

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CMP430/CMP431: Workgroup Meeting 14

Date: 21/06/2024

Contact Details

Chair: Deborah Spencer (deborah.Spencer@nationalgrideso.com)

Proposer: Neil Dewar (neil.dewar@nationalgrideso.com / Keren Kelly (keren.kelly1@nationalgrideso.com)

Key areas of discussion

The Chair welcomed Workgroup members and shared the details of the agenda to be discussed.

Action Review

The Proposer advised that all the actions from the last Workgroup meeting will be reviewed alongside the Section 14 legal text, with the exception of Action 26 which is for Code Governance, regarding the duplication of reference 14.15.138 in CUSC.

Section 14 Legal Text Update

The Proposer advised that following the last Workgroup, they had spent a lot of time with the ESO Revenue and Legal team, working on the suggestions and comments raised, with one response being received from Workgroup members on the back of the document being circulated.

The Workgroup agreed that they were happy with all the comments captured by the Proposer with nothing further to add.

The Proposer also gave an update on timings and informed the Workgroup that they are aiming to share the full set of legal text with the group prior to the meeting on the 05 July, where representatives from Legal and Revenue will be in attendance, with the aim of agreeing full sign off, on the final draft of the legal text.

CMP430 Terms of Reference (ToR) Review

With regards to **Reference A** the Proposer discussed that the EBR implications were touched upon as part of the Workgroup consultation and did not believe there to be an impact. Once the proposed legal text is finalised, it will be re visited to ensure they have not touched upon any kind of clauses that interact with EBR.

The proposer then moved on to discuss **Reference B** around interaction with the BSC (Balancing and Settlement Code) legal drafting and noted that this had been fully considered as they have been going through various iterations and have continually linked in and appreciated the input from Market-wide Half Hourly Settlement (MHHS) Programme and Elexon who are the code administrators for the BSC. They are confident they have fulfilled these terms of reference, and the group agreed.

Then the Proposer continued to **Reference C** and commented that ToR F was linked to this and noted the Workgroup have considered as much as they can with the information available, but do not currently have an

exact number on the volume of customers that will be subject to a different charging arrangement under the proposed solution.

The workgroup members commented on this, and the consensus was that if it can be shown that all efforts were made to identify a number, and a range of impact is provided with assumptions, then they believe the terms of reference is fulfilled. Information on the MHHS data cleanse activity should also be included in the Workgroup Report to outline that this could provide the required data, but it would not be in time for any decision on this Modification.

Terms of **Reference D** was discussed around the consideration of minimising or eliminating double charging. This had been talked about earlier in the Workgroup process and the Workgroup have identified situations where suppliers and then potentially consumers are at risk of double charging where they change charging arrangements.

The risk cannot be eliminated, but the Workgroup will highlight the times at which the risk is increased and include this, in the Workgroup report.

Consideration was also noted as to what this Modification is proposing compared to the baseline i.e. significantly less sites will be subject to potential double charging under the proposed solution compared to the baseline.

Terms of **Reference E** impacts the MHHS Programme, and the representatives from the programme and Elexon have been helpful in reminding the proposer of the changes they are making in the modification to ensure there is no impact.

The Proposer moved on to **Reference G** regarding costs and timescales to industry and were advised this had been a question as part of the Workgroup consultation, and received limited feedback from industry, but advised they will give consideration as part of the Workgroup report.

A Workgroup member raised the question about when this Modification will be implemented and were advised that the proposed implementation is from the 01 April 2025 because it is tied to the start of the Charging Year, as it is a charging modification. The Workgroup all agreed this seemed the right approach.

It was agreed by all that it would be a beneficial to add a question to the Code Administrator Consultation (CAC) to understand the costs more if possible, so there will be something added in the next consultation to include in the Final Modification Report (FMR) to go to the Authority. **Action 31**

Next to be discussed was **Reference H** with the Proposer's view being that the solution they are proposing should not be time limited and should be incorporated on an enduring basis, but they expect there to be a future Modification that comes out of the TNUoS Task Force.

The Proposer commented that they would need to check where the last Task Force meeting got to and provide the Workgroup with a formal comment from that. **Action 32**

CMP431 Section 3 Review

The Proposer reminded the group that there are two linked proposals: CMP430 is the charging proposal which looks at changes to Section 14 of the CUSC, and then CMP431 which is looking at potential changes to Section 3 and 11.

Workgroup time so far has focussed on changes to Section 14, and with the same mindset, considerations need to be made regarding Section 3 which covers Use of System.

The Proposer shared Section 3 document and advised the Workgroup members that they would very much appreciate members feedback on potential changes required, over the next few days, in particular relation to the 'Data Requirements' section, the reconciliation statements area, and Credit Requirements/monitoring. If no changes are needed, Workgroup have been asked to kindly respond to advise this. **Action 33**

In the meantime, the Proposer will continue working in parallel with the Legal and Revenue team.

AOB/Next Steps

- Workgroup were reminded that the next Workgroup is on 05 July 2024
- To review the remaining CUSC section 14 document and agree completed.
- Review Terms of Reference CMP431 and agree.
- Finalise all legal text for CMP430 and CMP431

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
31	WG14	Proposer	Add a question into the CAC to understand potential costs	WG15	Open
32	WG14	Proposer	Feedback to Workgroup members on the formal comments from Taskforce	WG15	Open
33	WG14	Workgroup members	To review and comment on possible changes required in Section 3	WG15	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Deborah Spencer	DS	Code Governance, ESO	Chair
Sarah Williams	SW	Code Governance, ESO	Tech Sec
Keren Kelly	KK	ESO	Proposer
Lee Stone	LS	Npower Commercial Gas Limited	Workgroup Member
Hugh Boyle	HB	EDF Energy	Workgroup Member
Gareth Evans	GE	WWA	Workgroup Member
Andrew Colley	AC	SSE Generation Limited	Workgroup Member
Laurie Harman	LH	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Karl Maryon	KM	Drax Energy Services Limited	Workgroup Member
Colin Berry	CB	Elexon	Observer
Neil Geddes	NG	Scottish Power Transmission	Observer

James Brogden

JB

Elexon

Observer
