

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CMP434 & CM095 Workgroup 17

Date: 13/08/2024

Contact Details

Chair: **Claire Goult** Claire.Goult@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: **Ruby Pelling** ruby.pelling@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The key area for discussion in Workgroup 17 was to review the Workgroup Consultation Responses. The Chair noted quoracy and began the Workgroup. The Chair introduced the new Proposer.

Timeline Update

The Chair stated that there was no update to the timeline since the previous Workgroup meeting. Several Workgroup members expressed concerns regarding the timeline, querying whether there would be time for a consultation on the required licence changes.

CM095 Workgroup Consultation Responses

Workgroup members provided feedback that more Workgroup meeting time needs to be dedicated to CM095 going forwards. A Workgroup member asked for the ESO to provide a workplan for how they plan to develop the non-codified supporting documents to this Modification, in time for the implementation date. Workgroup members stated they would have to see more of the details involved in CM095 before they could make an informed response, as the majority of the detail for the proposed solution will be defined in guidance and methodologies.

Workgroup members stated they would like to be updated on what the current legal status of CATOs is and if an alternative could be introduced to remove them from this modification.

A Workgroup member wanted it to be noted that much of the negative feedback received in the CM095 consultation is in response to all 4 urgent modifications, and not specific to CM095. This Workgroup member also stated that they wanted to ensure CM095 was only helping to facilitate CMP434, and was not creating new User impacts, with regards to Capacity Reservation.

CMP434 Workgroup Consultation Responses

Workgroup members raised concern that they believed a significant amount of time is required to develop this modification, given the feedback received in the Workgroup Consultation.

A Workgroup member stated that they would like to hear from the designers of CFD implementation and the REMA process, which the Chair agreed to look into.

Workgroup members stated they were concerned with how little time had been dedicated to discussing legal text and alternatives, as from experience they believe this will take many workgroups.

AOB

The Chair asked for all members that had concerns around the timeline to send an email with these concerns so that they could be collated.

The Authority Representative stated that the change in government is not expected to have a significant effect on the timeline of the Modification.

Actions Update

An action was added on the SMEs to share a short summary of the methodologies and the underlying principles of this modification.

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
11	WG2	All	Add agenda time to respond to papers provided by Workgroup members	Ongoing	WG4	Open
20	WG6	JN/AQ	Consider legal perspective on NESO designation		TBC	Open
22	WG6	JH	Consider if an impact assessment by the ESO on the proposed solution is achievable within the current timescales		TBC	Open
23	WG7	LH	Clarify the ESO Position as to why the capacity reallocation process is out of scope for CMP434		TBC	Open
24	WG7	MO	Consult ESO legal team to consider using existing legal definitions for clarification (substantial modification) and reconsider terminology being used (material/significant/allowable)		TBC	Open
26	WG7	SMEs	Provide a list of policy documents envisaged for TMO4+ and for which details are not within scope of CMP434 (e.g.CNDM). Also provide a list of their contents/principles the documents are using if not available for the WG consultation		TBC	Open
29	WG9	MO/AQ	In terms of the 3 year long stop cancellation of sites/capacity provide detail to what element of the CUSC is being referenced and how this is envisaged to work?		TBC	Open

30	WG9	AQ	To explain how the dispute process will fit into the statutory approach (legal route)	TBC	Open
31	WG9	MO	More detail requested by Workgroup to make a judgement on Connection Point and Capacity Reservation (including offshore)	TBC	Open
32	WG10	MO	Clarify TO/ESO in terms of CNDM and what would get into the Gate 1 offer	TBC	Open
35	WG10	AC/AQ	ESO to confirm whether additional uncertainty clauses (which have been appearing in offers recently) will remain	TBC	Open
36	WG10	AC/AQ	ESO to consider doing duplication checks on LoAs given info received today on G1 offers, to avoid buying LoAs off each other.	TBC	Open
37	WG10	AC/AQ	To confirm Gate 1 contracts are formal binding contracts and clarify terminology accordingly	TBC	Open
38	WG11	MO	To expand on licence change conditions/obligations	TBC	Open
39	WG11	MO	To share ESO suggested Licensed offer timescales changes from 3 months with the Workgroup	TBC	Open
40	WG11	RF	To share licence changes programme timescales with Workgroup	TBC	Open
41	WG12	PM	To share analysis/feedback which informs the Gate 2 period offer acceptance to submission of application for Planning Consent	TBC	Open
42	WG12	JH	To provide an update of the action log at Workgroup 13	WG13	Closed
43	WG16	DH/GL	Investigate whether changes are required to STCP 18-7 based on the CMP434 solution	Anticipated that no changes need to be made, but will confirm this once it has been investigated further ASAP	Open
44	WG16	DH/GL	Consider whether an update is required to the STC Panel on	ASAP	Open

			timings of STCP modifications and approval route			
45	WG16	RW	Provide narrative within Workgroup Consultation on Connection Point and Capacity Reservation	Completed	19/07	Open
46	WG16	AL	Provide narrative within Workgroup Consultation relating to CATOs	Completed	19/07	Open
47	WG16	MO	Amend 'Why Change' and 'Interactions' sections of Workgroup Consultation document	Completed	19/07	Open
48	WG16	LT	Amend Proposer's solution section within Executive Summary	Completed	19/07	Open
49	WG17	MO	SMEs to share a short summary of the methodologies and the underlying principles of this modification.		WG18	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Claire Goult	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Lizzie Timmins	LT	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Stuart McLarnon	AH	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Ruby Pelling	RP	ESO	Proposer
David Halford	DH	ESO	Proposer
Lee Wilkinson	LW	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Alex Ikonic	AI	Orsted	Workgroup Member
Allan Love	AL	SPT	Workgroup Member
Anthony Cotton	AC	Green Generation Energy Networks Cymru Ltd	Workgroup Member
Bill Scott	BS	Eclipse Power Networks	Workgroup Member
Deborah MacPherson	DM	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member
Garth Graham	GG	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
Grant Rogers	GR	Qualitas Energy	Workgroup Member
Greg Stevenson	GS	SSEN Transmisson (SHET)	Workgroup Member
Helen Stack	HES	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Kyran Hanks	KH	CUSC Panel member	Workgroup Member
Luke Scott	LS	Northern Powergrid	Workgroup Member
Mark Field	MF	Sembcorp Energy (UK)	Workgroup Member

Michelle M Sandison	MS	SSEN	Workgroup Member
Mireia Barenys	MB	Lightsourcebp	Workgroup Member
Muhammad Madni	MM	NGV	Workgroup Member
Paul Jones	PJ	Uniper	Workgroup Member
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax	Workgroup Member
Ravinder Shan	RS	FRV TH Powertek Limited	Workgroup Member
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Workgroup Member
Sam Aitchison	SA	Island Green Power	Workgroup Member
Tim Ellingham	TE	RWE	Workgroup Member
Wendy Mantle	WM	SPEN	Workgroup Member
Yates Andrew	YA	Statkraft	Workgroup Member
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZM	UK Power Networks	Workgroup Member
Zygimantas Rimkus	ZR	Buchan Offshore Wind	Workgroup Member