

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Date: 08/12/2022

Contact Details

Chair: Roberts Ruth, NationalGrid ESO ruth.roberts@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Stephen Baker, NationalGrid ESO T and Alastair Grey, NationalGrid ESO
Alastair.Grey@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The Workgroup discussions are summarised according to agenda items:

Objectives and Action Review

The Chair introduced the agenda and objectives. The Workgroup reviewed the Actions Log and agreed to close.

Legal text review

The Workgroup reviewed the draft Legal text and comments made by the Workgroup members. The areas discussed included:

- The need to clarify the approach to geographical areas/position and how the CATOs will fit within this.
- A group member advised that if in the future the CATO's need to be removed from Grid Code it will be simpler if they have their own definition rather than if they are merged into definition. CATO's need to have their own definitions to make the distinction with ONTOs
- A group member highlighted the need to consider G0117 and the decisions that would come from that modification, when looking into the Control Point.
- The Proposer suggested to remove the concept of E&W competitively appointed Transmission system to accommodate the comments made by workgroup members to the draft Legal text regarding the geographical position of the CATO. Workgroup was happy for this to go ahead but advised the Proposer to be mindful of other definitions where there can be an impact and implications.
- The Proposer will rethink the concept of E&W Transmission System and consider the Workgroup comments regarding the User Compliance and geographical aspect of CATOs.
- Workgroup member suggested the Interface Point change in the legal text is not needed as ONTO (and a CATO will be an ONTO) always connects to a network operators' user (DNO).

- Proposer agreed to remove the concept of Remote Transmission Assets to accommodate the comments made by the Workgroup that advised that is not required.
- Further discussion needs on the Electrical Standards, consideration needs to be given to the STC modification that is going to interfere with this one. Proposed that this is a question for the Workgroup consultation.

Next Steps

Following a review of the timeline the workgroup agreed happy with current stages, the legal text will be re shared with the workgroup by 20th December for review and comment before 3rd January. A draft of the workgroup consultation will be shared on 4th January with the next workgroup scheduled for 11th January.

Actions

For the full action log, [click here](#).

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
1	WG1	Chair	To confirm with Ofgem Rep that modification should proceed	N/A	WG2	Closed
2	WG1	Chair	Share links for modifications mentioned in the TOR, for Workgroup consideration	N/A	10/11/2022	Closed
3	WG1	Chair	Amend TOR objective (e) and share with Panel	N/A	10/11/2022	Closed
4	WG1	Chair	Share Draft Legal Text with Workgroup	N/A	10/11/2022	Closed
5	WG1	SB/AG	Share with Workgroup the list of assumptions used to draft the Legal text	N/A	10/11/2022	Closed
6	WG1	AG	Share information on CATOs specifics with the Workgroup	N/A	WG2	Closed

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Ruth Roberts	RR	Code Administrator National Grid ESO	Chair
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Administrator National Grid ESO	Technical Secretary
Stephen Baker	SB	National Grid ESO	Proposer
Alastair Grey	AG	National Grid ESO	Proposer
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Workgroup Member

Greame Vicent	GV	SP Energy Networks	Workgroup Member
Claire Hynes	CH	RWE Renewables	Workgroup Member
Roddy Wilson	RW	SSE Transmission	Workgroup Member
Ross Strachan	RS	Scottish Power	Alternate
Gavin Baillie	GB	SSE Transmission	Alternate
Stuart Cocharne	SC	SSE Transmission	Alternate
Deborah Spencer	DS	National Grid ESO	Observer
Paul Matthew	PM	National Grid ESO	Observer
Isaac Guterrez	IG	ScottishPower Renewables	Workgroup Member
Thomas Johns	TJ	Ofgem	Authority