SQSS Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

**GSR027: Review of the NETS SQSS Criteria for Frequency Control that drive reserve, response and inertia holding on the GB electricity system**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm on 30 September 2020**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation please contact Paul Mullen [paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:paul.j.mullen@nationalgrideso.com) or [box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:box.sqss@nationalgrideso.com).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent details** | **Please enter your details** |
| **Respondent name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Company name:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Email address:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Phone number:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**For reference the SQSS objectives for GSR027 are:**

1. *facilitate the planning, development and maintenance of an efficient, coordinated and economical system of electricity transmission, and the operation of that system in an efficient, economic and coordinated manner;*
2. *ensure an appropriate level of security and quality of supply and safe operation of the National Electricity Transmission System;*
3. *facilitate effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the distribution of electricity; and*
4. *facilitate electricity Transmission Licensees to comply with their obligations under EU law.*

**Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.**

**GSR027**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard Workgroup Consultation questions GSR027** | | |
| 1 | Do you believe that the GSR027 Original solution better facilitates the SQSS Objectives? Please explain your rationale. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2 | Do you support the proposed implementation approach? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3 | Do you have any other comments? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4 | Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Specific GSR027 Workgroup Consultation questions** | | |
| 5 | Do you agree with the proposed SQSS legal text?. Please provide the rationale for your response. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6 | Do you agree with the proposed Governance framework? Please provide the rationale for your response. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7 | The vast majority of the Workgroup believe that the Governance framework should be housed within an annex or appendix to the SQSS. The Workgroup have also considered other options, namely Transmission Licence conditions or the Grid Code. Do you agree with the Workgroup’s conclusions? Please provide the rationale for your response. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 8 | The ESO’s illustrative FRCR methodology articulates the risks and impacts to be assessed in version 1 of the FRCR. Section 8 sets out what could be considered in future versions. Do you agree with the ESO’s conclusions on what will covered in version 1 and future versions? Please provide the rationale for your response. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 9 | Section 10 of the illustrative FRCR Methodology sets out the input data the ESO believe is required to produce the FRCR. Do you agree that this is suitable? Do you have any thoughts on how the data to remove ESO’s working assumptions may be gathered? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 10 | The Workgroup have proposed 2 options for which body the ‘FRCR Approver’ could be. Do you agree and which is your preference? Please provide the rationale for your response. | Click or tap here to enter text. |