

# GC0154

## 20 June 2023

Meeting via Teams and in person

# WELCOME





# Modification Process

Teri Puddefoot– ESO Code Administrator

# Code Modification Process Overview



Talk to us

Raise a mod

Refine solution

Consult

Decision

Implement

Forums

Panels

Workgroups  
(Workgroup Consultations)

Ofgem/Panel



## Refine solution Workgroups



- If the proposed solution requires further input from industry in order to develop the solution, a Workgroup will be set up.
- The Workgroup will:
  - further refine the solution, in their discussions and by holding a **Workgroup Consultation**
  - Consider other solutions, and may raise **Alternative Modifications** to be considered alongside the Original Modification
  - Have a **Workgroup Vote** so views of the Workgroup members can be expressed in the Workgroup Report which is presented to Panel



# Consult Code Administrator Consultation

- The Code Administrator runs a consultation on the **final solution(s)**, to gather final views from industry before a decision is made on the modification.
- After this, the modification report is voted on by Panel who also give their views on the solution.





## Decision



- Dependent on the Governance Route that was decided by Panel when the modification was raised
- **Standard Governance:** Ofgem makes the decision on whether or not the modification is implemented
- **Self-Governance:** Panel makes the decision on whether or not the modification is implemented
  - an appeals window is opened for 15 days following the Final Self Governance Modification Report being published



## Implement

- The Code Administrator implements the final change which was decided by the Panel / Ofgem on the agreed date.







# **Workgroup Responsibilities**

**Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator**

## Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and Conduct to be consistent with the values of equality and diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

## Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives

Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives



## Workgroup Alternatives and Workgroup Vote

**[Chair]** – ESO Code Administrator

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

## Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

- Vote on whether Workgroup Alternative Requests should become Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modifications.
- The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.
- **Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution may better facilitate the CUSC objectives than the Original then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative Grid Code modification (WAGCM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.**

## Can I vote? and What is the Workgroup Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

### Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

- 2a) Assess the original and Workgroup Alternative (if there are any) against the relevant Applicable Objectives compared to the baseline (the current code)
- 2b) Vote on which of the options is best.



# Objectives and Timeline

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator

# Objectives

- Actions from Workgroup 12
- Review Alternative
- Review Consultation Document
  - Proposed 50MW
  - Specific consultation questions
- EBR
- CBA
- Review Terms or Reference

# Timeline for GC0154 as at 05 May 2023

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Proposal Presented to Panel	16 December 2021	Workgroup report issued to Panel	20 September 2023
Workgroup 1 – (discussion of the proposal) and solution (what has changed), agree timeline and review terms of reference	25 January 2022	Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	28 September 2023
Workgroups 2 -10 finalise solutions to be consulted on, consider alternatives		Code Administrator Consultation	02 October – 02 November 2023
Workgroup 11- (To discuss CBA findings)	09 May 2023	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel	15 November 2023
Workgroup 12 (Discuss solutions and implementation)	05 June 2022	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	23 November 2023
Workgroup 13 (finalise workgroup consultation questions, and solutions)	19 June 2022	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	30 November 2023
Showstopper (optional to review consultation document)	26 June 2023	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem	07 December 2023
Workgroup Consultation (15 Working Days)	03 July – 03 August 2023	Ofgem decision	TBC
Workgroup 14 (Assess and discuss high level consultation responses' key trends, review legal text and any potential alternatives)	21 August 2023	Implementation Date	10 working days after Ofgem decision
Workgroup 15 – (To agree that Terms of Reference have been met, Review Workgroup Report and hold Alternative and Workgroup Vote)	06 September 2023		
Showstopper (optional if vote not completed in previous workgroup)	14 September 2023		





# Review Alternative

???- ESO



# **Review Consultation Document**

**Louise Trodden – ESO**

# Questions

## Specific Workgroup consultation questions

1. Do you have any comments on how the proposal or alternative impacts EU TSOs?
2. Do you have any comments related to the approach taken to seek and obtain European engagement
3. Does the proposed/ alternative allow for GB to reach its net zero targets. Future proof? Think about how we word this given the information available (check decarbonisation and flexibility is captured)
4. Do you have any comments on how the proposal or alternative impacts the interconnector business model? (Please consider any commercial and operational impacts)
5. Does the proposal / alternative meet the requirements of SOGL? (check if this is incorporated in grid code objectives)
6. Do you have any questions or comments on how the proposal or alternative proposals impacts or is impacted by the 15 MTU change?
7. Do we need a question about the CBA?
8. Are there any considerations for implementation on the proposed/alternate proposals? (eg; IT impacts or considerations)



# European Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR)

Louise Trodden – ESO



**CBA**

Louise Trodden – ESO



# Terms of Reference

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator



## **Any Other Business**

**Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator**



## **Next Steps**

**Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code Administrator**