

CMP376

Monday 13 December 2021

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME

A wide-angle landscape photograph of a mountain valley. In the foreground, a grassy hillside slopes down. Several bright, glowing yellow-orange lines, resembling energy or data streams, curve across the middle ground from the left towards the right. In the background, large, rugged mountains with patches of snow are visible under a dramatic sky with scattered clouds. The sun is low on the horizon, creating a warm, golden light across the scene.

nationalgridESO

The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are several thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right, creating a sense of movement and direction.

Modification Process

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

Code Modification Process Overview



Talk to us

Forums



Raise a
mod

Panels



Refine
solution

Workgroups
(Workgroup Consultations)



Consult



Decision

Ofgem/Panel



Implement



Refine solution Workgroups



- If the proposed solution requires further input from industry in order to develop the solution, a Workgroup will be set up.
- The Workgroup will:
 - further refine the solution, in their discussions and by holding a **Workgroup Consultation**
 - Consider other solutions, and may raise **Alternative Modifications** to be considered alongside the Original Modification
 - Have a **Workgroup Vote** so views of the Workgroup members can be expressed in the Workgroup Report which is presented to Panel



Consult

Code Administrator Consultation

- The Code Administrator runs a consultation on the **final solution(s)**, to gather final views from industry before a decision is made on the modification.
- After this, the modification report is voted on by Panel who also give their views on the solution.





Decision



- Dependent on the Governance Route that was decided by Panel when the modification was raised
- **Standard Governance:** Ofgem makes the decision on whether or not the modification is implemented
- **Self-Governance:** Panel makes the decision on whether or not the modification is implemented
 - an appeals window is opened for 15 days following the Final Self Governance Modification Report being published



Implement

- The Code Administrator implements the final change which was decided by the Panel / Ofgem on the agreed date.



The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are several thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right, creating a sense of movement and direction.

Objectives and Timeline

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

Timeline for CMP376 V2 as at 18 November 2021

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Workgroup Nominations (15 working days)	Closed	Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	29 April 2022
Workgroup 1 - Understand proposal and solution, note the scope and identify any possible alternative solutions, agree timeline, agree and review terms of reference, agree next steps	28 October 2021	Code Administrator Consultation (15 Working Days)	6 May 2022 to 5pm on 27 May 2022
Workgroup 2 - Following Panel, Ofgem and Open Networks views, understand proposal and solution, note the scope and identify any possible alternative solutions, agree timeline, agree and review terms of reference, agree next steps	13 December 2021	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel (5 working days)	16 June 2022
Workgroup 3 - Review solution(s) and Legal Text, finalise Workgroup consultation (including agreeing Workgroup Consultation questions)	11 January 2022	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	24 June 2022
Workgroup Consultation (15 Working Days)	25 January 2022 to 5pm on 15 February 2022	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	28 June 2022
Workgroup 4 and 5 - Assess Workgroup Consultation Responses, further review of Original and alternatives (including legal text) and carry out Alternative Vote	28 February 2022 and 23 March 2022	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem	6 July 2022
Workgroup 6 - Finalise solution(s) and legal text, agree that Terms of Reference have been met, Review Workgroup Report and hold Workgroup Vote	7 April 2022	Ofgem decision	TBC
Workgroup report issued to Panel (5 working days)	21 April 2022	Implementation Date	10 working days after Authority Decision

The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are three thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right. A single yellow line also runs horizontally across the bottom of the slide, just above the logo.

Workgroup Responsibilities

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and Conduct to be consistent with the values of equality and diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives

Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives

The background of the slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep upwards and to the right. In the bottom right, there are several thicker, parallel diagonal lines that sweep upwards and to the right, creating a sense of movement and energy.

Workgroup Alternatives and Workgroup Vote

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP376 – Can I vote? and What is the Alternative Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

- Vote on whether Workgroup Alternative Requests should become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications.
- The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.
- **Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chair believe that the potential alternative solution may better facilitate the CUSC objectives than the Original then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative CUSC modification (WACM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.**

CMP376 – Can I vote? and What is the Workgroup Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

- 2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC)
- 2b) Vote on which of the options is best.

Terms of Reference

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP376 – Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report
a) Consider EBR implications	
b) Consider how the ESO communicates it's acceptance (or not) of the evidence of milestone completion provided by the User	
c) Consider what would happen if the ESO and Transmission Owner do not agree in terms of the evidence provided.	
d) Consider interaction with other provisions in the CUSC, Construction Agreements and Connection Agreements that deal with project delays and termination of agreements (e.g. Quarterly Updates)	
e) Consider whether a delay beyond tolerance means that that the Construction Agreement is terminated or is there still provision to delay connection date. Consider previous work on CAP150 in this regard	
f) Consider requirement to ensure Construction Agreement Milestones (Appendix J) responsibilities are clearly defined specifically with respect to consents and land rights	
g) Consider what, if any, steps can be taken to prioritise allocation of freed capacity to projects needed to comply with the Electricity System Restoration Standard	
h) Consider requirement for contractual link between Transmission and Distribution agreements for same connections where a decision to terminate triggered from one agreement affects the other (including consideration of associated termination/cancellation costs)	
i) Consider the process for how User Commitment will change for those Users, who are allowed to advance their connection date	
j) Consider what should be codified in the CUSC and what should be incorporated into the ENA guidance document	

Proposer's Solution:

Background;

Proposed Solution;

Scope; and

Assessment vs Terms of Reference

Keren Kelly – National Grid ESO