

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: Workgroup Meeting 6

Date: 05/02/2024

Contact Details

Chair: Catia Gomes (Catia.Gomes@nationalgrideso.com)

Proposer: Joseph Henry (Joseph.Henry2@nationalgrideso.com)

Key areas of discussion

Review of the action log

All actions were reviewed and updates can be viewed in the actions log below.

Review of Legal Text

- CUSC Section 2 – The Proposer presented the draft legal text to the Workgroup, which was agreed subject to the following:
 - 2.13.1 – clarification was added to note that the LoA must follow the templates provided in an annex of the CUSC. Workgroup members discussed further clarification of this however did not make further changes to the legal text.
 - 2.13.2 – one Workgroup member queried when the guidance note would be changed and how stakeholders would be consulted. The Proposer agreed to cover this within the guidance note.
 - 2.13.3 – this legal text was amended and agreed by the Workgroup. Note: this section of legal text is only for the purposes of Alternative Request 2.

Review of draft LoA

- The Proposer presented the draft LoA templates to the Workgroup, noting changes that had been made since it was last reviewed by the Workgroup.
- There were no comments from the Workgroup.

Review of Workgroup Report

- The Chair presented the updated Workgroup Report to the Workgroup; minor changes were agreed by the Workgroup.

Review of Terms of Reference

- The Workgroup reviewed the Terms of Reference and agreed that these have been met within the Workgroup Report, and that the Workgroup Vote can be completed once the legal text has been finalised.

Alternative Requests

- GP presented their Alternative Request to the Workgroup.
 - One Workgroup member noted that the Alternative Request adds complexity that may not be required for the purpose of the modification to be achieved.
 - Another Workgroup member noted that they believed elements of the Alternative Request may be outside the scope of the modification but could be considered at a later date.
 - A further Workgroup member noted the possibility of a discrepancy with how connections are treated in Scotland, in comparison to in England and Wales.
 - The Proposer of the Alternative Request modified their Proposal to reflect the feedback received.
 - One Workgroup member noted that some projects may still be feasible if the threshold was below 50%, and the Workgroup had a discussion regarding what the threshold should be.
 - The Proposer of the Alternative Request removed their intention to consider multiple land ownership as part of the Alternative Request, noting that it should be considered in a subsequent modification.
 - The Workgroup agreed by majority that Alternative Request 2 should become WACM2.
- JH then presented their Alternative Request to the Workgroup, noting that it covers elements of the Original, WACM1 and WACM2. JH advised that this Alternative Request would allow Ofgem to consider all options together for approval.
 - The Workgroup agreed by majority that Alternative Request 3 should become WACM3.

Next Steps

- Updated legal text and Workgroup Report to be circulated to the Workgroup prior to Workgroup Meeting 7 on 07 February 2024.

Actions

For the full action log, [click here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
7	WG 2	JH	ESO to produce the legal text for WG approval	Currently under review	WG5	Open
9	WG 2	FS	ESO to discuss the checking of Scottish land	ESO to add wording into template to cover unregistered land	Ongoing	Open

			registrations and unregistered land			
13	WG 2	JH/FS	ESO to consider what forms of site map are acceptable and the defined areas on the map	To be considered as part of subsequent modification	Ongoing	Closed
15	WG 2	FS	ESO to consider whether technology type and minimal acreage is/is not included in the LOA template	Covered within Workgroup meeting 5	Ongoing	Closed
23	WG3	EH	Consider a statement/declaration in the LOA to support reviewing applications on unregistered land		WG4	Closed
24	WG3	ESO	ESO to consider/confirm the approach to non-template LoAs	JH confirmed that the template is the preferred method however they would accept others	WG4	Closed
27	WG3	ESO	Review a deadline for submission once a LOA is signed	ESO confirmed they support a 12 month period	WG4	Open
31	WG4	Workgroup	Suggestions for land registry software to be shared with ESO		Ongoing	Open
32	WG5	JH	Consider adjustments to the Original resulting from GP's alternative request		WG5	Closed
33	WG5	GG	Support ESO with legal text for WACM1	In progress	WG5	Open
34	WG5	RW	Provide text for the WG Report on the alternative request to extend LoA validity		WG5	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Governance, ESO	Chair
Lizzie Timmins	LT	Code Governance, ESO	Tech Sec
Joseph Henry	JH	ESO	Proposer
Folashade Popola	FP	ESO	ESO Rep
Alex Ikonic	AI	Orsted	Workgroup Member
Andrew Yates	AY	Statkraft	Workgroup Member

Blesson Thomas	BT	Clearstone Energy	Observer
Charles Deacon	CD	Eclipse Power Network	Workgroup Member
Claire Hynes	CH	RWE Renewables	Workgroup Member
Dave Elvin	DE	Island Green Power	Alternate
Dennis Gowland	DG	Research Relay Ltd	Workgroup Member
Deborah McPherson	DM	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member
Ed Birkett	EB	Low Carbon	Workgroup Member
Emma Hart	EH	ESO	SME
Garth Graham	GP	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
Graham Pannell	GP	BayWa r.e.	Observer
Greg Stevenson	GS	SHET	Workgroup Member
Helen Stack	HS	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Hooman Andami	HA	Elmya Energy	Workgroup Member
John Brereton	JB	Innova Renewables	Alternate
Kyran Hanks	KH	Waters Wye Associates	Workgroup Member
Lee Wilkinson	LW	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Workgroup Member