

**Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma****CMP376: Inclusion of Queue Management process within the CUSC**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm** on **23 December 2022**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact [paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com)

Respondent details	Please enter your details
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Damian Jackman
<b>Company name:</b>	Field
<b>Email address:</b>	Damian@field.energy
<b>Phone number:</b>	07840839319

**I wish my response to be:**

(Please mark the relevant box)

☒ Non-Confidential

☐ Confidential

*Note: A confidential response will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.*

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:**

- The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
- Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
- Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and*
- Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

\*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006..

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that the Original Proposal or any of the potential alternative solutions better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	<p>Mark the Objectives which you believe each solution better facilitates:</p> <p>Original      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>A    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>B    <input type="checkbox"/>C    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>D</p> <p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/>Yes <input type="checkbox"/>No</p> <p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
3	Do you have any other comments?	Click or tap here to enter text.
4	Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?	<p><input type="checkbox"/>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>No</p> <p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>

Specific Workgroup Consultation questions		
1	Do you agree with the Milestone durations proposed? Please provide the rationale for your response.	<p>Mostly, however the proposal for the new queue management process is that for connection offers with a connection date of between 2 and 3 years away, the planning consents must be secured <b>18 months before the connection date</b>.</p> <p>I.e in the 'worst case' of a 2 year connection date, this would appear to imply securing planning <i>within 6 months</i> (2 years minus 18 months) <i>of receiving the offer</i>.</p> <p>In our experience, we believe that large generation projects in Scotland will require up to 12 months for determination but a shorter 2 months for projects in England (assuming no hold ups).</p> <p>Therefore it would seem the 18 months is too short for projects in Scotland with connection dates of only 2 years from acceptance.</p> <p>The milestone should be increased by at least 6 months (preferably 9) to accommodate the longer planning timescales in Scotland.</p>
2	Do you agree that the time period for the	Click or tap here to enter text.

	<p>milestone durations should be from the contracted Completion Date back to the date the Offer is sent to the User; or from the Contracted Completion Date back to the date the Offer is accepted by the User; or from the Contracted Completion Date back to the date the Offer becomes effective; or do you have an alternative approach? Please provide the rationale for your response.</p>	
3	<p>There are differences between the arrangements at Transmission and Distribution. Do you agree with the reasons provided why there is different treatment and that these don't create undue discrimination? Please provide the rationale for your response.</p>	Click or tap here to enter text.
4	<p>Do you agree with the evidence requirements proposed? Please provide the rationale for your response.</p>	Click or tap here to enter text.
5	<p>Do you agree that works specifically for a User, whose Construction Agreement has been terminated under CMP376, should be suspended until the outcome of the Appeal/Dispute. Please provide the rationale for your response.</p>	Click or tap here to enter text.

6	Do you have any views on the most appropriate route for Appeals/Disputes raised by a User whose Construction Agreement has been terminated under CMP376? Please provide the rationale for your response.	Click or tap here to enter text.
7	Do you agree with the circumstances when Milestone Dates will be changed – the “exceptions”? Please provide the rationale for your response.	Click or tap here to enter text.
8	Do you agree that the associated Construction Agreement will be terminated if Milestone Dates (unless covered by the exceptions) are missed and not rectified within the 60-calendar day period? Please provide the rationale for your response.	Click or tap here to enter text.
9	Do you agree with the proposed impacts on Milestones for different types of Modification Applications? Please provide the rationale for your response.	Click or tap here to enter text.
10	Does the CMP376 Original proposal or any of the potential alternative solutions impact your business and/or end consumers. If so, how?	Click or tap here to enter text.