

GC0164 Simplification of Operation Code No.2

15 July 2024 10am - 1pm Workgroup 9

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME



Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and Conduct to be consistent with the values of equality and diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives

Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives

Objectives

- **Introduction**
- **Workgroup Consultation Responses Review**
- **Workgroup Report Discussion**
- **Timeline**
- **Legal Text Updates**
- **Any Other Business/Next steps**



Workgroup Consultation Responses Review

All

Summary of the 3 non-confidential responses for GC0164

Q1 - Do you believe that the Original Proposal and/or any potential alternatives better facilitate the Applicable Objectives?

1 respondent agreed that Original proposal better facilitated objectives a, b, c, and d, another agreed that only objective e, was better and a third agreed that a, c and e were better.

Q2 - Do you support the proposed implementation approach?

All three respondents supported the implementation approach.

Q3 - Do you have any other comments?

1 respondent share their comment on the legal text version of the Glossary and definitions, planning code and Operating Code 2, another added their comments to each of the relevant questions asked. The third respondent gave a details response which will be shared during the Workgroup session.

Q4 - Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?

All respondent replied no.

Q5 - Do you think that the changes proposed make it easier to understand than the existing version?

2 respondents agreed that the changes proposed did make it easier to understand better than the existing version, 1 respondent advised it was difficult to form a view.

Q6- Do you agree that the Grid Code obligations wouldn't change if this version is implemented when comparing to the existing version?

2 respondents agreed that the obligations wouldn't change, and 1 respondent advised that some requirements have been changed/removed, although some changes may not have been intentional and were due to editorial changes.

Q7 - Do you agree with the Workgroup view that there should be only one set of Glossary and Definitions applicable to the entire Grid Code?

2 respondents agreed that there should only be 1 set of glossary and Definitions. Having multiple definitions for the same define term would cause confusion. 1 respondent did not agree advising this was a fundamental mistake which would hamper the dWSTC project.

Q8 - Do you agree with the proposed change to move the position of the Generators Performance Charts from the Operating Code to the Planning Code?

All respondents agreed, 1 respondent added that there are some further areas of OC2 which relate to the Generator Performance Chart which could be moved into the Planning Code.

Q9 - In order to help quantify the industry resources required to implement this type of change, please indicate the number of hours spent reading and responding to this consultation.

Members shared the approximate hours spent, with 1 member noting it was not appropriate to answer.



Workgroup Report Discussion

All

Timeline for GC0164 – Updated 22 April 2024 (Workgroup 6)

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Modification presented to Panel	26 October 2023	Code Administrator Consultation (1 calendar month)	03 September – 03 October 2024
Workgroup Nominations (15 Working Days) <i>NOTE: Workgroup Nomination have been extended 5 working days</i>	31 October 2023 to 29 November 2023	Draft Final Self Governance Modification Report (DFS MR) issued to Panel (5 working days)	16 October 2024
Workgroup 1 and 2 <i>Agree timeline, Terms of Reference, discuss solution and legal text</i>	18 December 2023 23 January 2024	Panel undertake DFSGMR recommendation vote	31 October 2024
Workgroup 3, 4 and 5 <i>Legal text review and draft Workgroup Consultation</i>	04 March 2024, 25 March 2024 and 10 April 2024		
Workgroup 6 <i>Refine Workgroup Consultation</i>	22 April 2024 (9.30 – 12.30)		
Workgroup 7 and 8 <i>Refine Legal text and review Workgroup Consultation</i>	09 May 2024 (1.00pm -4.30pm) and 03 June 2024 (10am- 1pm)		
Workgroup Consultation (1 Month)	07 June 2024 to 08 July 2024	Final Self Governance Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly	29 October 2024 to 05 November 2024
Workgroup 9 and 10 <i>Review Workgroup Consultation responses and any alternatives, Workgroup Vote, finalise Workgroup Report</i>	15 July 2024 and 08 August 2024	Final Self Governance Modification Report Appeal window opened (15 Days)	06 November 2024 – 27 November 2024
Workgroup report issued to Panel (5 working days)	14 August 2024	Implementation Date	02 December 2024
Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	29 August 2024		



Any Other Business/Next Steps
Deborah Spencer – ESO Code Administrator