

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CM086 Workgroup Meeting 5

Date: 24/05/2023

Contact Details

Chair: Catia Gomes, ESO catia.gomes@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Stephen Baker, ESO Stephen.Baker@nationalgrideso.com and Gareth Stanley, ESO gareth.stanley@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The aim of Workgroup 5 was to review the updated draft legal text and draft Workgroup Consultation then consider any specific Workgroup Consultation questions.

Updated Legal Text

The Proposer shared the amendments to the Legal text with the Workgroup.

Update and Review of Theme 1 and 2 – General and Technical Operations

- **SECTION C**
 - 3.2 - A workgroup member took an action to investigate whether a change is required concerning the 'Normal Capability Limits'. The Proposer felt it would be dealt with in the licence and a change would not be necessary.
- **SECTION G**
 - The Proposer felt the same obligations for TOs should be applied to CATOs throughout this section.
 - 3.2 - A Workgroup member questioned the appropriateness of a CATO in terms of nuclear installation. The Proposer stated that there was no intention of prohibiting CATOs connecting to nuclear and felt requirements would clearly be set out in the tender. The Proposer was confident the process would be rigorous enough to prevent a risk to security but did not imagine this to be a consideration for early CATOs.
- **SECTION J**
 - A Workgroup member questioned the wording used for the 'Onshore Transmission System' and suggested the right term should be 'Onshore Transmission Owner'. The Proposer disagreed and felt this would have a knock-on effect and potentially exceed the remit of the CM086 modification. Another Workgroup member agreed, and the Proposer agreed to move this definition to CM087 along with 'CATO Interface Point', 'CATO Interface Point Capacity' and 'CATO Transmission Interface Site'. The Proposer clarified the objective of CM086 was to deal with the CATO concept rather than the technicalities.

- 'Modification' – The Workgroup and Proposer agreed to remove section (g) as it was considered to be a duplication of section (a)
- 'Normal operating range' – One Workgroup member questioned the purpose of the insertion to this definition. The Proposer agreed an action to consult with SMEs in a view to potentially remove.
- 'Voltage Wave Quality Assessment' – A Workgroup member suggested to remove 'CATO interface Point' as he felt this was Offshore specific and would not apply to a CATO. The Proposer agreed to check with SMEs as removal of this may have unintended consequences. The Proposer agreed to update the Workgroup with an explanation of a decision to either remove or retain.
- **SECTION L**
 - The Proposer informed the Workgroup that this section would be removed. The rationale given was that section K would be able to apply the same principles in connection making this section unnecessary.

Theme 3 Legal Text – Payments and Billing

- **SECTION E**
 - 2.4 – The Proposer explained that there were no anticipated changes, but a consequential mod will be required when CATO last resort policy is finalised.
 - 7 – Workgroup remain in agreement CATO's should be treated in the same way as other Transmission Licensees.
- **SCHEDULE 10**
 - PART FOUR – A Workgroup member suggested the insertion was a duplication of the line above and suggested simplification. The Proposer agreed to amend.
 - PART ONE, PART FOUR, PART NINE – The Proposer explained to the Workgroup that these would link to the licence conditions.
- **SECTION D**
 - The Proposer felt substantial changes for CM087 in this section but not CM086.

STCPs

The Chair suggested to look at changes to the STCPs after the Workgroup consultation and present to Panel. It was explained that these can normally be approved by Panel but if they have a material impact then Ofgem will need to approve the amendments.

Workgroup Consultation Review

The Chair shared the draft Workgroup consultation document with the Workgroup and confirmed it had been circulated in advance of the meeting. The Chair confirmed no alternatives had been raised and no Workgroup specific question had been put forward.

Terms of Reference Update

The Workgroup agreed to Terms of Reference changes which were to mirror amendments put forward in the GC159 modification.

Next Steps

- Workgroup consultation to go out to industry on 5 June for 1 month as requested by the Workgroup.
- ESO to distribute the Workgroup Consultation to other codes and the early competition distribution list to ensure wider engagement across industry.

Actions

For the full action log, [click here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
9	WG3	Proposer	Review with SMEs Section E part 7 revenue methodology regarding recovery	N/A	WG4	Closed
10	WG3	ALL	WG to review the data necessary for Section E part 7	N/A	WG4	Closed
15	WG4	Workgroup	To review the draft Workgroup Consultation document and consider specific questions	N/A	12/05/23	Closed
16	WG5	ML	To look at Normal Capability Limit wording in other parts of legal text	N/A	WG6	Open
17	WG5	Proposer	Consult SMEs regarding potential removal of 'normal operating range' definition suggestion in Section J of legal text	N/A	WG6	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Claire Goult	CLG	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Gareth Stanley	GS	ESO	Proposer
Alana Collis-Dugmore	ACD	ESO	ESO Rep
Coreen Campbell	CC	SSEN Transmission	Observer
Greg Stevenson	GRS	SHETL	Alternate
Harriet Eckweiler	HE	SSEN Transmission	Info only
Joel Matthews	JM	Diamond Transmission Corp	Workgroup Member

Lizzie Timmins	LT	Code Administrator, ESO	Observer
Mark Fitch	MF	TINV	Alternate
Michelle MacDonald Sandison	MMS	SHETL	Workgroup Member
Mike Lee	ML	TINV	Workgroup Member
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Workgroup Member
Tammy Meek	TM	Code Administrator, ESO	Observer
Thomas Johns	TJ	Ofgem	Authority Rep