

Mid-Term (Y-1) Stability Market

Round 1 (2025/26)

Technical Requirements and Specification V5

Disclaimer

Information that is supplied in this document as part of this ITT is supplied in good faith. The information contained in the Mid-Term (Y-1) Stability Market ITT Pack and in any related written or oral communication is believed to be correct at the time of issue, but National Grid Electricity System Operator (ESO) will not accept any liability for its accuracy, adequacy or completeness and no warranty is given as such. Any reliance by a Tenderer or any third party on the information provided by ESO as part of this ITT Pack is at the Tenderer's and/or third party's risk.

Version Control

Version number	Date	Notes
V1	3 October 2023	Initial version published at EOI. Please note this document is subject to amends at ITT stage based on any feedback received through the EOI consultation.
V2	20 December 2023	Finalised version published at ITT stage. Section 2.2 has been updated to provide more information on the indicative utilisation profile for the 2025/2026 delivery year.
V3	16 February 2024	Section 1.1 page 2 and Section 2.2 page 3 updated to reflect updated inertia requirement.
V4	19 March 2024	Section 2.6 page 7 updated to provide clarity on Voltage Control modes.
V5	16 April 2024	Section 2.3 page 3 updated to reflect the permitted stacking between existing Stability Pathfinder contracts and the Mid-Term Stability Market. Section 2.4 page 6 updated to provide clarity on Reactive Power obligations.

Introduction

This document presents the technical requirements and specification which solutions are required to meet for the first round of the Mid-Term (Y-1) Stability Market.

This document is made up of the following parts:

- Part 1 – Inertia Requirements
- Part 2 – Specifications
- Part 3 – Definitions

ESO

Part 1 – Inertia Requirements

1.1 Inertia Requirements

In light of declining levels of system stability and the increased costs of its management, the ESO are launching a new stability market. This new Mid-Term (Y-1) Stability Market aims to procure firm, high-availability stability services on an annual basis (1-year contracts) from solutions which either are connected to the grid or hold a valid connection offer for the delivery year.

The first round of the Mid-Term (Y-1) Stability Market will aim to award contracts for the period from 1 October 2025 to 30 September 2026 (Subject to Change) and will be aiming to procure 10 GVA.s¹ of inertia nationally. This is a technology agnostic tender; any type of solution can partake provided they are able to meet the technical requirements and the solution aligns with the GB Grid Code definition of GBGF-I or GBGF-S plants.

¹ Note: We consider 1 GW. s = 1 GVA.s for the provision of inertia

ESO

Part 2 – Specifications

2.1 Location

There will be no locational requirement for the procurement of inertia services for this round (Round 1, delivery year 2025/2026) of the Mid-Term (Y-1) Stability Market however, there is an overall aim to distribute inertia regionally across GB to avoid impacts from a local Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF) event. As part of the evaluation process, we will assess solutions so that no more than 12 GVA.s of inertia can be lost for a credible fault at any time.

2.2 Availability and Utilisation Profile

This tender is seeking 90% availability and there is no utilisation cap. The indicative utilisation forecast is that the service will be utilised between 5% – 15% of total settlement periods in the delivery year². The solution should be expected to be used at any time throughout the year, the inertia service must be able to be delivered in full for the duration of the time that the inertia service is instructed. The solution will be considered being utilised when it is connected and instructed to provide the inertia service to the system, during this time the solution would be expected to also provide the reactive power within the range of capability laid out in section 2.4. The solution should be able to deliver the full range of reactive power whenever the inertia service is instructed.

2.3 Inertia Capability

The provider must ensure that the solution will provide an inertial response with an inertia as defined in Equation 1. The inertial response must be provided for frequency changes both above and below 50Hz.

$$\text{Inertia} = \frac{\Delta P f_0}{2 \times \text{RoCoF}} \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

Where:

ΔP is the **Active Inertia Power** of the **Grid Forming Plant** for a frequency event of 1Hz/s (MW)

RoCoF is the Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF) in Hz/s

f_0 is the pre-fault System Frequency (Hz)

For **GBGF-I Plants**, the inertial response must be such that **Active Inertia Power** is provided without activating current limiting functions for a Rate of Change of System Frequency (RoCoF) whose magnitude is less than or equal to 1Hz/s.

V5 Clarification: For any Provider stacking with an existing Stability Contract (e.g., Stability Pathfinder Contract), the Inertia in Equation 1 must be additional to the inertia already under another Stability contract.

² Note: This utilisation profile represents the ESO's best view of the average utilisation a provider could expect to be utilised, this is an indicative range of utilisation and is not representative of the absolute minimum and maximum utilisation a provider could expect to be used. This utilisation profile is derived from several different possible scenarios that the ESO has forecasted, and as such should be taken as indicative only. The ESO will continue to review the forecasted utilisation prior to the tender submissions and communicate any change to this range with the market. Please also note that during the delivery year, the utilisation of each provider will differ, according to their relative merit order in the utilisation price stack.

2.4 Reactive Power Capability

1. The Facility shall, following an Instruction, have the capability to provide Reactive Power within the range set out in the following table³.

