FRCR Consultation Response Proforma

**FRCR Consultation**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm** on **Friday 12 March 2021**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Robert Wilson Robert.Wilson2@nationalgrideso.com or box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

|  |
| --- |
| **FRCR Methodology Consultation questions** |
| 1 | Overall, do you agree that the FRCR represents appropriate development in determining the way that the ESO will balance cost and risk in maintaining security of supply while operating the system? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you agree that the FRCR has been prepared appropriately taking account of the requirements set out in the methodology? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | To help structure comments, do you agree with and what is your feedback on the specific proposals in the FRCR? | Please use the boxes below for the bullet points in questions numbered 3a-3c |
| 3a | **Proposal 1: minimum national inertia requirement** *Continue with current Policy:*Minimum inertia at 140GVA.s | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3b | **Proposal 2a:** **frequency limit for different size infeed loss risks** *Update current Policy to:* - Allow specific risks of a loss of a BMU-only, BMU+VS outage or BMU+VS intact event to potentially result in a frequency deviation outside the lower limit of 49.5Hz.  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3c | **Proposal 2b: individual loss risk controls** *Update current Policy to:* - Apply individual loss risk controls to BMU-only events to keep resulting frequency deviations within 49.2Hz and 50.5Hz - Do not apply individual loss risk control to BMU+VS outage or BMU+VS intact events | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | The FRCR also makes certain other recommendations. Again to help structure comments, do you agree with and what is your feedback on these? | Please use the boxes below for the bullet points in questions numbered 4a-4b |
| 4a | **Proposal 3: Dynamic Containment Low** *The new fast acting service, Dynamic Containment launched in October 2020, is delivering value today and continues to provide value into the future.* - The ESO should continue to increase its use of the Dynamic Containment low frequency service (Dynamic Containment Low) beyond 500MW in line with the anticipated pipeline  | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4b | **Proposal 4: ALoMCP** *The Accelerated Loss of Mains Change Programme* *has been running for over a year and has already created significant value by removing nearly 10GW of Vector Shift protection settings. There is still a substantial volume of protection changes to be made to minimise the risk posed by the VS and RoCoF protection on distributed generation.* - The ALoMCP should continue during 2021 for both RoCoF and Vector Shift | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 5 | Do you have any suggestions for further areas that can be addressed in future editions of the FRCR? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you have any other comments? | Click or tap here to enter text. |