

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Meeting Name: CMP376 Workgroup 1

Date: 28 October 2021

Contact Details

Chair: Paul Mullen, National Grid ESO Paul.Mullen@nationalgrideso.com

Proposer: Keren Kelly, National Grid ESO Keren.Kelly@nationalgrideso.com

Key areas of discussion

- CMP376 seeks to implement the queue management process into CUSC including introducing a right for the Electricity System Operator (ESO) to terminate contracted projects which are not progressing against agreed milestones
- The objective of the 1st Workgroup was for Workgroup Members to understand the process, their roles and responsibilities and the proposed modification itself; however, the Workgroup questioned whether or not changes that deviated from the agreed ENA Queue Management Policy (which had been widely consulted on but appeared to have no legal standing) could be proposed as Workgroup Alternatives. Next steps to resolve this question were agreed as well as clarifying why no code changes were proposed at a Distribution Level. As a result, the Proposer's explanation of their change, proposed solution and their initial view against each of the Terms of Reference will be carried forward to the next Workgroup.
- The Chair did set out the Modification process, roles and responsibilities of the Workgroup and the Terms of Reference and agreed to revise the proposed timeline as the Workgroup agreed to pause Workgroups until clarity on scope was established.

Next steps

What	Who	When
Provide an update to CUSC Panel (29 October 2021) stating that the Workgroup have queried the proposed scope of CMP376 and we will be bringing an item to November 2021 Panel (26 November 2021) to get Panel views on the way forward (specifically whether or not the issue/defect allows changes to be proposed to the ENA Queue Management Policy document) and to agree a delay to the timeline	Paul Mullen	29 October 2021 – Not required as we cannot narrow down the scope of CMP376 to implementing what is contained in the ENA Policy Document. Parties can propose Workgroup Alternatives that could differ from the contents of the ENA Policy Document; however Proposers of Workgroup Alternatives would need to provide sufficient evidence, justification and rationale as to why (in their opinion) they are better than the CMP376 Original Proposal. Therefore, we do not need to ask CUSC Panel for their view on this topic
Cancel 17 November Workgroup	Paul Mullen	29 October 2021 – Completed
Provide an updated timeline based on next Workgroup being in December and noting we need 2 Workgroups prior to Workgroup Consultation being issued	Paul Mullen	5 November 2021 – Completed
Provide a summary report of Workgroup 1	Paul Mullen (with support from Shazia Akhtar)	5 November 2021 – Completed
Agree what questions we need to ask Panel in November – <i>initial thoughts are whether or not the issue/defect allows changes to be proposed to the ENA Queue Management Policy document</i>	Paul Mullen to draft All to agree	Draft by 5 November 2021, Agree by 12 November 2021 – Completed but no longer required
Bring CMP376 Ofgem representative up to speed	Paul Mullen (with support from Proposer – Keren Kelly)	12 November 2021 - Completed
Engage with Open Networks (including the Ofgem Steering Group representative - Louise van Rensburg) - What is Ofgem’s role in steering the outputs of Open Networks? - Are they aware of the Queue Management User Guide and do they have a view on it (i.e. do they support it)? - Do Ofgem or the ENA have a position on the legal standing of the User Guide (i.e. is it advisory or is it legally enforceable)?	Paul Mullen (with input from Richard Woodward and Keren Kelly)	To be completed ahead of November Panel (26 November 2021 – ideally by Papers Day 18 November 2021) – Completed (The ENA Policy Document does not have any legal standing; however, noting the engagement and consultation that has been done to get this to where it is now, it should provide a clear position and form the basis of the ESO’s Original Proposal)

<p>Seek further internal legal view on whether or not the issue/defect allows changes to be proposed to the ENA Queue Management Policy document</p>	<p>Paul Mullen</p>	<p>To be completed ahead of November Panel (26 November 2021 – ideally by Papers Day 18 November 2021) – Completed</p>
<p>Consider Original Proposal in light of feedback from Generator representatives on the Workgroup</p>	<p>Keren Kelly (with support from Rashmi Radhakrishnan and Adam Towl)</p>	<p>To be completed ahead of November Panel (26 November 2021 – ideally by Papers Day 18 November 2021) – Completed</p>
<p>In the CAP150 (which sought to facilitate the creation of gaps in the GB queue by enabling NGET* to reduce the contracted capacity figure of projects which are not progressing, or are deemed unlikely to progress, according to agreed milestones set out in the associated construction agreement) decision letter from 2008, Ofgem said:</p> <p><i>“Ofgem notes the concerns raised by respondents as to the practicalities of the process. In the light of these concerns we welcome NGET’s* commitment to undertake a post- implementation review. We note that these concerns included the need for clarity as to the materiality thresholds for triggering the capacity reduction process.”</i></p> <p>*ESO function was part of NGET in 2008</p> <p>Confirm whether or not such a review took place and outcome</p>	<p>Keren Kelly (with support from Rashmi Radhakrishnan and Adam Towl)</p>	<p>To be completed ahead of next Workgroup – Ongoing</p>

For further information, please contact Paul Mullen.