

# Joint European Stakeholder Group



Tuesday 12 July 2022  
Meeting 68

# 1. Welcome & Introductions

Garth Graham  
Independent Chair

# Agenda

	Title	Lead	Time
1.	Welcome & Introductions	Chair	10:00 - 10:05
2.	NGESO TCA Update	Osaaf Syed & Chris Parsons, NGESO	10:05 - 10:15
3.	GC0154 IC Ramp Rates	Louise Trodden, NGESO	10:15 - 10:20
4.	P412 update	Steve Wright, NGESO	10:20 - 10:25
5.	Review of Actions log	Andrew Hemus, Tech Secretary	10:25 - 10:30
6.	Future Meeting Dates & Agenda Items	Andrew Hemus, Tech Secretary	
7.	Stakeholder Representation	Chair	10:30 - 10:35
8.	Any Other Business	All	

## **2. NGENSO TCA Update**

Osaaf Syed & Chris Parsons, NGENSO

# Day Ahead Capacity Calculation Overview

## Activities

Activities	Detail
Review of the DACC Options	The UK TSOs are continuing to review the DACC Options and assessing the pros and cons of each option. Further workgroups to assess the whole process from long-term to intraday.
Further work to be done to understand interactions	Following on from the Cross Border SteerCo that took place on 5 <sup>th</sup> July 2022 – action has been taken to understand the interaction of DA Cap Cal to Long Term and Intraday Cap Cal. Also to develop an understanding on the interaction of MRLVC and DACC.
Further understanding on the Technical Procedure	NGESO aim to reach out to UK TSOs, BEIS and Ofgem to discuss the Technical Procedure options.

# 3. GC0154 IC Ramp Rates

Louise Trodden, NGENSO

# July JESG- GC0154 Update

Presented ESO recommended solution to industry stakeholders at June work group



ESO suggests a dynamic ramping solution, rather than setting a static rate



Currently exploring the resource to conduct a CBA



Engagement plan being set with the EU TSO's



Next Workgroup is the 21st July 2022

## High level dynamic solution

- Process to manage ramping when met by system needs
- Base ramp rate to be applied to IC at all times with increased ramp rates to be made available if system conditions allow
- We would allow for IC to ramp slower than the base rate at all times
- IC should follow base ramp rate when moving opposite to demand direction

Data	Why?
Base Rate Value <b>50 MW/min</b>	As per BMU restrictions within the Grid Code
Additional available ramping cap of <b>100MW/min</b>	As per current arrangements
Additional GB ramping made available when rate of demand change is >50MW/min: <b>250 MW/min</b>	Our current continental European interconnectors ramp at 100MW/min, a total of 500MW/min if they all ramp at the same time. This increase of 250MW/min, when in the same direction as demand, equates to 100MW/min on each existing interconnector.
Notice for IC for extra ramping available:	Prior to the interconnector day ahead auctions

## Advantages

- Resilient for the future
- Allows the control room to work with a pre agreed process set out in the code
- Have more flexibility to manage cost in the control room which in turn is a benefit to the end consumer
- The process can be a relatively simple file transfer

# 4. P412 update

Steve Wright, NGENSO



## P412 recap

- Regulation states imbalances must be settled at a price which reflects the real time value of energy.
- Non-BM service providers are not currently compliant with the regulation .
- Inconsistencies between article 6(5) of the Recast Electricity Regulation (SI 2019/943) and article 44(1b) of SI 2017/2195. Both articles state *“imbalances shall be settled at a price that reflects the real-time value of energy”*. SI 2019/943 states a derogation can be sought, whereas 2017/2195 does not.
- BEIS informed us they wouldn't be providing legal advice on the interpretation of these provisions.
- A workgroup was set up to devise a compliant solution, however no solution was found due to the inherent nature of the GB market.
- Request for 3-month extension to assessment phase was confirmed with Elexon.



# P412 next steps

Next steps	Status	Timeframes
Elexon have confirmed the extension request will be included as part of the Change Report submitted and presented to the BSC panel later this month	In progress	July-22
Establish internal legal position around SI inconsistencies regarding derogation	In progress	Ongoing
Present recommendation report back to workgroup including industry responses (if not confidential)	In Progress	July-22
Option A (do nothing) with derogation for approximately 2 years to allow OBP to deliver would seem to be the likely option	In progress	Ongoing

# 5. Review of Actions log

Andrew Hemus  
JESG Technical Secretary

# JESG Standing items

---

ID	Topic	Lead Party
S1	Continue to review the membership of the JESG and engage additional industry parties where appropriate.	JESG Chair
S2	Prepare a commentary / comparison document between the Network Code and the existing GB arrangements at appropriate stages in the Code development for each Network Code.	NGET / Ofgem / BEIS
S3	Share any intelligence about how other member states are approaching demonstrating compliance through information gained from other government departments, regulators or parent companies.	BEIS / Ofgem / Industry parties with European parent companies

# JESG Open Actions

ID	Topic	Lead Party	Status	Update
6-1	<b>CEP Article 6(4) update - Pricing Methodology walk through</b> - Publish a statement of approach on the treatment of the maintenance of a “Legacy” product versus the treatment of a “New” product.	Joseph Henry (NGESO)	Open	
6-2	<b>CEP Article 6(4) update - Pricing Methodology walk through</b> – To share details of BEIS current position around “Pay as Cleared” at July JESG	Camille Gilsenan (NGESO)	Open	

# 6. Future Meeting Dates & Agenda Items

Andrew Hemus  
JESG Technical Secretary

# Future JESG Meetings

---

- As always registration is required and will be opened through the JESG Weekly updates.
- Stakeholders are invited to put forward agenda items for the forthcoming JESG meetings:

Date	Proposed Agenda Items
Tuesday 9 August	
Tuesday 13 September	
Tuesday 11 October	

# 7. Stakeholder Representation

All



# 8. AOB