

Workgroup Terms of Reference and Membership

CMP298: Updating the Statement of Works process to facilitate aggregated assessment of relevant and collectively relevant embedded generation

Responsibilities

1. The Workgroup is responsible for assisting the CUSC Modification Panel in the evaluation of CUSC Modification Proposal **CMP298** raised by **National Grid ESO** at the Modifications Panel meeting on **27 April 2018**. The proposal must be evaluated to consider whether it better facilitates achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives.

Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives

- a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;
- b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and
- d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

2. It should be noted that additional provisions apply where it is proposed to modify the CUSC Modification provisions, and generally reference should be made to the Transmission Licence for the full definition of the term.

Scope of work

3. The Workgroup must consider the issues raised by the Modification Proposal and consider if the proposal identified better facilitates achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives.
4. In addition, the Workgroup shall consider and report on the following specific issues:

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report
a) Consider EBR implications	"Interactions"
b) Consider generation connection Types A, B, C, D and storage	"Other Workgroup discussion points not in scope of CMP298" -

	Consideration of generation connection Types A, B, C, D"
c) Review the existing trial Appendix G documents	"Workgroup Considerations"
d) Ensuring transparency around future changes to Appendix G	"Workgroup Considerations"
e) Consider consumer and customer impacts	"What is the impact of this change?"
f) Cross code impacts including the consideration of suitable pass through fees	"Interactions" – "Implications on STC"
g) Consider work carried out in 2017 by the ENA Open Networks SOW focus group along with current SO/TO interfaces	"Workgroup Considerations"
h) Consider responses to User Commitment (CMP192) Open Letter by National Grid	"Other Workgroup discussion points not in scope of CMP298" – "Changes to User Commitment"
i) Consider existing SOW process within the CUSC	"Workgroup Considerations"
j) Clarification on the process and timescales	"Workgroup Considerations"

5. The Workgroup is responsible for the formulation and evaluation of any Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications (WACMs) arising from Group discussions which would, as compared with the Modification Proposal or the current version of the CUSC, better facilitate achieving the Applicable CUSC Objectives in relation to the issue or defect identified.
6. The Workgroup should become conversant with the definition of Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification which appears in Section 11 (Interpretation and Definitions) of the CUSC. The definition entitles the Group and/or an individual member of the Workgroup to put forward a WACM if the member(s) genuinely believes the WACM would better facilitate the achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives, as compared with the Modification Proposal or the current version of the CUSC. The extent of the support for the Modification Proposal or any WACM arising from the Workgroup's discussions should be clearly described in the final Workgroup Report to the CUSC Modifications Panel.
7. Workgroup members should be mindful of efficiency and propose the fewest number of WACMs possible.
8. All proposed WACMs should include the Proposer(s)'s details within the final Workgroup report, for the avoidance of doubt this includes WACMs which are proposed by the entire Workgroup or subset of members.

9. There is an obligation on the Workgroup to undertake a period of Consultation in accordance with CUSC 8.20. The Workgroup Consultation period shall be for a period of 15 working days as determined by the Modifications Panel.
10. Following the Consultation period, the Workgroup is required to consider all responses including any WG Consultation Alternative Requests. In undertaking an assessment of any WG Consultation Alternative Request, the Workgroup should consider whether it better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the current version of the CUSC.

As appropriate, the Workgroup will be required to undertake any further analysis and update the original Modification Proposal and/or WACMs. All responses including any WG Consultation Alternative Requests shall be included within the final report including a summary of the Workgroup's deliberations and conclusions. The report should make it clear where and why the Workgroup chair has exercised his right under the CUSC to progress a WG Consultation Alternative Request or a WACM against the majority views of Workgroup members. It should also be explicitly stated where, under these circumstances, the Workgroup chair is employed by the same organisation who submitted the WG Consultation Alternative Request.

11. The Workgroup is to submit its final report to the Modifications Panel Secretary on **18 January 2022** for circulation to Panel Members. The final report conclusions will be presented to the CUSC Modifications Panel meeting on **26 January 2022**.

Membership

12. It is recommended that the Workgroup has the following members:

Role	Name	Representing
Chair and Technical Secretary	Paul Mullen	Code Administrator
Proposer	Grahame Neale	National Grid ESO
Workgroup Member	Brian Hoy	Electricity North West
Workgroup Member	Garth Graham	SSE Generation Ltd.
Workgroup Member	David van Kesteren / Qaisar Malik	Northern PowerGrid (Yorkshire PLC)
Workgroup Member	Andrew Akani	Western Power Distribution
Workgroup Member	Robert Longden	Cornwall Energy

Workgroup Member	Kyran Hanks	Waters Wye
Workgroup Member	Zivanayi Musanhi	UK Power Networks
Workgroup Member	Paul Munday	SSE Power Distribution Limited
Workgroup Member (Alternate)	Joanna Knight/Gwen Macintyre	SSE Power Distribution Limited
Workgroup Member	Grace March	Sembcorp
Workgroup Member	Helen Stack	Centrica
Workgroup Member	Matthew Paige-Stimson/Daniel Clarke	NGET
Observer	Matthew White	UKPN
Authority Representative	Dafydd Burton	Ofgem

NB: A Workgroup must comprise at least 5 members (who may be Panel Members). The roles identified with an asterisk (*) in the table above contribute toward the required quorum, determined in accordance with paragraph 14 below.

13. The chair of the Workgroup and the Modifications Panel chair must agree a number that will be quorum for each Workgroup meeting. The agreed figure for this modification is that at least 5 Workgroup members must participate in a meeting for quorum to be met.
14. A vote is to take place by all eligible Workgroup members on the Modification Proposal and each WACM. The vote shall be decided by simple majority of those present at the meeting at which the vote takes place (whether in person or by teleconference). The Workgroup chair shall not have a vote, casting or otherwise. There may be up to three rounds of voting, as follows:
 15. Vote 1: whether each proposal better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives;
 16. Vote 2: which option is considered to BEST facilitate achievement of the Applicable CUSC Objectives. For the avoidance of doubt, this vote should include the existing CUSC baseline as an option.
 - a) The results from the vote and the reasons for such voting shall be recorded in the Workgroup report in as much detail as practicable.
17. It is expected that Workgroup members would only abstain from voting under limited circumstances, for example where a member feels that a proposal has been

insufficiently developed. Where a member has such concerns, they should raise these with the Workgroup chair at the earliest possible opportunity and certainly before the Workgroup vote takes place. Where abstention occurs, the reason should be recorded in the Workgroup report.

18. Workgroup members or their appointed alternate are required to attend a minimum of 50% of the Workgroup meetings to be eligible to participate in the Workgroup vote.
19. The Technical Secretary shall keep an Attendance Record for the Workgroup meetings and circulate the Attendance Record with the Action Notes after each meeting. This will be attached to the final Workgroup report.
20. The Workgroup membership can be amended from time to time by the CUSC Modifications Panel.