

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CMP434 & CM095 Workgroup 25

Date: 25/09/2024

Contact Details

Chair: **Claire Goult** Claire.Goult@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: **Ruby Pelling** ruby.pelling@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The key area in Workgroup 25 was to vote on Alternative Requests. The Chair noted quoracy and began the Workgroup.

Alternative Requests

The Chair clarified which Alternatives were due to be voted on in the meeting.

Alternative 1 was withdrawn after some discussion. Voting on Alternatives 12, 21, 23, and 27 was postponed. The Alternatives being voted on in this meeting were 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 14, 17, 19, 25, 26, and 28.

Alternatives 4, 8, 13, 14, 19, 25, and 28 were voted on to become WACMs 1-7 by a majority Workgroup Vote. The Chair did not put through any additional WACMs.

Workgroup members requested agenda time in future meeting set aside for Alternative Request 27 and for discussing the consequences of the WACMs.

Action Log

Actions 11, 20, 24, 31, 51, 58, 59, 66, 67, 72, 73, and 75 were closed.

Action 40 was designated as outside the scope of the Workgroup.

Workgroup members requested that the pending Alternative Requests be voted upon in the following Workgroup, rather than being delayed further.

Next steps

Actions and voting form to be circulated to Workgroup members.

All Alternative Requests have been uploaded to the [ESO website](#).

The full action log can be found [here](#).

Actions

| Action number | Workgroup Raised | Owner | Action | Comment | Due by | Status |
|---------------|------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| 11 | WG2 | All | Add agenda time to respond to papers provided by Workgroup members | Ongoing | WG4 | Closed |
| 20 | WG6 | JN/AQ | Consider legal perspective on NESO designation | | TBC | Closed |
| 24 | WG7 | MO | Consult ESO legal team to consider using existing legal definitions for clarification (substantial modification) and reconsider terminology being used (material/significant/allowable) | | TBC | Closed |
| 31 | WG9 | MO | More detail requested by Workgroup to make a judgement on Connection Point and Capacity Reservation (including offshore) | | TBC | Closed |
| 35 | WG10 | AC/AQ | ESO to confirm whether additional uncertainty clauses (which have been appearing in offers recently) will remain | | TBC | Open |
| 38 | WG11 | MO | Updated Action: To expand on licence change conditions/obligations, including any suggested changes to the Licensed offer timescales | ESO decision to not draft licence text suggestions | TBC | Closed |
| 40 | WG11 | RF | To share licence changes programme timescales with Workgroup | No longer part of CMP434 | TBC | Closed |
| 49 | WG17 | MO | Updated action: SMEs to share a short summary of the methodologies and the underlying principles of this modification. This should include a plan for development of methodologies, including timescales and engagement with stakeholders. | Ongoing discussion with Ofgem | TBC | Open |
| 51 | WG18 | HM | Provide further explanation/evidence on the perceived flexibility / timing differences between changing the content of a methodology and changing the content of a code. | Methodologies content not included as part of the Proposal except the extent of inclusion in the draft legal text | TBC | Closed |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|
| 56 | WG18 | MO | Confirmation of when the financial instruments modification will be raised. | ESO are currently performing an options assessment, and outcome of that (i.e. the specific option we proceed with) will dictate the timelines that we will need to follow. | | Open |
| 58 | WG18 | PM | Clarify whether anything in Proposal could allow the Gate 2 criteria to be amended and applied retrospectively i.e. with a Gate 2 project then no longer being a Gate 2 project, even where it is complying with its ongoing compliance obligations. | Legal Text Circulated does not allow the Gate 2 criteria to be amended and applied retrospectively | TBC | Closed |
| 59 | WG19 | PM | Element 11 – Produce examples to provide clarification to the Workgroup (slide 25) on how using installed capacity could work in practice | To be added to the QM guidance (as relates to ongoing land compliance requirement) – follow up required to decide if ‘installed capacity’ is the correct term | TBC | Open |
| 60 | WG19 | PM | Element 11 – Consider Workgroup Member request to provide analysis to show which projects could benefit from the Proposals (slide 26) to have a milestone adjustment ability for ESO e.g. where a developer asks for an earlier date and gets a later date, or asks for and gets a later date (but this is due to a normal programme timescales e.g. mega projects) to avoid unintended outcomes. | Ongoing – PM to reach out to EB | TBC | Open |
| 66 | WG19 | MO | More information on timeline on CP30 plans/impacts to be shared once they are available (to compare to the code change programme, including voting timetable). | Connection reform event slides shared with members | TBC | Closed |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|
| 68 | WG20 | MO | Consider workshops to allow discussion time for forward looking milestones and expectations for planning | | TBC | Closed |
| 72 | WG21 | TE/CH | Amend Alternative Request Proposal 22 and feedback to Workgroup | | TBC | Closed |
| 73 | WG21 | LH | Provide analysis/evidence of the impact of Alternative Request 23 (NGED) and consider Alternative ways of solving the issue e.g. more windows (PY comment) | NGED quantified the number of applications they have submitted to the ESO as part of the Transmission Impact Assessment process in the 23/24 financial year as between 100-440 per licenced area. | TBC | Closed |
| 75 | WG21 | AQ/LH | RE – Alternative Request 23 - To consult legal teams as to whether a 10- or 20-day obligation is most appropriate within the CUCS or in the licence | NGED legal team believe the obligation would be better placed in the CUSC than the licence where there is less specific detail on processes – ESO legal view requested | TBC | Closed |

