

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## Meeting name: CM079 Workgroup 8 - Consideration of STC/STCP changes in relation to CMP330/374

---

Date: 08/09/2023

### Contact Details

Chair: **Elana Byrne ESO**, [elana.byrne@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:elana.byrne@nationalgrideso.com)

Proposer: **Richard Woodward NGET**, [richard.woodward@nationalgrid.com](mailto:richard.woodward@nationalgrid.com)

---

## Key areas of discussion

The aim of Workgroup 8 was to review and agree on the modification solution, terms of reference, legal text, Workgroup Report and for the Workgroup Members to cast their vote.

### Review of Actions log

The Workgroup agreed to close all outstanding actions.

### Review of Terms of Reference and Timeline

The Chair shared with the Workgroup the Terms of Reference for reviewing and it was agreed that all have been completed.

The Chair shared the current Timeline with the Workgroup, and the Proposer pointed out the 5 days between the Panel meeting and the CAC being issued, and asked if it was possible to shorten those in order to meet the October Panel for the CAC submission, instead of the November one. The Chair will speak with the Panel secretary on this.

### Final Review of Solution Map and Draft Legal text

The Workgroup reviewed the final Solution Map and the Chair asked about the possible requirement for a new/amended STCP to be raised separate to this modification. The Proposer and ESO representative agreed that the STCP only be introduced if there was a need for it and felt that, as per the Proposer comments in the last meeting, the solution provided by this modification to the STC does not warrant STCP changes at this time.

The Proposer advised that an area where an STCP might be useful in the future is around the intervention process and the coordination needed for that process, but that it can be considered if CM079 is approved. The Workgroup agreed.

The Proposer noted that in the Solution Map the Delivery of Assets and Works is marked as red in the Change RAG status and explained that this is due to the fact that this STC modification has done as much as it can to avoid any disparities between the proposed CUSC solution, but that there are some aspects that the STC can't govern.

The Ofgem representative advised that after conversation with colleagues the plan is to consider this modification together with the CUSC modifications as a package to make an overall decision (which aligned with the Workgroup's expectations).

The Workgroup reviewed the final Legal Text and agreed on the final changes.

### **Final Review of Workgroup Report**

The Chair shared the Workgroup Report with the Workgroup and explained the minor adjustments made to the document which the Workgroup had received to review ahead of the Workgroup.

The Proposer noted that, for transparency, clarifying statements relating to one particular Workgroup Consultation response should be referenced in the Workgroup Report as having been received post consultation (as it was requested by the Chair on behalf of the Workgroup to add context to the respondent's original submission). The Workgroup agreed and the Chair will make the required alterations and circulate the updated Workgroup Report to the members.

### **Workgroup Vote**

The Workgroup Members voted, with all 5 voters saying that the Original Solution was better than the Baseline.

It was noted that all Workgroup members, apart from the ESO representative, advised that they believe that CM079 solution rectifies the changes required in the STC if the CUSC modifications are approved. However these Workgroup members stated that there were still concerns and underlying issues around the proposed CUSC modifications, explaining the negative assessment to the STC objectives.

---

### **Next Steps**

- The Chair to share the updated Workgroup Report with the Workgroup.
- Workgroup Report to be submitted to Panel on the 14<sup>th</sup> of September.

---

### **Actions**

For the full action log, [click here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
10	WG5	ESO	The ESO to consider what supports is needed from TOs regarding CUSC 7.4 process	ESO legal to consider timelines	Ongoing	Closed
11	WG5	Proposer	Proposer to share updated legal text with Chair to share with ESO for their legal review	To be shared as a complete batch for version control/review	Ongoing	Closed
17	WG6	Code Admin	NGET/ESO reference to be checked and Code Admin to address in next housekeeping modification	NA	TBC	Closed
19	WG7	Proposer	Meeting to be arranged between TOs and Ofgem and to include a representative from the Price control	N/A	WG8	Closed
21	WG7	SB	To consult with legal team on 19.9 (related to CUSC 2.24.3 c) and d))	N/A	WG8	Closed
22	WG7	SB	To consult with legal team on the Intervention Criteria proposed changes	N/A	WG8	Closed
25	WG7	Chair	To check with IT with regards to the Chat issues	N/A	WG8	Closed

**Attendees**

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Elana Byrne	EB	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Proposer
Michelle MacDonald Sandison	MMS	SSE	Workgroup Member
Barney Cowin	AR	Statkraft	Workgroup Member
Joe Jordan	JJ	SPT	Workgroup Member
Shabana Akhtar	SA	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Stephen Baker	SB	ESO	Workgroup member
Rory Fulton	RF	Ofgem	Authority Representative