

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## Meeting name: Workgroup meeting 9

---

Date: 29/08/2023

---

### Contact Details:

Chair: Shazia Akhtar – Shazia.Akhtar2@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Gareth Stanley – Gareth.Stanley@nationalgrideso.com

---

## Key areas of discussion

Workgroup 9 for CM087 met on Tuesday 29 August with the main objectives of reviewing the latest legal text and the Workgroup Consultation Document.

### Review of draft legal text

The Proposer took the group through the feedback received on the latest draft legal text, addressing comments with the Workgroup members that left them (or their representatives).

Key points addressed were:

#### Section D

- The inclusion of diagrams/illustrations to demonstrate wording regarding Air Insulated Substation/Gas Insulated Substation boundary types, with agreement that different permeations of CATO (Competitive Appointed Transmission Operator) design possibilities being difficult to illustrate visually.

**ACTION 1 (GS, AJ):** ESO to review what diagrams are existing/can be drafted to share with the Workgroup for inclusion in the legal text.

- RE: 2.8.1, 2.8.2, 2.8.3 – while clauses 1-3 reflect generator connections in Scotland currently, three additional clauses were proposed by a Workgroup member to better reflect other Transmission Operator-Transmission Operator (TO-TO) connections.

**ACTION 2 (GS, AJ & RW/SO for NGET):** ESO & National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) to review the new clauses 2.8.4, 2.8.5, 2.8.6

- Feedback regarding clarity on specific terminology was discussed and the Proposer took actions to address these in updated legal text documents, e.g.:
  - 'PTO' in place of 'TO'
  - 'Isolator' vs 'disconnecter'
  - What 'outside' of switchgear refers to

- 'CATO Transmission Interface Site'
- Feedback was received across Section D regarding the need for consistency where possible relating to s9/s29 duties (confirmed as referenced in Section D) and the need for more generic wording to cover access stipulations for a variety of hazard types (which the ESO agreed to review).
- For a deletion suggested by a Workgroup member on clause 2.7 the ESO noted that while the accession process may be removed in the wording, the process would still exist to be actioned.
- ESO took the note to review the wording for clause 2.8 to reflect cost reflectivity for industry rather than just CATO/TO parties.

#### Section J (definitions)

- The Proposer took the Workgroup through the proposed new definitions in this section, and no objections were raised.

#### Schedule 4

- This document includes four diagrams representing boundaries of influence between the current PTOs, and a description of the principle for the CATO-TO interface regarding the notifications required to be given between the two parties. No changes were suggested.

#### STCP 18-5 (not part of the CM087 solution but changes are material to CM087):

- It was requested that clause 3.2.7.4 was backed up with a point of principle regarding PTOs and CATOs sharing local services such as Low Voltage Alternating Current (i.e. if there is capacity to share it should be offered, although it was acknowledged that Low Voltage Direct Current would be a more complicated scenario). The ESO agreed with Workgroup members that any sharing would need to be mutually agreed, with a degree of flexibility and took the action to review extra wording here.
- For Appendix 2, the Proposer was comfortable removing the timescale diagram if it wasn't deemed relevant.

#### STCP 19-7 (not part of the CM087 solution but changes are material to CM087)

- The Proposer noted that all references to the Grid Code would be checked to ensure they were correct (with regards to High Voltage Direct Current and High Voltage Alternating Current in particular) and defended the inclusion of Grid Code references where specifically appropriate, rather than duplicating text across both code documents unnecessarily (and there is existing precedent for Grid Code references in the STC).
- Relating to 3.2.12, the ESO agreed to update wording outlining 'approval' of safety rules to cover 'acceptance' of safety rules between parties instead (in accordance with STCP 09.01 and 19.4).

The Proposer shared a list of the legal text documents (STC and STCP) where changes are proposed, along with the purpose of the changes. The Workgroup had no objections or further feedback on this.

- **ACTION 3 (GS)** – Feedback from the Workgroup members and ESO SME to be actioned and updated legal text documents shared for the Workgroup to review.

### **Review WG consultation document**

The Chair outlined that the Workgroup Consultation had been shared prior to the meeting, with no changes/feedback received to date. The Chair then went to the two outstanding questions in the document for the Workgroup to comment on:

- 1) Regarding 'STC Proposed Alterations' the NGET representative took an action to confirm if additional wording was needed.

**ACTION 4 (SO, RW):** To be confirmed whether additional wording is needed for 'STC Proposed Alterations' in the consultation document.

- 2) An additional question was suggested by the ESO for inclusion in the Workgroup Consultation, which was added into the document with the agreement of the Workgroup.

The Workgroup raised no other comments or feedback on the Workgroup Consultation document.

### **Terms of Reference review**

The Chair reviewed the Terms of Reference with the Workgroup who were in agreement that terms were being met.

### **Timeline review**

The Chair reviewed the current timeline and the Workgroup were in agreement with the upcoming milestones.

### **AOB**

The Chair opened the conversation for any other business, but the Workgroup had no other points of discussion to raise.

---

## **Next Steps**

The Chair agreed with the Proposer and NGET representative (respectively) that legal text updates and the NGET update for the Workgroup Consultation document would be available for the Chair & Tech Sec on 30 August to share with the Workgroup.

The Chair requested any comments back from the Workgroup by 4pm Friday 1 September.

The Workgroup Consultation is due to go live Monday 4 September.

## Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
1	9	GS/AJ	ESO to review what diagrams are existing/can be drafted to share with the Workgroup for inclusion in the legal text	Section D	30 Aug	Open
2	9	GS/AJ & SO/RW for NGET	ESO & NGET to review the new clauses 2.8.4, 2.8.5, 2.8.6	Section D	30 Aug (ESO) 01 Sept (NGET)	Open
3	9	GS	Feedback from the Workgroup members and ESO SME to be actioned and updated legal text documents shared for the Workgroup to review.	Section D, J, Schedule 4 (and STCPs the STC changes relate to)	30 Aug	Open
4	9	SO, RW	To be confirmed whether additional wording is needed for 'STC Proposed Alterations' in the consultation document		30 Aug	Open

## Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Shazia Akhtar	SA	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Elana Byrne	EB	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Gareth Stanley	GS	ESO	Proposer
Anthony Johnson	AJ	ESO	SME
Alex Aristodemou	AA	ESO Legal	Observer
Greg Stevenson	GS	SSEN Transmission	Observer
Harriet Eckweiler	HE	SSEN Transmission	Observer
Joel Matthews	JM	Diamond Transmission Corp	Workgroup member
Michelle Sandison	MS	SSEN Transmission	Workgroup member
Mike Lee	ML	TNIV	Observer
Paul Matthew	PM	ESO	SME
Simon Orr	SO	NGET	Workgroup member alternate
Sarah Owen	SaO	Eclipse Power	Observer