Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP300 ‘Cost reflective Response Energy Payment for Generators with low or negative marginal costs’**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm** on **16 February 2022**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Panel.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Paul Mullen paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com or cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (non-charging) Objectives are:**

1. *The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;*
2. *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;*
3. *Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and*
4. *Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.*

*\*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).*

**For reference, (for consultation questions 4 & 5) the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 3 Objectives and regulatory aspects are:**

1. *fostering effective competition, non-discrimination and transparency in balancing markets;*
2. *enhancing efficiency of balancing as well as efficiency of national balancing markets;*
3. *integrating balancing markets and promoting the possibilities for exchanges of balancing services while contributing to operational security;*
4. *contributing to the efficient long-term operation and development of the electricity transmission system and electricity sector while facilitating the efficient and consistent functioning of day-ahead, intraday and balancing markets;*
5. *ensuring that the procurement of balancing services is fair, objective, transparent and market-based, avoids undue barriers to entry for new entrants, fosters the liquidity of balancing markets while preventing undue market distortions;*
6. *facilitating the participation of demand response including aggregation facilities and energy storage while ensuring they compete with other balancing services at a level playing field and, where necessary, act independently when serving a single demand facility;*
7. *facilitating the participation of renewable energy sources and supporting the achievement of any target specified in an enactment for the share of energy from renewable sources.*

|  |
| --- |
| **What is the EBR?** |
| The Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) is a European Network Code introduced by the Third Energy Package European legislation in late 2017.The EBR regulation lays down the rules for the integration of balancing markets in Europe, with the objectives of enhancing Europe’s security of supply. The EBR aims to do this through harmonisation of electricity balancing rules and facilitating the exchange of balancing resources between European Transmission System Operators (TSOs). Article 18 of the EBR states that TSOs such as the ESO should have terms and conditions developed for balancing services, which are submitted and approved by Ofgem. |

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |
| --- |
| **Standard Workgroup Consultation questions** |
| 1 | Do you believe that the CMP300 Original solution and/or WACM1 better facilitates the Applicable Objectives? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you support the implementation approach? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you have any further comments? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you agree with the Workgroup’s assessment that CMP300 does impact the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the CUSC?  |  |
| 5 | Do you have any comments on the impact of CMP300 on the EBR Objectives? |  |