Attendees

| Name | Initial | Company | Role |
|-----------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Claire Goult | CG | Code Administrator, ESO | Chair |
| Lizzie Timmins | LT | Code Administrator, ESO | Chair |
| Andrew Hemus | AH | Code Administrator, ESO | Tech Sec |
| Stuart McLarnon | SM | Code Administrator, ESO | Tech Sec |
| Graham Lear | GL | ESO | Proposer |
| Ruby Pelling | RP | ESO | Proposer |
| Lee Wilkinson | LW | Ofgem | Authority Representative |
| Allan Love | AL | SPT | Workgroup Member |
| Andrew Yates | AY | Statkraft | Workgroup Member |
| Andy Dekany | AD | NGV | Workgroup Member |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Ben Adamson | BA | Low Carbon | Workgroup Member |
| Bill Scott | BS | Eclipse Power Networks | Workgroup Member |
| Brian Hoy | BH | Electricity North West Limited (ENWL) | Workgroup Member |
| Ciaran Fitzgerald | CF | Scottish Power Renewables | Workgroup Member |
| Claire Hynes | CH | RWE Renewables | Workgroup Member |
| Fereshteh Nouri | FN | Elmya Energy | Workgroup Member |
| Garth Graham | GG | SSE Generation | Workgroup Member |
| Grant Rogers | GR | Qualitas Energy | Workgroup Member |
| Greg Stevenson | GS | SSEN Transmisson (SHET) | Workgroup Member |
| Helen Snodin | HS | Fred Olsen Seawind | Workgroup Member |
| Helen Stack | HES | Centrica | Workgroup Member |
| Hugh Morgan | HM | Green Generation Energy Networks Cymru Ltd | Workgroup Member |
| James Jackson | JJ | Orsted | Workgroup Member |
| Joe Colebrook | JC | Innova Renewables | Workgroup Member |
| Laura Henry | LH | NGED | Workgroup Member |
| Luke Scott | LS | Northern Powergrid | Workgroup Member |
| Mark Field | MF | Sembcorp Energy (UK) Limited | Workgroup Member |
| Michelle MacDonald Sandison | MS | SSEN | Workgroup Member |
| Paul Jones | PJ | Uniper | Workgroup Member |
| Paul Youngman | PY | Drax | Workgroup Member |
| Phillip John | PJ | Epsilon Generation | Workgroup Member |
| Ravinder Shan | RS | FRV TH Powertek Limited | Workgroup Member |
| Richard Woodward | RW | NGET | Workgroup Member |
| Rob Smith | ROS | Enso Energy | Workgroup Member |
| Sam Aitchison | SA | Island Green Power | Workgroup Member |
| Simon Lord | SL | Engie | Workgroup Member |
| Wendy Mantle | WM | Scottish Power Energy Networks | Workgroup Member |
| Zivanayi Musanhi | ZM | UK Power Networks | Workgroup Member |
| Zygimantas Rimkus | ZR | Buchan Offshore Wind | Workgroup Member |