

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting name: GC0156 Implementation of the Electricity System Restoration Standard Meeting 11

Date: 21/02/2023

Contact Details

Chair: Banke John-Okwesa, National Grid ESO banke.john-okwesa@nationalgrideso.com
Proposer: Sade Adenola, National Grid ESO sade.adenola@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

The aim of Workgroup Meeting 11 was to review the finalise responses to the Workgroup Consultation, assess and vote on the alternative modification proposal, and on the Terms of Reference.

Review of Actions Log

The Workgroup agreed to close actions 28, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63 on the understanding that discussions and resolutions would be included in the Workgroup Report.

GC0148 Implementation of EU Emergency and Restoration Code Phase II Send Back

The Ofgem Rep confirmed that they would provide an update ahead of the March 2023 Grid Code Review Panel with the scope of the reconvened GC0148 Workgroup.

The Workgroup agreed that the resolution of GC0148 was critical to ensure GC0156 wasn't sent back for the same reason, but that work should progress as the issue highlighted within the send back was within Critical Tools and Facilities.

ESO response to Workgroup Consultation

TJ confirmed that the responses compiled into a 63 page document (available in the Workgroup Meeting 11 Papers) would be included in the Workgroup Report and sent directly to recipients who weren't part of the Workgroup.

Legal Text Update

TJ talked through the process made at the legal text reviews post the Workgroup Consultation feedback (slides 9 -20 in Workgroup Meeting Papers). The Workgroup discussed the need for check ins on the progress of parties ahead of the obligations being in place, AF confirmed that WAGCM1 includes a 2025 week 24 DRC.

TJ confirmed that the outstanding actions for OC9 had been completed including removing the diagram in Appendix 2.

The Workgroup agreed that the Governance Rules Annex GR.B were not required as it included the text directly from EU Law.

Assess and vote alternative modification proposal

AF talked through the alternative proposal requirements and explained that it would not retrospectively require existing Generators to modify their plant to achieve the existing cold start time following loss of site supplies (and therefore removes the need for derogation requests to go the Authority). AF also explained that the proposal:

- Does not require future plant to have 72 hour resilience, rather it requires Generators to submit additional information on their site capabilities to assist the ESO with restoration planning.
- Requires the ESO to procure all ESRS 'fast start' services commercially using Anchor & Top-up services contracts.
- Has been drafted on the assumption that obligated parties are either Anchor Generators or Top Up services.

Through discussions, most of the Workgroup felt that because the alternative proposal based on market arrangements (contracted), this addressed their concerns around the lack of visibility of a CBA to support why all CUSC parties should be obligated under the Original proposal.

The Original Proposer and ESO Rep were not convinced that the requirements of the modification would be fully met without the retrospectivity or including future plant.

The Workgroup voted for the alternative proposal to become a Workgroup Alternative Grid Code Modification (WAGCM1).

Terms of Reference Check In

The Workgroup talked through the Terms of Reference points and agreed that all elements had been addressed, most have been completed and others to be finalised are:

- a) Workgroup Members reiterated that without visibility of Ofgem's CBA or the assumptions it was built on it is difficult to resolve this element. A freedom of information request was placed during the meeting and the Chair took the separate questions on the assumptions for Ofgem to feedback on;
- b) and f) draft legal text still needed to be finalised; and
- e) vi due to the ongoing GC0148 Ofgem send back

Timeline Check in

The Workgroup agreed to cancel the planned Workgroup meeting on 27 February 2023 to allow time for the draft legal text to fully completed and circulated along with the draft Workgroup Report, which would then allow for up to 10 business days for review ahead of the Workgroup Vote.

It was agreed to retain the Workgroup Meetings planned for 6 March and 14 March 2023.

