

# CMP413

Terms of Reference changes requested by the Workgroup

# CMP413 - Original Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference
a) Consider EBR implications
b) Consider the length of time the TNUoS Generation tariffs are fixed for
c) The proposal is for charges to be capped/floored at a pre-defined range for that generation zone for each charging year. Consider the requirement for a cap and collar and consider what the pre-defined range should be?
d) Consider whether criteria need to be set to allow for the cap and collar to be waived in certain circumstances (e.g. for material changes to the TNUoS methodology)
e) Consider interaction between the Generation TNUoS charges falling outside the “pre-defined” range and ensuring that EC838/2010 (“Limiting Regulation”) is not breached.
f) The proposal is that the net difference in the TNUoS Generation tariff (if it breaches the pre-defined range) across all generation zones would be recovered through demand TNUoS. Consider the impact on demand TNUoS tariffs.
g) Consider the impact on the Transmission Demand Residual and consumers.
h) Consider interactions with wider potential TNUoS developments e.g. TNUoS Taskforce and Review of Electricity Market Arrangements (REMA).
i) Consider the trade-off between cost-reflectivity and certainty/predictability.

## CMP413 - Terms of Reference – Proposed changes

ToR Original	Agreed changes by Workgroup
<p>c) The proposal is for <del>wider generation tariffs charges</del> to be <del>capped/floored at a</del> within the pre-defined cap/collar range for <del>that each</del> generation zone for each charging year. Consider the requirement for a cap and collar and consider what the pre-defined range should be?</p>	<p>c) The proposal is for wider generation tariffs to be within the pre-defined cap/collar range for each generation zone and charging year. Consider the requirement for a cap and collar and consider what the pre-defined range should be?</p>
<p>e) Consider <del>the</del> interaction between the <del>Generation TNUoS charges falling outside the “pre-defined” range and ensuring that EC cap/floor as set by 838/2010 (“Limiting Regulation”) and the cap/collar as proposed by the modification.</del></p>	<p>e) Consider the interaction between the cap/floor as set by 838/2010 (“Limiting Regulation”) and the cap/collar as proposed by the modification.</p>
<p>f) <del>The proposal is that the net difference in the TNUoS Generation tariff (if it breaches the pre-defined range) across all generation zones would be recovered through demand TNUoS.</del> Consider the impact on demand TNUoS tariffs as a result of the net difference in revenue from the adjustments made to TNUoS Generation tariffs (if it breaches the pre-defined cap/collar range).</p>	<p>f) Consider the impact on demand TNUoS tariffs as a result of the net difference in revenue from the adjustments made to TNUoS Generation tariffs (if it breaches the pre-defined cap/collar range).</p>