

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: GC0139 - Enhanced Planning - Data Exchange to Facilitate Whole System Planning Workgroup 15

Date: 03/05/2023

Contact Details

Chair: Terri Puddefoot, National Grid ESO Terri.Puddefoot@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Ian Povey, Electricity North West Ian.Povey@enwl.co.uk

Key areas of discussion

Workgroup meeting objectives

Following a brief introduction to the Chair and Tech Sec the objectives for the session were outlined:

- Receive an update from the sub-group on their progress and invite questions/comments from the group
- Review the timeline
- Outline next steps

Review of updated proposal following sub-group meetings

The Proposer introduced the sub-group's update with a summary of the representatives involved with those meetings.

An overview of i) what has been drafted to date (including new sections PC.9 and PC.10) and ii) what remains to be drafted (Appendix PC.A and Appendix G) was shared with the group.

The Proposer referenced a goal live date for the proposed changes of April 2024 and invited the group to consider the viability of this.

A review of requirements for PC.9 (i.e. information sent from DNOs to the ESO) and PC.10 (information sent from the ESO to DNOs) was shared again as a reminder for the group before the proposed Wk 2 and Wk 28 submissions were shared. It was noted by members of the sub-group that attempts had been made to include the proposed data exchange with other routine submissions.

Following a question relating to the applicability of Appendix G to Scotland, members of the sub-group confirmed that the proposed changes have been written with the Transmission Impact Assessment in mind, and therefore if [CMP298/CM080](#) is approved by Ofgem (decision due 28th May) there would be a common process applicable across GB.

The Proposer shared six options for Schedule 11 Forecast Data, inviting comments and questions from the group. The group discussed what changes/anticipated changes were included in the forecast and the sub-group members explained that in PC.10 the ESO would provide a full NETS model as part of the Wk42 data.

The sub-group agreed that the Schedule 11 options hadn't been developed in great detail so far and agreed further work was needed in order for the Workgroup to make an informed final decision. The sub-group suggested that draft submissions and/or specimen schedules could be created for each of the forecast options to help the Workgroup make an informed decision about which to progress (or alternatively a specimen schedule created and differences for other forecasts highlighted) (**Action 1**).

The Proposer shared the outstanding questions remaining for B07 with group members suggesting an additional question lay with the TOs as to what the impact of delivering against the SQSS would be (**Action 2**).

A further question was raised as to which years of a multi-year forecast were most relevant for TOs, and it was suggested that TOs be asked to provide a response to this (**Action 2**).

As different teams have different requirements of the data, SM is to collate which years are most relevant to which ESO teams and share with the sub-group (**Action 3**).

The sub-group concluded that before the remaining work outlined by the Proposer (slide 17 in slide pack) could be addressed, work was needed to i) resolve the B07 questions and ii) produce the expanded forecast option explanations and specimen schedules for the group to select a final forecast option.

There was a preference from the group to rename Appendix G to avoid confusion with the work on Appendix G in CUSC.

Timeline review

The Chair asked for the group’s view on the number and frequency of sub-groups needed.

It was agreed that the next Workgroup be held at the end of June to agree the direction of travel, following the next sub-group sessions in Warwick on 7 June and 8 June (which will focus on forecast options). Following that, an expected 2-3 sessions in July would be needed for the sub-group, after which timings would be clearer to report back to Panel.

AOB

Doodle poll to be set up for the two July meetings (**Action 4**).

Next Steps

Panel to be updated in May on the short-term changes to the timeline, with a longer-term timeline to be shared in August.

Sub-group to take the actions and focus on developing the forecast data options at their June sessions.

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
1	WG15	IP	Sub-group to expand upon the 5 Sch.11 options. Suggested: explanations, a draft submission and specimen schedule(s)		7th June sub-group	Open
2	WG15	SM	TOs to be asked about the impact of B07 on delivering against the SQSS and most relevant years of a multi-year forecast for them	To be shared with the sub-group	7th June sub-group	Open
3	WG15	SM	Which years of a forecast are of most interest to different teams across ESO	To be shared with the sub-group	31st May	Open
4	WG15	TB	Doodle poll to be set up for the two July meetings		8th May	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Terri Puddefoot	TP	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Elana Byrne	EB	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Ian Povey	IP	Electricity North West	Proposer
Somjit Mohanty	SM	ESO	ESO Rep
Terry Baldwin	TB	ESO	Technical Rep
Alan Brown	AB	SSE	Observer
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Power Grid	Workgroup member
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZM	UK Power Networks	Workgroup member
Lee Saville	LS	National Grid Energy Distribution	Workgroup member
David Tuffery	ZM	National Grid Energy Distribution	Alternate
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Energy Networks	Workgroup member