Purpose	GEP/USEP Voltage (pu)	Active Power condition (if applicable)	MW at GEP/USEP	Lead MVar at GEP/USEP (absorption: -ve)	Lag MVar at GEP/USEP (injection: +ve)
Required service (for GBGF-I and GBGF-S)	0.95	Maximum MW export			
		0 MW			
		Maximum MW import			
	1	Maximum MW export			
		0 MW			
		Maximum MW import			
	1.05	Maximum MW export			
		0 MW			
		Maximum MW import			
Required Service for GBGF-I only	0.9	Maximum MW export			
		0 MW			
		Maximum MW import			
For GBGF-S this is only for information	1.1	Maximum MW export			
		0 MW			
		Maximum MW import			

³ Reactive generation and absorption values to be as specified by the tenderer in their tender submission. Relevant parts of the table to be filled in as required depending on technology type.

2. The reactive capability of **Grid Forming Plants** should be in accordance with the applicable sections of ECC.6.3.2.
3. **GBGF-S Plants** and **GBGF-I Plants** for which there are no explicit reactive power provisions in the Grid Code, e.g., the **Grid Forming Plants** that do not export MW (e.g., synchronous condensers) should meet the requirement as defined in Figures 1 and 2 respectively.
4. The reactive capability must be achievable at the **Grid Entry Point** or the **User System Entry Point** where the voltage at the **Grid Entry Point** or the **User System Entry Point** is between 0.95pu and 1.05pu inclusive. Operating at any point within this reactive range should not limit the ability to provide the contracted inertia.




Figure 1: Reactive Capability requirement for GBGF-S Plants not specified in the Grid Code




Figure 2: Reactive Capability requirement for GBGF-I Plants not specified in the Grid Code

ESO

5. **For GBGF- I Plants**, the maximum reactive injection and absorption capability should be symmetrical around the 0MVA_r point such that the slopes depicted in Figure 2 remain achievable.
6. The solutions excitation and voltage control shall be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Grid Code (e.g., ECC.6.3.8) and as specified in the Facility's Bilateral Connection Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, **GBGF-S Plants** and **GBGF-I Plants** not explicitly catered for in ECC.6.3.8 should meet the requirements of ECC.6.3.8.3 and ECC.6.3.8.4 respectively.
7. Any existing synchronous plant making changes to participate in this market, through means of allowing 0 MW operation. It is expected that their reactive capability is not less than their current stated maximum leading and lagging capability according to their HV Generator Performance Chart. This is to mean that the reactive capability of the machine will not be reduced when operating in 0MW mode.
8. **V5 Clarification:** Solutions with restrictions on their MVA_r capability requirements, through their connection agreements can forego meeting the Reactive Power Capability requirements set out in this technical specification. In this case the solution should abide the restrictions of their agreement.

2.5 Other Technical Requirements

The Grid Forming Plant/ Facility must be able to:

1. Provide the value set out in in Part 2.3 based on the normal operating mode of the Facility. These include, but are not limited to, steady state active and reactive power operating modes, operating modes at all system voltages specified in in CC.6.1.4 or ECC.6.1.4 (as applicable) of the Grid Code, whichever is applicable. For the avoidance of doubt, such operating modes should not limit the ability to provide the capability set out in Part 2.3.
2. In the event that the resulting Active Inertia Power would have caused the Facility to exceed its maximum overload capability or rated capability, a limited Active Inertia Power can be supplied up to its maximum overload capability providing this value is reflected in Part 2.3.
3. Each Grid Forming Plant Owner is required to confirm to **The Company**, about their repeated ability to supply Active Inertia Power in the event of successive frequency events of either +1Hz/s or -1Hz/s lasting for 1 second each, without the need to charge or discharge in between the events. Grid Forming Plant Owners should inform **The Company** of the maximum number of repeated operations that can be performed under such conditions and any limiting factors to repeated operation such as storage capacity available for inertial response.
4. Ensure continuous and controllable operation shall be possible at all system voltages specified in CC.6.1.4, ECC.6.1.4.1, ECC.6.1.4.2, ECC.6.1.4.3 of the Grid Code, whichever is applicable.
5. Ensure continuous and controllable operation shall be possible at all system frequencies specified in ECC.6.1.2.1.2 of the Grid Code.
6. All solutions must meet the relevant Grid Forming requirements for either GBGF-I or GBGF-S in ECC.6.3.19.

