

Public

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

GC0164: Simplification of Operation Code No.2 – Workgroup 11

Date: 02/10/2024

Contact Details

Chair: Deborah Spencer (Code Governance) deborah.spencer@uk.nationalenergyso.com

Proposer: Frank Kasibante (ESO) frank.kasibante1@uk.nationalenergyso.com

Key areas of discussion

The primary focus of discussion in Workgroup 11 was to review feedback from the OC2 forum and examine updates to the Legal Text. The Workgroup also reviewed the Terms of Reference to determine if any further input was needed.

Proposers Updated

The Proposer advised there was an item on code simplification specifically relating to GC0164 at the OC2 forum.

The redrafted version of OC2, and the comparison document had been shared ahead of the OC2 Forum for review and feedback via email. The Proposer also noted that a slido poll was held during the Meeting to gather views from Industry stakeholders on improvements realised by the redrafted OC2 version compared to the current published version.

A Workgroup member asked (via email), why Operations used both calendar and financial years. The Proposer explained that after consulting NESO's Network Access Planning team it was advised that there is currently no harmonisation between generational transmission in practice and the team were considering possible improvements.

A Workgroup member noted that in the new Grid Code the concept of retained EU law has been replaced with assimilated law and suggested that the changes to GC0164 be updated to reflect format of the new baseline. The Proposer agreed and committed to amending the legal text accordingly.

A Workgroup member noted the effort which NESO employed to engage with other Stakeholders and acknowledged the support received noting it was all positive feedback.

The Proposer advised a spreadsheet with the summary of feedback received would be shared with the Workgroup Members.

Legal Text

- **OC2.3.1.2.6** - The Proposer advised a change was applied to improve readability. Changing "as to every hour" to "every hour".
- **OC2.3.1.3.2** – The Proposer advised the key was moved to the next page to be part of the table.
- **OC2.3.1.4(e)** – The Proposer advised a change was made to this text to improve readability. Changing "may operationally affect that them" to "may operationally affect them".

Public

- A Workgroup Member shared concerns on the flow of the process diagram. The Proposer advised a meeting would be set up to review and improve on this.

Terms of Reference

- **Implementation and cost** – The Workgroup agreed that this term of reference had been met.
- **Review draft Legal Text** - The Workgroup agreed that this term of reference had been met.
- **Stakeholder Participation** - The Workgroup agreed that this term of reference had been met.
- **EBR Implications** - The Workgroup agreed that there were none.
- **Readability of current OC2 redraft** - The Proposer advised this would be evidenced by the summary of feedback from Workgroup members as well as Industry.
- **Consider 2 sets of definitions in the Grid Code** - The Workgroup agreed that this term of reference had been met. It was also noted that having 2 sets of definitions would cause confusing, and the discussion had been included in the Workgroup Report.
- **Assess material changes to the Grid Code** - The Workgroup agreed that this term of reference had been met and there were no material changes to the Grid Code.

Workgroup Report

- After review, the Chair advised the Workgroup Report would be updated and shared with the Workgroup Members for comments.

Next Steps

- The Chair advised a vote would be conducted the next Workgroup meeting.
- The Chair advised the Workgroup Report would be finalised in readiness for Panel submission on 16 October 2024.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
18	WG9	Chair	Share detailed responses from the consultation with Workgroup members.	WG10	Closed
19	WG9	Chair	Share Workgroup report with Workgroup members.	WG10	Closed
20	WG9	Proposer	Review, update, and share legal text with Workgroup members.	WG10	Closed

Public

21	WG10	WG Members	Share time invested in the process.	WG11	In progress
22	WG10	Proposer	Review GC for OC2 reference update.	WG11	Closed
23	WG10	Proposer	Align current text to GC156.	WG11	Closed
24	WG10	Proposer	Review definitions on financial year.	WG11	Closed
25	WG10	Proposer	Share revised Legal text.	WG11	Closed
26	WG11	Proposer	Share updated baseline	WG12	Closed
27	WG11	Proposer & AC	Review flow process diagram	WG12	In progress
28	WG11	Proposer	Share feedback spreadsheet with WG members for comments	WG12	In progress

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Deborah Spencer	DS	Code Governance, NESO	Chair
Prisca Evans	PE	Code Governance, NESO	Tech Sec
Frank Kasibante	FK	NESO	Proposer
Sean Nugent	SN	Commercial Codes, NESO	Observer
Andy Keegan	AK	National Grid	Workgroup Member
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Power Grid	Workgroup Member
Andy Colley	AC	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Networks	Workgroup Member
Stewart Wylie	SW	SSEN Distribution	Workgroup Member