

# ITPenergised Alternative Suggestion – George Douthwaite

For consideration depending on scope of the proposal:

(1) Publish final generation TNUoS tariffs 2 years and 60 days in advance of the start of a tariff year. This will give a rolling 3 years of tariff certainty rather than the current 1 year. (ie: reduce proposal from 10 years to 3 years and remove cap and collar regime)

(2) TNUoS tariffs for a generator will be set based on an average of the latest ICRP DCLF output, and the outputs from the two years prior. To clarify, average the zonal cost per kmMW from the transport side of the TNUoS model over three years but apply the tariff side of the model as normal.

(3) Inputs to TNUoS ICRP DCLF model based on one of the published Future Energy Scenario (FES) or similar. This would be in terms of demand, generation and NOA infrastructure dates &/or TWR. These model inputs would be set 3 years in advance, providing less scope for in tariffs to change over this time horizon and greater predictability beyond. This would provide tariffs based on strategy, allowing strategy (rather than the current as-is network) to become the driver for locating new generation and supporting future infrastructure build.

The strategy, and basis for defining energy scenario and NOA/TWR or other infrastructure assumptions would all need to be defined within CUSC. This would enable, for example, inclusion of a significant infrastructure change within the model a number of years before it is due to be delivered, in order to encourage the correct location of new generation for the “to be” world.