

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

CMP431/CMP430: Workgroup Meeting 10

Date: 20/05/2024

Contact Details

Chair: Deborah Spencer (Deborah.spencer@nationalgrideso.com)

Proposer: Neil Dewar (neil.dewar@nationalgrideso.com) / Keren Kelly (keren.kelly1@nationalgrideso.com)

Key areas of discussion

The Chair welcomed Workgroup members and talked through the meeting agenda.

Action Review

Action 12 – The Proposer advised that the view is that separate table is not required to include export, all should be reflected in the proposed legal text. The Proposer also advised that he is considering compiling a some guidance note, but this will be further discussed in the Legal Text section. Action to be kept open until legal text is finalised.

Action 14 – To be closed after this meeting presentation.

Action 15 – The proposer advised that a call is scheduled for this week with the parties involved. To be kept open.

Action 17 ,18 and 19 – Proposed to close.

Action 20 – To be kept open.

Action 14 Update

The ESO SME shared with the Workgroup the Updated Profile Class 5-8 Analysis.

A Workgroup member asked if there was an update on the number of customer's impacted, the ESO SME clarified that only suppliers have that information and that they would need to provide it in order for the ESO to see it. A Workgroup member commented that suppliers may not even have the data available until the data cleanse work as part of MHHS preparation has been completed.

The ESO SME advised that data provided from other Modifications (DCP414) suggests there could be approximately 5000 sites impacted per DNO area, and that the majority of those should be in classes 3 to 4, suggesting that it could be a small number of sites (low hundreds) in the 5-8 classes.

The ESO SME also clarified that there are no values in the Zone 1-7 cells as the demand locational tariffs are floored to zero in these areas, following a query from a Workgroup member.

Legal Text Update

The Proposer shared the suggested Legal text for CMP430 (CUSC Section 14) with the Workgroup., advising that Option 3 of the proposed Legal text (Slide 11) contains 4 clauses:

14.17.41.1: Explains that specified MPANs are treated exactly the same as previous arrangements before migration.

14.17.41.2: Clarifies the arrangements stay exactly the same (Triad = Triad, 4pm to 7pm = 4pm to 7pm) except in the specified circumstances in (i) and (ii).

14.17.41.3: Describes reverse migration and links to Action 20. The Proposer noted that this clause is not included in Slide 12 and is seeking the Workgroup comments on the best approach (Slide 11 or Slide 12).

14.17.41.4: Looks to future proof the arrangements to allow for the introduction of MPANs into the new arrangements that register for the first time.

The Proposer advised that after conversations, some suggestions have been made to Legal Text (Slide 12).

They explained that this links to Section C of the BSC and introduces new settlement arrangements for all suppliers BMUs metering systems and advised that from the point in the financial year where the site has transitioned, the Demand locational charges will be applied as stated in the legal text.

It was highlighted that the new text (in blue) was introduced to links to 14.17.13 without referring to it explicitly.

The Proposer referred to the possibility of using guidance a note and explained to the Workgroup that formal opinions have been asked from the legal team on where in the CUSC the guidance note would sit, and on the validity of using guidance notes. The Proposer will update the Workgroup once more information has been provided on this.

The Proposer questioned the Workgroup if reverse migration should be considered as per 14.17.41.3 in Slide 11.

The Proposer asked the Workgroup to review the Legal text and to stress test it in their organisations.

Next Steps

- The Workgroup to provide feedback on the legal text by 12:00 on Thursday 23rd of May.
- The Chair will circulate the relevant materials ahead of the next Workgroup meeting.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
10	WG4	Proposer/ HB	To meet with HB to consider the scenarios for contracts from October.		WG5	Closed
12	WG5	Proposer/ Elexon Representatives	CUSC Section 14 changes 14.17.41. To consider if a further table is required to include export.		WG6	Closed
14	WG6	DH (ESO SME)	To conduct and analysis on the profiles for 5 to 8		WG7	Closed
15	WG8	Proposer / Elexon	Proposer to meet with MHHS Programme on latest version of proposed legal text		WG9	Open

16	WG8	Proposer	To circulate draft legal text by 3rd May for review ahead of the next Workgroup	WG9	Closed
17	WG9	Proposer	To circulate the slide with the alternative solution to the Workgroup	WG10	Closed
18	WG9	Proposer/ HB/LS	To organise a session to develop the legal text	WG10	Closed
19	WG9	Proposer/Chair	To update the timeline and share with Ofgem for approval	WG10	Closed
20	WG9	Proposer	Reverse migration concept expires after M14 milestone, proposer to make it clear this it is limited.	WG10	Open
21	WG10	Ofgem	To advise on the proposed new timeline	WG11	Closed
22	WG10	DH	To circulate the analysis on Updates Profile Class 5-8	WG11	Closed
23	WG10	Workgroup	To provide feedback on legal text	12:00 on Thursday 23 rd of May	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Deborah Spencer	DS	Code Governance, ESO	Chair
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Governance, ESO	Tech Sec
Neil Dewar	ND	ESO	Proposer
Lee Stone	LS	Npower Commercial Gas Limited	Workgroup Member
Hugh Boyle	HB	EDF Energy	Workgroup member
Gareth Evans	GE	WWA	Workgroup member
Laurie Harman	LH	Centrica	Workgroup Alternate
Andrew Colley	AC	SSE Generation	Workgroup member
Gareth Williams	GW	Scottish Power Transmission	Observer
Colin Berry	CB	Elexon	Observer
Chris Welby	CW	Elexon	Observer

Daniel Hickman	DH	ESO	ESO SME
Sarah Williams	SW	Code Governance, ESO	Observer
David Tooby	DT	Ofgem	Authority Representative