CUSC Alternative and Workgroup Vote

**CMP330: Allowing new Transmission Connected Parties to build Connection Assets greater than 2km in length & CMP374: 'Extending contestability for Transmission Connections.**

**Please note:** To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

**Stage 1 - Alternative Vote**

If Workgroup Alternative Requests have been made, vote on whether they should become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACMs).

**Stage 2 - Workgroup Vote**

2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

**Terms used in this document**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Term** | **Meaning** |
| Baseline | The current CUSC (if voting for the Baseline, you believe no modification should be made) |
| Original | The solution which was firstly proposed by the Proposer of the modification |
| WACM | Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification (an Alternative Solution which has been developed by the Workgroup) |

**The Applicable CUSC Objectives (Charging) are:**

1. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
2. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);
3. That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees’ transmission businesses;
4. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and
5. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.

\*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (d) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.

Workgroup Vote

**Stage 1 – Alternative Vote**

Vote on Workgroup Alternative Requests to become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications.

*The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.*

*Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution may better facilitate the CUSC objectives* *than the Original proposal then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative CUSC modification (WACM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.*

“Y” = Yes

“N” = No

“-“ = Neutral *(Stage 2 only)*

“Abstain”

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Alternative 1 (National Grid ESO – 12 Month implementation)** |  |  |  |
| Andy Pace | ***N*** |  |  |  |
| Neil Dewar | ***Y*** |  |  |  |
| Andy Colley | ***N*** |  |  |  |
| Richard Woodward | ***Y*** |  |  |  |
| Neil Bennett | ***Y*** |  |  |  |
| **WACM?** | ***WACM1*** |  |  |  |

**Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives**

To assess the original and WACMs against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

ACO = Applicable CUSC Objective

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Andy Pace, Energy Potential | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Neil Dewar, National Grid ESO | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Andy Colley, SSE Generation Ltd | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Richard Woodward, National Grid Electricity Transmission | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Barney Cowin, Statkraft | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Better facilitates ACO (a)** | **Better facilitates ACO (b)** | **Better facilitates ACO (c)** | **Better facilitates ACO (d)** | **Better facilitates ACO (e)** | **Overall (Y/N)** |
|  | Neil Bennett, SSEN Transmission | | | | | |
| Original |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voting Statement: | | | | | | |

**Stage 2b – Workgroup Vote**

Which option is the best? (Baseline, Proposer solution (Original Proposal), WACM1 or WACM2)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Workgroup Member** | **Company** | **BEST Option?** | **Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable)** |
| Andy Pace |  |  |  |
| Neil Dewar |  |  |  |
| Andy Colley |  |  |  |
| Richard Woodward |  |  |  |
| Barney Cowin |  |  |  |
| Neil Bennett |  |  |  |

Of the X votes, how many voters said this option was better than the Baseline.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Option** | **Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline** |
| Original |  |
| WACM1 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |