

## Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

### CMP427: Update to the Transmission Connection Application Process for Onshore Applicants

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **12 noon** on **04 March 2024**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact [catia.gomes@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:catia.gomes@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com)

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Deborah MacPherson	
<b>Company name:</b>	ScottishPower Renewables Ltd	
<b>Email address:</b>	Deborah.macpherson@scottishpower.com	
<b>Phone number:</b>	07734281373	
<b>Which best describes your organisation?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

#### I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

☒ **Non-Confidential** (this will be shared with industry and the Panel for further consideration)

☐ **Confidential** (this will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless specified, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry for further consideration)

#### For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:

- The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;
- Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and
- Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

*\*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.*

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions										
1	Please provide your assessment for the proposed solution(s) against the Applicable Objectives?	<p>Mark the Objectives which you believe the proposed solution(s) better facilitates:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Original</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>B <input type="checkbox"/>C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>D</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WACM1</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>B <input type="checkbox"/>C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>D</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WACM2</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>B <input type="checkbox"/>C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>D</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WACM3</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>B <input type="checkbox"/>C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>D</td> </tr> </table> <p>We agree that the Original and WACMs of CMP427 all better facilitate the Applicable Objectives (a), (b) and (d) and neutral in terms of (c) when assessed against the baseline.</p> <p>All options provide a means to delivering a more efficient and robust connections application process, complimenting the now implemented Queue Management arrangements and help facilitate more effective competition via the introduction of the LoA by aligning arrangements already in place for Distribution connecting projects and delivering on a key ask from Ofgem/DESNZ and the joint Connections Action Plan.</p>	Original	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D	WACM1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D	WACM2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D	WACM3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D
Original	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D									
WACM1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D									
WACM2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D									
WACM3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D									
2	Do you have a preferred proposed solution?	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> <input type="checkbox"/>Original  <input type="checkbox"/>WACM1  <input type="checkbox"/>WACM2  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>WACM3  <input type="checkbox"/>Baseline  <input type="checkbox"/>No preference </td> </tr> <tr> <td>We support the implementation of WACM3 as this provides Ofgem with the full range of options if approved.</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> WACM1 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM3 <input type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference	We support the implementation of WACM3 as this provides Ofgem with the full range of options if approved.						
<input type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> WACM1 <input type="checkbox"/> WACM2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WACM3 <input type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference										
We support the implementation of WACM3 as this provides Ofgem with the full range of options if approved.										
3	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>Yes  <input type="checkbox"/>No </td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPR note and support that the approved proposal, if approved, would be implemented ten business days after an Authority decision</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	SPR note and support that the approved proposal, if approved, would be implemented ten business days after an Authority decision						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No										
SPR note and support that the approved proposal, if approved, would be implemented ten business days after an Authority decision										

4	Do you have any other comments?	No
---	---------------------------------	----