Next Steps

Proposer to provide a high level GC0156 post Workgroup Consultation update at the March GCDF

Actions

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
28	WG5	TJ	Feedback further meetings with Tendering Team		WG10	Closed
55	WG9	TJ/SA	Confirm whether each respondent will receive a response from the ESO		WG10	Closed
56	WG9	TJ	Confirm whether LJRP are considered to be a legal document as part of the Grid Code	Confirmed that they are.	WG10	Closed
58	WG10	BJO	Reach out to Ofgem around the high-level assumptions in their CBA		WG11	Closed
59	WG10	TJ	Validate whether moving the Technical Requirements out of the Grid Code and into the RES is inline with the GC0156 ToR	Confirmed that it is inline	WG11	Closed
60	WG10	SA	Re-share the Chapter 2 and 3 specification for DRZC		WG11	Closed
61	WG10	TJ	Update Legal text to be clear where termination is within LRJP/DZRP		WG11	Closed
62	WG10	TJ	Tender Team queries difference between top up and CUSC parties (inclusive of start up times)		WG11	Closed
63	WG10	BJO	Schedule additional meetings, inclusive of showstopper meeting		WG11	Closed
64	WG11	BJO	Share specific Workgroup questions around with CBA assumptions with Ofgem: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Total cost assumed for generators to comply with specified annual cost including 1st year and subsequent years - Proportion of existing CUSC party fleet that have the capability being captured by GC0156 		WG12	Open

			- Any consideration of Aggregators, size of plant under 50mw		
65	WG11	TJ	Consider whether there should be an obligation for the ESO to report the progress of industry ahead of 31 December 2026	WG12	Open
66	WG11	TJ	Change 'consequential' and 'consecutive' to agreed terms in OC5 and OC9	WG12	Open
67	WG11	TJ	Ensure OC5 7.2.4 intent is clear	WG12	Open
68	WG11	AF	Recirculate WAGCM1 with additional thoughts post Workgroup including: - whether there needs to be a further type of restoration contract - relationship with LJRP	WG12	Open
69	WG11	BJO	Update Terms of Reference with ENCR implications	WG12	Open
70	WG11	BJO	Share draft Workgroup Report	WG12	Open
71	WG11	TJ	Share draft legal text with Workgroup as it is finalised	By 3 March 2023	Open
72	WG11	BJO	Update meeting invites	WG12	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Banke John-Okwesa	BJO	Code Administrator, ESO	Chair
Milly Lewis	ML	Code Administrator, ESO	Tech Sec
Sade Adenola	SA	ESO	Proposer
Tony Johnson	TJ	ESO	ESO Rep
Abdi Osman	AO	National Grid Ventures	Workgroup member (Alternate)
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Powergrid	Workgroup member (Alternate)
Alastair Frew	AF	Drax Power Station	Workgroup member
Andrew Larkins	AL	Sygensys	Observer
Andrew Vaudin	AV	EDF	Workgroup member
Bill D'Albertanson	BA	UKPN	Workgroup Member
Cefin Parry	CP	Northern Powergrid	Workgroup member (Alternate)
Christopher Statham	CS	Ofgem	Authority Rep

Garth Graham	GG	SSE	Workgroup member
Gavin Anderson	GA	Electricity North West Ltd	Workgroup member (Alternate)
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Energy Networks	Workgroup member
Graz Macdonald	GrM	Waters Wye	Workgroup member
Gwyn Jones	GJ	Western Power Distribution	Workgroup member
Kwaku Nti	KN	ESO	Observer
Llew Hoenselaar	ESO	Observer	Observer
Mark Holland	MH	SSE	Observer
Michelle MacDonald	MD	SSE	Workgroup member
Mike Kay	MK		Observer
Paul Murray	SSE	SSE	Observer
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax Power Station	Workgroup member (Alternate)
Peter Couch	PC	Joint Radio Company Ltd.	Workgroup member
Priyanka Mohapatra	PrM	Scottish Power	Workgroup member
Robert Longden	RL	Eneco Energy Trade BV	Workgroup member
Sara Nanchian	SN	ESO	Observer
Svetlana Afanasyeva	SvA	ESO	Observer
Tolu Esan	TE	Electricity North West Ltd	Workgroup member (Alternate)
Shilen Shah	SS	Ofgem	Observer