

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: CM086 Workgroup Meeting 4

Date: 25/04/2023

Contact Details

Chair: Catia Gomes, ESO catia.gomes@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Stephen Baker, ESO Stephen.Baker@nationalgrideso.com and Gareth Stanley, ESO gareth.stanley@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The aim of Workgroup 4 was to review both the updated legal text and the draft Workgroup consultation document.

Updated Legal Text Review

The Proposer shared the amendments to the Legal text with the Workgroup for discussion.

Theme 3 Legal Text – Payments and Billing

- **SECTION E**
 - 2.4 - Waiting on direction/clarification from Ofgem Re; CATO of last resort provisions. The Proposer anticipated no changes although a consequential mod will be required.
 - 7 – Workgroup remain in agreement CATO's should be treated in the same way as other Transmission Licensees.
- **SCHEDULE 10**
 - PART ONE – The Proposer confirmation Special Condition J2 will be removed pending Licence Conditions of CATO's. Chair confirmed that the information has been forwarded to Panel.
 - PART 7 – The Proposer stated this is not to be duplicated. A Workgroup member questioned why CATO's were excluded from 'Offshore Compensation Payments' and felt there should be an equivalent. The Proposer felt they do not need a specific CATO clause if they are to be treated as an Onshore TO.
 - PART 9 – The Proposer stated this is to be removed but requires revisiting post CATO Last Resort determination.
- **STCP 13-1**
 - 3.1.3 – Workgroup agreed to addition of CATO into text.
 - 3.1.4 – Proposer amended OFTO to CATO in response to the query of a Workgroup member. A Workgroup member commented on repetition in the

drafting of 3.1.4 and questioned if drafting ahead of what will be driven by tender processes is possible. Proposer agreed to consider further. Workgroup member advised that CMP344 that is awaiting Ofgem decision could over a direction with regards to drafting ahead and stated that in the case of approval the STC might need to align.

- 3.4.1 – Proposer felt this section has still not been addressed and will need to be revisited.
- 3.8 – The Proposer confirmed this will be actioned once Licence conditions are known.
- **STCP 14-1**
 - 2.1.6 – A few Workgroup members raised a point regarding the TSPt acronym and its applicability to other TOs using different acronyms. Workgroup member confirmed that for SHETL is TSHT and another Workgroup member advised that for NGET is TNGET. The Workgroup members agreed to raise a change separately to the Baseline on the matter.
- **STCP 24-1**
 - 3.4 / 3.5 – Proposer explained may require consequential change following Ofgem decision re TNUoS cashflow risk. Will require a separate modification as not part of CM086.

Review of Theme 4 Legal Text – Planning Coordination

- **SECTION D**
 - 2.2.6.4 – Workgroup agreed to removal of this section
 - 3.1.2 – A Workgroup member questioned if this standard applied to everyone. The Proposer agreed to consider wording and to clarify intent.

Update and Review of Theme 1 and 2 – General and Technical Operations

- **SECTION B**
 - 1.1.1 – Workgroup members agreed to keep suggestion by Proposer rather than adding ‘Admission of Parties to the Code’ to provide transparency.
- **STCP 01-1 Issue 010**
 - 3.1.6 and Appendix B – The Proposer questioned if ‘CATO Interface Point’ definition is required but received no comments from the Workgroup. The Chair suggested to wait for CM087 discussions.
- **SECTION J**
 - Workgroup members debated insertions of definitions into this section and were not in agreement regarding the ‘CATO Interface Point’ and ‘CATO Interface Point Capacity’. One Workgroup member felt these needed to be removed or changed. Workgroup members also discussed definition for ‘Normal Operating Range’. The Proposer agreed to keep definitions as written with the intention of reviewing after Workgroup Consultation responses.

Draft Workgroup Consultation Review

Workgroup members discussed the draft Workgroup consultation document. A Workgroup member requested to see the assessment against the objectives and felt objective (g) should be positive rather than neutral. Proposer agreed to check this with his SME.

Next Steps

- Chair to circulate the draft consultation, updated legal text and meeting summary to the Workgroup.

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
9	WG3	Proposer	Review with SMEs Section E part 7 revenue methodology regarding recovery	N/A	WG4	Open
10	WG3	ALL	WG to review the data necessary for Section E part 7	N/A	WG4	Open
15	WG4	Workgroup	To review the draft Workgroup Consultation document and consider specific questions	N/A	12/05/23	Open

For the full action log, [click here](#).

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Catia Gomes	CG	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Claire Goult	CLG	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Stephen Baker	SB	ESO	Proposer
Gareth Stanley	GS	ESO	Proposer
Gavin Baillie	GB	SSEN Transmission	Observer
Coreen Campbell	CC	SSEN Transmission	Observer
Greg Stevenson	GRS	SHETL	Alternate
Harriet Eckweiler	HE	SSEN Transmission	Info only
Joel Matthews	JM	Diamond Transmission Corp	Workgroup Member
Mark Fitch	MF	TINV	Alternate
Michelle MacDonald Sandison	MMS	SHETL	Workgroup Member
Mike Lee	ML	TINV	Workgroup Member
Richard Woodward	RW	NGET	Workgroup Member

