerns or issues before the next meeting.
- Chair to ensure all comments are incorporated and consolidated into the live document versions.
- The next Workgroup meeting will be at the beginning of November, with a second meeting planned for a fortnight later.
- Timeline will need revising for Workgroup Consultation publication deadline.

## AOB

None.

## Actions

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
18	WG 20	TP	Chair to clearly label and organise folders within SharePoint	07 October 2024	Current
19	WG 20	TP	Chair to send out WG invites for November	10 October 2024	Current
20	WG 20	AC	WG member to circulate proposed P2/9 draft revised definitions	18 October 2024	Current

## Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Teri Puddefoot	TP	NESO	Chair
Jess Rivalland	JR	NESO	Technical secretary

## Public

Ian Povey	IP	ENWL	Proposer
Paul Thomson	PT	NESO	NESO Rep
Somjit Mohanty	SM	NGED	Workgroup Member
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZM	South Eastern Power Networks	Workgroup Member
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Distribution	Workgroup Member
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Power Grid	Workgroup Member Alternate
Lee Saville	LS	Western Power Distribution	Workgroup Member
Alan Brown	AB	SSE Distribution	Workgroup Member
Behnam Feizifar	BF	SSE Distribution	Observer
Phil Mosey	PM	NGED	Observer
Stuart McLarnon	SM	NESO	Technical Representative