7. All Solutions must be able to meet the relevant voltage duration curves for connections at or above 110kV as specified in ECC.6.3.15 according to their relevant technology type. This requirement must be met regardless of the connection voltage of the solution.
8. Any solution connected to the distribution network must be able to withstand 0 p.u. voltage at the User System Entry Point for the duration of the local fault clearance time. The user shall inform the ESO of the local Fault Clearance time at their User System Entry Point and demonstrate this capability through simulations. Please note this requirement is in addition to the Fault Ride Through (FRT) requirements in ECC.6.3.15.
9. For the avoidance of doubt, a Facility, with an existing connection and meeting the technical criteria is expected to comply with the specific sections of Grid Code referred to in this contract in addition to its normal Grid Code obligations.
10. Solutions must not be fitted with RoCoF protection relays or any other equipment which may impede their ability to supply Active RoCoF Response Power.⁴

2.6 Control and Indication Facilities

V4 Clarification: *This section has been updated as part of the V4 publication.*

1. Where applicable, the transformer tap position shall be provided by the Provider at **The Company's** operational metering system control and data acquisition (SCADA) outstation interface, as specified in the Provider's Bilateral Connection Agreement.
2. Where applicable, the following facilities for voltage control and control of the stability service to **The Company's** instructions shall be provided by the Provider at a manned control point
This data shall be provided through a system elected by NGESO:
 - 2.1 Start-up of machine and transition to Stability Compensation mode.
 - 2.2 Shut-down of Stability Compensation mode.
 - 2.3 Availability of Inertia
 - 2.4 Target voltage setting (resolution 1kV) (for *Target Voltage* control mode).
 - 2.5 Control mode selection (Target Voltage).
 - 2.6 Slope setting (range 2% to 7%, resolution 0.5%.)
3. The following additional facilities for voltage/reactive power control shall be provided by the Provider. The Provider shall use all reasonable endeavours to adjust any of the following specified quantities on **The Company's** instruction within 24 hours' notice. Adjustments including 3.1 and 3.2 shall not be made unless instructed by **The Company**.
 - 3.1 When operating in Voltage Control Mode, the control system shall be capable of operating to a Setpoint Voltage between 95% and 105% with a resolution of 0.25%

⁴ Providers are expected to engage with the relevant DNO to understand the impact that any Grid Forming equipment may have upon their network. Providers should also clarify the impact of meeting these criteria upon the relevant DNO's networks and provide clarity to the ESO on any Loss of Mains protection (including intertrips) equipment they have fitted. It is the expectation that DNO connected solutions would be fitted with an intertrip to provide Loss of Mains protection. Loss of Mains protection settings will impact upon the eligibility of solutions to partake in this tender.

ESO

- 3.2 Where applicable, the time for switching between Target Voltage mode and Constant MVAR mode (The value shall be within the range 5 minutes to 30 minutes, with a resolution of 5 minutes).
4. To accurately monitor the performance of a **Grid Forming Plant**, each **Grid Forming Plant** shall be equipped with a dynamic monitoring facility in accordance with the requirements of ECC.6.6.1.2 or an alternative solution as agreed with **The Company**.
5. Detailed specifications for **Grid Forming Capability** dynamic performance including triggering criteria and sample rates, communication protocol and recorded data shall be specified by **The Company**.
6. The signals which shall be provided by the **User** to **The Company** for onsite monitoring shall be of the following resolution, unless otherwise agreed by **The Company**:
 - 6.1 1 kHz for **Grid Forming Plant** signals including fast fault current measurements.
 - 6.2 100Hz for the other **Grid Forming Plant** tests.

2.7 Model Provision

1. Solutions with dynamic reactive power capability must, prior to commissioning, submit a dynamic (Root mean Square - RMS) model and an electromagnetic transient (EMT) model in accordance with Grid Code PC.A.5.3 or PC.A.5.4 as appropriate. All solutions must also submit models in compliance with PC.A.5.8.
2. The ESO may accept an open RMS model (i.e., transfer functions visible with no encryption on any block diagrams, equations or macros and not contain DLL code or requiring set up script to function) produced in DiGSILENT PowerFactory in a software version that is agreeable between the ESO and the provider.
3. The provider must submit an EMT model in a software version that is agreeable between the ESO and the provider 3 months before the Scheduled Commercial Operations date. Further to this the model must be accepted by the ESO.

Any model submitted should be in line with PC.A.9.4, PC.A.9.6 and PC.A.9.9. The model should be expected to be shared with the relevant TO and if it applies the relevant DNO.

For more information around Model Provision please see [Guidance Notes for Electro-Magnetic Transient \(EMT\) Models](#)

4. The provider will submit a Performance Chart in accordance with Grid Code OC2.4.2.1.

2.8 Compliance Requirements

1. The **Company** shall provide a full set of test requirements no less than 1 year before the Scheduled Commercial Operations Date.
2. For **Grid Forming Plant Owners**, the Operational Notification Process contained in ECP.5 to ECP.7 shall apply in relation to the type of Plant to which the **Grid Forming Capability** is

ESO

provided (be it a **GBGF-S Plant** or **GBGF-I Plant**) in order for the user's Facility to become operational.

- For GBGF-I solutions all tests covered in ECP.A.9 shall be completed through physical testing.

Part 3 – Definitions

Term	Definition
Provider	Used to refer to whichever company is successful and contracted for provision of the stability service when referring to their obligations with the technical specification. Please refer to the contract for an exact definition
Solution	Any one asset or group of assets connected through one connection point/bay being proposed as a solution to the stability mid-term market. A change to the asset, or connection point would represent a completely new and different solution.
Facility	The term used to refer to the asset that is enabling the provision of the stability service through a contract, which a provider is responsible for. Please refer to the contract for exact definition.