# GLDPM Consultation Response Proforma

**Consultation on the implementation of the Generation and Load Data Provision Methodology within existing GB framework**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [europeancodes.electricity@nationalgrid.com](mailto:europeancodes.electricity@nationalgrid.com) by **26th January 2017**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

Any queries on the content of the consultation should be addressed to James Bradley; [james.bradley@nationalgrid.com](mailto:james.bradley@nationalgrid.com)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent:** | *Steve Cox, Engineering Director* [*steve.cox@enwl.co.uk*](mailto:steve.cox@enwl.co.uk)*, 07710069573* |
| **Company Name:** | *Electricity North West Limited* |

**Consultation questions**

| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Do you agree with the position explained in the table above that no further data items are required?** | Yes. From reading the GLDPM it is clear that DNOs have to provide data only in either of the two cases where the assets etc are connected at 220kV or above or where the Regional Operational Security Analysis requires it. As the ROSA will not exist for probably 15 months after the TSOG enters into force, there is no immediate data required under the ROSA or GLDPM. |
| 2 | **Do you agree that no other Grid code changes are required to facilitate this process other than to allow the *currently provided* data to be shared?** | In the short term yes.  In the longer term, dependent on the detail of the ROSA, and on further consideration of the GB codes in relation to it, some G Code amendments might be necessary. Appendix 1 to this submission includes some observations on current G Code drafting that might need to be considered in parallel with the ROSA.  Please note that we do not believe that the Grid Code can make any binding or authoritative provisions for the management of commercial sensitive data; it can merely reflect other legal requirements. In particular section 105 of the Utilities Act 2000 seems to be applicable here, and from a cursory inspection, it might be that BEIS need to consider this aspect. |
| 3 | **Do you have any other comments on the implementation of the GLDPM?** | No. |

Appendix 1 – GLDPM provisions that might require more detailed G Code consideration

Art 5 5 – Seasonal and other ratings do not seem to be specified for DNOs in PC.A.2.2. They are for Offshore, but not for DNOs.

Art 5.5(d) – these are requested as DPD II data in Schedule 5. It is not clear if NG receive much data in relation to this schedule. It would probably be appropriate to redraft this schedule in the light of GLPDM requirements.

Art 6 1(a) -presumably this is not required if the TX has AVR?

Art 6 1(c)(i) – tap changer is specified in PC.A.2.2.5.1 and Schedule 5, but the AVR settings are not. This might need a G Code change if any schemes are deemed to be “modelled and relevant”.

Art 6 1(d) – Presumably none of these generally will meet the “where applicable” test?

Art 6 1(d)(iii) – PC.A.2.5.4.2 does require Users to provide target voltages.

Art 11 4(c) – power factor of demand at GSP is provided – PC.A.4.3