

Enhanced Auction Capability (Reserve/Response)

Consultation changes summary

We have consulted on new and amended Article 18 EBR terms and conditions, comprising:-

- draft new Reserve/Response Procurement Rules (to be v1.0);
- draft amendments to the existing Response Procurement Rules currently in use (to be v2.0); and
- draft amendments to the existing Response Service Terms (to be v2.0),

and we have summarised below the changes we are now proposing to make to those drafts in response to comments received.

Draft New Reserve/Response Procurement Rules

Paragraph	Subject Matter	Change
8.8	Cross reference	We have rectified what was a broken cross reference link in the document, and have corrected the cross reference from 8.13 to 8.14
Schedule 1	Definitions	New definition of "Procurement Rules" included, to rectify an omission
		Correction of typo in definition of "Ramping Rate"
Schedule 2	Registration and Pre-qualification procedure	Correction of typos on first page in steps 4 and 6

Draft Amendments to existing Response Procurement Rules

No changes

Draft Amendments to the existing Response Service Terms

Paragraph	Subject Matter	Change
7.2	No payments are made with respect to periods of service unavailability	We have amended to reflect the fact that payments may be made to NGESO as well as by NGESO, by referring more generically to the calculation of settlement values
Schedule 1, Part 1	Defined terms	We have introduced a new definition of Minimum Adjustment Price which appears in the updated settlement value calculation formula in Schedule 3 (below)

Paragraph	Subject Matter	Change
Schedule 2	Capability Data Tables	<p>The section under the heading “General dynamic service delivery data curve” has been updated so that, for consistency elsewhere in the document, all references to volume now refer to quantity.</p> <p>We have also rectified what was a broken cross reference link</p>
Schedule 3	Availability Payments	<p>The formula has been updated to include an opening and a closing bracket</p> <p>Factor PFaj has been amended and refers to the new defined term Minimum Adjustment Price. Consequently, when the K Factor is less than 1 and the Market Clearing Price is negative, the settlement adjustment will be a fixed amount rather than proportional to the Market Clearing Price.</p> <p>In the section under the heading Determination of K Factor, references to volume have been changed to quantity (as per above)</p>