

CUSC Alternative Form – Non Charging

CMP434 Alternative Request 3

Overview: The current proposed forward planning milestone are to be removed from the proposal. The current Queue Management planning milestone dates will be used instead.

Proposer: EDF Energy, Phillip Addison

☒ I/We confirm that this Alternative Request proposes to modify the non - charging section of the CUSC only

What is the proposed alternative solution?

This Alternative proposes that the forward-looking planning milestone M1 and M2 dates as detailed in Element 11.4 of the consultation, be removed and replaced with planning milestones calculated as per the current milestones in the recently implemented CMP376 Queue Management.

What is the difference between this and the Original Proposal?

The Original proposal uses forward-looking milestones that will require the Developer to apply for planning within a set timeframe from accepting the Gate 2 offer, regardless of the connection date. This timeframe varies depending on type of planning.

This proposal looks to remove this requirement and keep the planning requirement as per the CMP376 milestones which are backwards looking milestones that vary depending on connection date.

Table below is taken from [CMP376](#) to show the milestone calculation for planning:

Conditional Progression Milestones

Retained Distribution Milestone Names for consistency	From 0 up to 2 years (0 – 729 days) from contracted Completion date	2 up to 3 years (730 – 1094 days) from contracted Completion date	3 up to 4 years (1095 to 1459 days) from contracted Completion date	4 up to 5 years (1460 – 1824 days) from contracted Completion date	5 years (1825 days and above) from contracted Completion date
Milestones:	All durations referenced back from contracted Completion Date				
M1 – Initiate Planning Consent	Bilaterally negotiated	18 months	24 months	36 months	48 months
M2 – Secure Consent		12 months	18 months	24 months	30 months
M3 – Land Rights		21 months	27 months	39 months	51 months

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer's Assessment against CUSC Non-Charging Objectives

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;	Positive – This Alternative provides incentive for projects to progress and allows for normal project development as opposed

	to the current proposal. The Original proposal requires projects to apply for planning and complete site works well in advance of normal project development.
(b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;	Positive – This doesn't discriminate against projects with connection dates far into the future. It is already implemented via CMP376, with requirements that are already understood by industry.
(c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and	None
(d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.	None
*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.	

When will this change take place?

Implementation date:

1 January 2025 - Same date as the implementation of [CMP434](#)

Implementation approach:

As per Original

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Reference material:

1. <https://www.nationalgrideso.com/industry-information/codes/cusc/modifications/cmp376-inclusion-queue-management-process-within-cusc>