

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## Meeting name: CMP424 Workgroup 4

---

Date: 09/04/2024

---

### Contact Details

Chair: Claire Goult: [claire.goult@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:claire.goult@nationalgrideso.com)

Proposer: Martin Cahill: [martin.cahill1@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:martin.cahill1@nationalgrideso.com)

---

## Key areas of discussion

The objectives of the fourth Workgroup were to review the Workgroup consultation responses and review the legal text update.

### Timeline Update

The Workgroup reviewed and agreed the timeline.

### Action Update

The Proposer presented slides to cover open actions from Workgroup 3. Workgroup members agreed to close actions 11 and 12.

### Workgroup Consultation Responses Review

The Workgroup reviewed the Workgroup Report summary of the two Workgroup Consultation Responses received. Workgroup members agreed with the summary.

### Proposer update

The proposer shared the proposed legal text which makes changes to CUSC 14.15.7.

One Workgroup member suggested the removal of “for example” from the legal text. The Proposer and Workgroup agreed with this suggestion.

The Proposer made the Workgroup aware that [CMP316](#) is also proposing changes to CUSC 14.15.7.

The Proposer asked if a worked example should be included in the legal text. One Workgroup member suggested striving to keep the legal text as simple and clean as possible but asked if a guidance note on how the proposed change would work in practice could be provided instead. One Workgroup member suggested that CMP424 could be referenced in the legal text which would provide background to the change and clarity on how it works. One

Workgroup member noted that there is evidence in the CUSC that this has been done before. The Proposer agreed to consult with the ESO legal team on this matter.

Terms of Reference update

The Workgroup agreed that a few lines were needed to be added to the Workgroup Report to explain that CMP424 has no EBR implications.

The Workgroup agreed that Terms of Reference b) and c) had been covered, with the next step being linking them to the sections of the Workgroup Report that covers them off.

**Next Steps.**

- Workgroup to review Workgroup report.
- Workgroup Vote Tuesday 16 April.

**Actions**

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
2	WG1	MC	Assess any link between scaling factors and system operation - for example minimum CCGT generation, instructing wind off etc	More detail and explanation required. See Action 11	WG2	Closed
11	WG2	MC	Speak to NOA team for any assistance on narrative around - Assess any link between scaling factors and system operation - for example minimum CCGT generation, instructing wind off etc	Proposer shared slide at WG4.	WG3	Closed
12	WG3	MC	Share scenarios and forecast on when the defect (negative scaling factors) could occur.	Proposer shared slide at WG4.	WG4	Closed
13	WG4	MC	Update legal text with feedback from WG4 and liaise with legal on referencing CMP424 in legal text.		WG5	New

**Attendees**

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Claire Goult	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Andrew Hemus	AH	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Martin Cahill	MC	ESO	Proposer

David Tooby	DT	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Anthony Diccico	AD	ESB	Workgroup member
Damian Clough	DC	SSE Generation	Workgroup member
Graz Macdonald	GM	Waters Wye Associates	Workgroup member
Hector Perez	HP	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup member Alternate
Prisca Evans	PE	Code Administrator, ESO	Observer