

GSR030

Workgroup 7 – 23 February 2024

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME





Modification Process

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator

Code Modification Process Overview



Talk to us

Raise a mod

Refine solution

Consult

Decision

Implement

Forums

Panels

Workgroups
(Workgroup Consultations)

Ofgem/Panel



Objectives and Timeline

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator

Objectives for GSR030 Workgroup 7

- Review Actions and Outcomes
- Workgroup Consultation review (continued)
- Review Sensitivity Analysis done to date

Timeline for GSR030

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Modification presented to Panel	09 November 2022	Workgroup 9 Finalise Workgroup Report and Legal text	TBC
Workgroup Nominations (15 Working Days)	14 November 2022 to 09 December 2022	Workgroup Report completion deadline	01 March 2024
Workgroup 1 Proposer's presentation, check Terms of Reference, initial review of legal text	20 January 2023	Workgroup Report – Submission to Panel	06 March 2024
Workgroup 2 Bipole, anchor drag risk, N-1-1 criteria	07 March 2023	Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	14 March 2024
Workgroup 3 Scoping for cost benefit and impact assessment	18 May 2023	Code Administrator Consultation	26 March – 24 April 2024 (20 days CAC to allow for Easter)*
Workgroup 4 Refine solution(s) and materials to be provided with Workgroup Consultation	21 September 2023	DFMR Submission to Panel	3 July 2024*
Workgroup 5 Develop Workgroup Consultation document	17 November 2023	DFMR Panel Vote	10 July 2024*
Workgroup 6 Discuss Workgroup feedback on Workgroup Consultation document	18 December 2023	FMR to Ofgem	23 September 2024*
Workgroup 7 Finalise the Workgroup Consultation	23 January 2024	Ofgem decision	TBC
Workgroup Consultation	TBC	Implementation Date	TBC
Workgroup 8 Discuss consultation responses, refine solution and legal text	TBC	<i>*Subject to CAC timings. CAC period could be reduced to 15 days over Easter (extended to 20 days due to the holiday)</i>	

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
15	4	All	Review use of CBRA for cable installation to discuss at the next meeting	NA	19.10	Open
19	4	BA	Share overhead circuit risk tolerances, calculations and rationale behind what's deemed an acceptable level of risk (and relevance to cable scenarios)		29.09	Open
20	4	BA, FW	Compile text to cover ToR 3 - Consider retrospective impact on existing cables.		05.10.24	Open - BA review WG
21	4	LC	Consider what acceptable levels of risk are, what could be included in the SQSS & BA's suggested units involved for assessing risk		05.10	Open
22	4	NN, BA, LC	To discuss offline - risk and associated costs (investment in reinforcing the network and build/maintenance). BA to send a written narrative to help Orsted understand this ahead of a discussion		05.10	Open
23	4	All	Consider details of the above once shared and provide a proposal for discussion at the next WG		05.10	Open
24	5	BA	Put together the wording for the intentional damage/terrorism risk		17.11	Open
25	5	BA	Add more examples to the Mechanical Common Modes of Failure section		17.11	Open
26	5	BA	To redraft page 10 section, reach out to SMEs		17.11	Open
27	5	BA/ NN	Offline discussion to see if previous CBA will fit into this mod		17.11	Open



Workgroup Consultation

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator



Terms of Reference

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator

Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report (to be completed at Workgroup Report stage)
If there is no reliability data available, consider alternative ways of assessing the risks and the benefits for the increase of the loss of infeed risk.	
Consider risk-based approach for the specification of any restriction on the loss of infeed risk associated with multiple cables sharing the same route.	
Consider retrospective impact on existing cables.	See Action 20



Any Other Business

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator



Next Steps

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator